

Fishery Data Series No. 06-69

Bristol Bay Sockeye Salmon Inriver Test Fishing, 2005

by

Frederick W. West

December 2006

Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Division of Sport Fish and Commercial Fisheries



Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the *Système International d'Unités* (SI), are used without definition in the following reports by the Divisions of Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries: Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

Weights and measures (metric)		General		Measures (fisheries)	
centimeter	cm	Alaska Administrative		fork length	FL
deciliter	dL	Code	AAC	mid-eye-to-fork	MEF
gram	g	all commonly accepted		mid-eye-to-tail-fork	METF
hectare	ha	abbreviations	e.g., Mr., Mrs., AM, PM, etc.	standard length	SL
kilogram	kg			total length	TL
kilometer	km	all commonly accepted			
liter	L	professional titles	e.g., Dr., Ph.D., R.N., etc.		
meter	m	at	@	Mathematics, statistics	
milliliter	mL	compass directions:		<i>all standard mathematical</i>	
millimeter	mm	east	E	<i>signs, symbols and</i>	
		north	N	<i>abbreviations</i>	
		south	S	alternate hypothesis	H _A
		west	W	base of natural logarithm	<i>e</i>
		copyright	©	catch per unit effort	CPUE
		corporate suffixes:		coefficient of variation	CV
		Company	Co.	common test statistics	(F, t, χ^2 , etc.)
		Corporation	Corp.	confidence interval	CI
		Incorporated	Inc.	correlation coefficient	
		Limited	Ltd.	(multiple)	R
		District of Columbia	D.C.	correlation coefficient	
		et alii (and others)	et al.	(simple)	r
		et cetera (and so forth)	etc.	covariance	cov
		exempli gratia		degree (angular)	°
		(for example)	e.g.	degrees of freedom	df
		Federal Information		expected value	<i>E</i>
		Code	FIC	greater than	>
		id est (that is)	i.e.	greater than or equal to	≥
		latitude or longitude	lat. or long.	harvest per unit effort	HPUE
		monetary symbols		less than	<
		(U.S.)	\$, ¢	less than or equal to	≤
		months (tables and		logarithm (natural)	ln
		figures): first three		logarithm (base 10)	log
		letters	Jan, ..., Dec	logarithm (specify base)	log ₂ , etc.
		registered trademark	®	minute (angular)	'
		trademark	™	not significant	NS
		United States		null hypothesis	H ₀
		(adjective)	U.S.	percent	%
		United States of		probability	P
		America (noun)	USA	probability of a type I error	
		U.S.C.	United States	(rejection of the null	
			Code	hypothesis when true)	α
				probability of a type II error	
				(acceptance of the null	
				hypothesis when false)	β
				second (angular)	"
				standard deviation	SD
				standard error	SE
				variance	
				population	Var
				sample	var

Weights and measures (English)					
cubic feet per second	ft ³ /s				
foot	ft				
gallon	gal				
inch	in				
mile	mi				
nautical mile	nmi				
ounce	oz				
pound	lb				
quart	qt				
yard	yd				

Time and temperature					
day	d				
degrees Celsius	°C				
degrees Fahrenheit	°F				
degrees kelvin	K				
hour	h				
minute	min				
second	s				

Physics and chemistry					
all atomic symbols					
alternating current	AC				
ampere	A				
calorie	cal				
direct current	DC				
hertz	Hz				
horsepower	hp				
hydrogen ion activity	pH				
(negative log of)					
parts per million	ppm				
parts per thousand	ppt, ‰				
volts	V				
watts	W				

FISHERY DATA REPORT NO. 06-69

BRISTOL BAY SOCKEYE SALMON INRIVER TEST FISHING, 2005

by

Frederick W. West

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Anchorage

Alaska Department of Fish and Game
Division of Sport Fish, Research and Technical Services
333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage, Alaska, 99518

December 2006

The Division of Sport Fish Fishery Data Series was established in 1987 for the publication of technically oriented results for a single project or group of closely related projects. Since 2004, the Division of Commercial Fisheries has also used the Fishery Data Series. Fishery Data Series reports are intended for fishery and other technical professionals. Fishery Data Series reports are available through the Alaska State Library and on the Internet: <http://www.sf.adfg.state.ak.us/statewide/divreports/html/intersearch.cfm> This publication has undergone editorial and peer review.

*Frederick W. West,
Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries,
333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage, AK 99518, USA*

This document should be cited as:

West, F. W. 2006. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon inriver test fishing, 2005. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 06-69, Anchorage.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility please write:

ADF&G ADA Coordinator, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau AK 99811-5526

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4040 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 300 Webb, Arlington VA 22203

Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington DC 20240

The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached via phone at the following numbers:

(VOICE) 907-465-6077, (Statewide Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) 1-800-478-3648, (Juneau TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078

For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact:

ADF&G, Sport Fish Division, Research and Technical Services, 333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage AK 99518 (907)267-2375.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES.....	ii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	ii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
OBJECTIVES.....	2
METHODS.....	2
Inriver Test Fishing.....	2
Data Analysis.....	3
Inseason Evaluation.....	3
Postseason Evaluation.....	5
RESULTS.....	6
Kvichak River.....	6
Egegik River.....	6
Ugashik River.....	7
DISCUSSION.....	7
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	8
REFERENCES CITED.....	9
TABLES AND FIGURES.....	11
APPENDIX A. DAILY SOCKEYE SALMON INRIVER TEST FISHING DATA.....	25
APPENDIX B. HISTORICAL INRIVER TEST FISHING DATA SUMMARY BY RIVER.....	43
APPENDIX C. DRIFT GILLNET CATCHES BY DAY AND SPECIES, 2005.....	47
APPENDIX D. KVICHAK RIVER INRIVER TEST FISHING AND TOWER COUNT DATA, 1995–2004.....	51
APPENDIX E. EGEGIK RIVER INRIVER TEST FISHING AND TOWER COUNT DATA, 1995-2004.....	67
APPENDIX F. UGASHIK RIVER INRIVER TEST FISHING AND TOWER COUNT DATA, 1994–2003.....	83

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Locations of Bristol Bay sockeye salmon inriver test fishing projects.....	12
2.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2005.....	13
3.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2005.....	15
4.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2005.....	17

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Major river systems, commercial salmon fishing districts, and escapement projects in Bristol Bay.	19
2.	Comparison of inseason daily sockeye salmon test fish published forecast and lagged observed escapement, Kvichak River, 2005.....	20
3.	Comparison of the absolute errors between the SS Model ERF and the Published ERF methods, Kvichak River, 2005.	20
4.	Comparison of inseason daily sockeye salmon test fish published forecast and lagged observed escapement, Egegik River, 2005.	21
5.	Comparison of the absolute errors between the SS Model ERF and the Published ERF methods, Egegik River, 2005.....	21
6.	Comparison of inseason daily sockeye salmon test fish published forecast and lagged observed escapement, Ugashik River, 2005.	22
7.	Comparison of the absolute errors between the SS Model ERF and the Published ERF methods, Ugashik River, 2005.....	22
8.	Current Kvichak River inriver test fishing sites.	23

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A1.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data, Kvichak River, 2005.....	26
A2.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data, Egegik River, 2005.	31
A3.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data, Ugashik River, 2005.	37
B1.	Kvichak River sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary, 1979–2005.....	44
B2.	Egegik River sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary, 1979–2005.	45
B3.	Ugashik River sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary, 1979–2005.	46
C1.	Drift gillnet catches by day and species at the Kvichak inriver test fish project, 2005.	48
C2.	Drift gillnet catches by day and species at the Egegik inriver test fish project, 2005.	49
C3.	Drift gillnet catches by day and species at the Ugashik inriver test fish project, 2005.	50
D1.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1995.....	52
D2.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1996.....	53
D3.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1997.....	54
D4.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1998.....	55
D5.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1999.....	56
D6.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2000.....	57
D7.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2001.....	58

LIST OF APPENDICES (Continued)

D8.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2002.....	60
D9.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2003.....	62
D10.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2004.....	64
E1.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1995.....	68
E2.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1996.....	69
E3.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1997.....	70
E4.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1998.....	71
E5.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1999.....	72
E6.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2000.....	73
E7.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2001.....	74
E8.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2002.....	76
E9.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2003.....	78
E10.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2004.....	80
F1.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1995.....	84
F2.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1996.....	85
F3.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1997.....	86
F4.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1998.....	87
F5.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1999.....	88
F6.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2000.....	89
F7.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2001.....	90
F8.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2002.....	91
F9.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2003.....	92
F10.	Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2004.....	94

ABSTRACT

Drift gillnets were fished daily at 2 stations, located on opposite river banks, prior to every high slack tide in the Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik rivers, Bristol Bay, Alaska, from mid-June to mid-July, 2005, to estimate sockeye salmon *Oncorhynchus nerka* inriver abundance. Fishery managers used preliminary test fish escapement estimates as an inseason management tool to regulate commercial harvests and achieve escapement goals. The daily inriver test fish index for each river was the mean of catch per unit effort values obtained from all test drifts made on a given day. Numbers of sockeye salmon that entered the river were estimated using (1) travel time analysis in which the most recent daily tower count was divided by daily inriver test fish indices and lagged back in time by daily increments and (2) the mean fish per index (FPI) point value. Travel time estimates could not be made until a minimum number of daily test fish indices and tower counts were collected. Mean FPI estimates were available on the first day of each project. For the travel time model, median FPI for the Kvichak River was 30 with a median travel time of 1.5 d; Egegik River median FPI was 81 with a median travel time of 1.0 d; and Ugashik River median FPI was 37 with a median travel time of 1.5 d.

Key words: Sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*, inriver test fishing, inriver abundance estimation, fisheries management, Bristol Bay.

INTRODUCTION

The Bristol Bay Management Area supports the largest sockeye salmon *Oncorhynchus nerka* fishery in the world (Figure 1). The 10-year average (1995–2004) of the total sockeye salmon run to Bristol Bay was 30.9 million fish and total harvest has averaged 20.6 million fish. Sockeye salmon returns to Bristol Bay are brief in duration and this, combined with the large numbers of fish involved, makes it one of the most intense salmon fisheries in the world. Sockeye salmon in Bristol Bay are managed on an escapement goal range policy, with ranges set for individual rivers. Fishery managers control the commercial harvest to meet these ranges by limiting time, area, and gear used by commercial fishermen. The most important information used by managers to meet these goals are estimates of total fish that have returned to date. Total run is a combination of harvest and escapement with inseason harvest estimates obtained from the processing companies and escapement estimates obtained by visually counting, from aluminum scaffolding referred to as a counting tower, salmon migrating upstream. However, estimating the number of fish that have passed upstream of the fishery is often difficult, especially once they have moved inriver but have not passed the counting tower.

Inriver gillnet test fish projects are used to estimate the number of fish that have passed the commercial fishery, but have yet to pass at the counting tower site, referred to as estimated river fish (ERF). Inriver test fishery data are available approximately 1 d after sockeye salmon have passed through the commercial fishing district and several days earlier than estimates based on visual counts from observation towers. Inriver test fish data assists management biologists in regulating commercial fishing periods to maximize harvests and achieve escapement goals.

In 2000 and 2001, monies from the Western Alaska Disaster Grant (WADG) were used to evaluate all inriver test fish projects in Bristol Bay. Evaluation of these projects consisted of examining site location, optimal gillnet mesh sizes, and fishing times. In addition, seasonal factors (e.g., water temperature, river discharge, escapement abundance, escapement age composition, and average length of fish in the escapement) were examined to determine how they affect inriver fish abundance estimates and if they can be used to improve estimates. Accuracy and precision were compared between travel time analysis, maximum likelihood, and regression methods for estimating inriver abundance, using both daily and cumulative data.

Results suggested that alternate site locations did not produce noticeably better estimates, current mesh sizes were efficient, and drifting should occur 15 min sooner than traditional times at Ugashik River (Schwanke et al. 2003). Changes in water temperature had no obvious affect on test fish results. River discharge, escapement abundance, and escapement composition (age and average length) were significantly correlated with test fish results at some of the sites. Evaluation and experimentation with modeling procedures suggested that the travel time method using cumulative escapement information could be improved by using daily escapement numbers.

Inriver test fishing projects have operated at the Kvichak River since 1960, at the Egegik River since 1963, and at the Ugashik River since 1961 (McBride 1978; Seibel 1965; West *In prep*). An inriver test fishing project also operated on Igushik River from 1976–1989 and 1991–2000. Igushik inriver test fish was not operated in 1990 and has not operated since 2000. Summary statistics for the Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik inriver test fish projects for years 1995–2004 are provided in appendices D, E, and F, respectively. This report summarizes 2005 inriver test fish data for the Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik rivers and evaluates the accuracy of inseason forecasting methods.

OBJECTIVES

Specific objectives of the inriver test fish projects were to:

1. Estimate the number of sockeye salmon that have passed the commercial fishery but are still unaccounted for at the tower enumeration project.
2. Estimate the travel time of sockeye salmon between the inriver test site and the counting tower site.

METHODS

INRIVER TEST FISHING

Two stations on opposite riverbanks were fished with drift gillnets in the lower sections of the Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik rivers (Table 1; Figure 1). Test fish stations were close to the commercial fishing district boundaries and were assumed to be above sockeye salmon milling areas. Station locations for each of the 3 rivers have remained the same since 1987 (Fried and Bue 1988a).

All drifts were made perpendicular and close to shore, based on the assumption that sockeye salmon migrate parallel to and near the riverbank (Becker 1962). Drifts at all stations ended when the inshore end of the net drifted about 25 m offshore or when it was no longer fishing efficiently (saturated with fish). Two short drifts of <15 min duration were made at each station of each river beginning about 1.5 h before every high slack tide to minimize currents carrying the gillnet offshore. When catches increased to the point where two drifts per station, per tide were difficult to process, only one drift was made.

All gillnets were 45.7 m (150 ft or 25 fathoms) in length and 29 meshes deep. Monotwist web, hung evenly with #50 twine and dyed Momoi shade #1, was used for test fishing on all rivers. Multistrand monofilament was used until 1989; however, this web type is now illegal for commercial use and is no longer stocked by suppliers. A stretched mesh size of 12.70 cm (5 in) was used at the Kvichak River and 13.02 cm (5-1/8 in) was used at the Egegik and Ugashik rivers because of differences in average fish size.

Catch per unit of effort (CPUE), or the number of sockeye salmon caught in 180 m (600 ft or 100 fathoms) of gillnet fished for 1 h, was estimated for each set. Water temperature (°C) was recorded at all rivers on every high tide prior to test fishing.

DATA ANALYSIS

Our current data analysis approach is based on methods described in Seibel (1965), Paulus (1968), and Meacham (1980).

Inseason Evaluation

Mean fishing time (MT), in minutes, was calculated for each set as:

$$MT = SI - FO + \frac{(FO - SO) + (FI - SI)}{2} \quad (1)$$

where:

SO = time the gillnet first entered water,

FO = time the gillnet was fully deployed,

SI = time the gillnet retrieval began, and

FI = time the gillnet retrieval was completed.

The CPUE value, C_j , or the number of sockeye salmon caught per 100 fathom hours, was calculated for set j as follows:

$$C_j = 6,000 \frac{N}{G \times MT} \quad (2)$$

where:

N = number of sockeye salmon caught, and

G = gillnet length in fathoms.

The daily inriver test fish index, I_i , for day i was calculated as the mean of individual CPUE values obtained from sets made the same day, or:

$$I_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^s C_j}{S} \quad (3)$$

where:

S = number of sets made during day i .

Two methods were used to estimate daily inriver abundance: (1) travel-time fish per index (FPI_d), and (2) mean FPI value (FPI_a).

Travel time of inriver fish was based on the number of days it took sockeye salmon to travel from test fish sites to counting tower sites. A range of travel-time estimates was calculated by matching daily test fish indices to daily tower counts. The number of sockeye salmon represented by each index point was calculated by dividing the most recent cumulative tower count by cumulative test fish indices, lagged back in time by daily increments such that

$$FPI_d = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t E_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{t-d} I_i} \quad (4)$$

where:

FPI_d = number of sockeye salmon represented by each test fishing index point based on a travel-time of d days,

E_i = number of sockeye salmon traveling past counting tower on day i , and

t = day of most recent inriver fish abundance estimate.

We chose lag d that minimized the following sum of squares, SS , between the cumulative test fish indices and the tower counts where

$$SS = \sum_{j=1}^t (FPI \cdot \sum_{i=1}^j I_{i-d} - \sum_{i=1}^j E_i)^2 \quad (5)$$

However, travel times that seemed unrealistic based on results of past studies or produced unreasonable escapement estimates (e.g., less than observed escapement) were rejected even if they produced the best statistical fit to the data.

Total inriver fish abundance was calculated as:

$$\hat{E}_{t+d} = FPI_d \sum_{i=1}^t I_i \quad (6)$$

where:

E_{t+d} = estimated number of sockeye salmon that would travel past counting tower on day $t+d$.

Inseason, the travel time model was used to estimate the number of fish in the river between the test fishing site and the tower. In practice, however, the estimated number of inriver fish from the model was often modified with ancillary information provided by an area manager, which may have included:

- Fish abundance observed during recent aerial surveys,
- District test fish catch-per-unit effort, and
- Up-to-the-minute escapements not included in the daily model.

In this report, the direct output from the SS model is termed the travel time model and the negotiated inriver fish estimate released to the public has been termed the published model.

Mean FPI values of inriver fish abundance in 2005 were based on historical values. For the Kvichak and Ugashik rivers, the mean FPI 's were based on a 5-year mean of the most recent median FPI s (41 and 34 respectively; Tables 2 and 4). For the Egegik River, selected median FPI values were based on years with large runs that had a high proportion of 3-ocean fish similar to that of 2005 (FPI of 64; Table 3). The mean FPI estimate of inriver fish abundance is the product of the

mean FPI and the cumulative inriver test fish index. Mean FPI value estimates of inriver fish abundance were used until travel time analysis estimates proved more accurate.

Postseason Evaluation

Three statistics were used to measure performance of the various inriver fish abundance estimators. Percent error (PE) was used to measure daily performance:

$$PE_t = 100 \times \frac{T_{t,a} - \sum_{i=1}^{t+d} E_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{t+d} E_i} \quad (7)$$

where:

$T_{t,a}$ = estimated daily inriver fish abundance on day t based on method a .

Mean percent error (MPE) was used to measure bias:

$$MPE = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n PE_t}{n} \quad (8)$$

where:

n = total number of days that inriver fish abundance estimates based on test fishing were available.

Mean absolute percent error (MAPE) was used to measure overall accuracy because it treated under- and over-estimation errors similarly:

$$MAPE = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \left| 100 \times \frac{T_{t,a} - \sum_{i=1}^{t+d} E_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{t+d} E_i} \right|}{n} \quad (9)$$

To better understand if the use of ancillary information to negotiate the FPI in our published model was successful in hindsight, an analysis to compare the errors associated with each inriver forecast method was developed. Because the true number of fish between the test fishing site and tower is unknown, necessary assumptions were used to calculate an “actual” number of inriver fish. This analysis was done for travel time and published model forecasts by relating the respective inriver estimate to the corresponding lag time from the SS model output. For example, if a travel time of 2 d applied, the actual number of inriver fish was calculated by subtracting escapement on day $t+2$ minus escapement on day t . Taking the absolute difference of the actual escapement vs. forecasts estimated from the travel time or published approach determined model errors.

RESULTS

KVICHAK RIVER

Test fishing began 21 June and ended 15 July. A total of 4,652 sockeye salmon were caught, producing 59,287 index points (Table 2; Appendix A1). The preseason calculated mean FPI value of 41, based on median FPIs from 2000–2004, was used for test fish inriver fish abundance estimates for 21–28 June. Sufficient spawning escapement data were collected by 29 June to allow estimation of FPI values based on travel time. Estimated travel times during the season ranged from 1.0 to 2.0 d. On the last day of project operation, the estimate of travel time was 1.0 d and the negotiated FPI was 38 (Table 2; Appendix B1). Median seasonal travel time was 1.5 d and the median FPI was 38. A complete summary of catch by day, species, and fishing time at the Kvichak River test fish project is presented in Appendix C1.

Daily inriver fish abundance estimates based on daily travel time analysis (29 June–15 July) ranged from 43% less to 94% greater than observed tower counts (Table 2; Figure 2). The final daily travel time analysis estimate of 20,972 sockeye salmon on 15 July had an error of 15% less than the 1.0 d lag tower count of 24,558 (16 July; Table 2). Our best performing ERF occurred on 11 July with an estimated 198,215 fish inriver compared to the 1.5 d observed escapement of 200,760 resulting in an error of -1% (i.e., the 1.5 d observed escapement of 200,760 was the sum of the escapement on 12 July and half of the escapement on 13 July).

Accuracy (MAPE) and bias (MPE) for travel time analysis test fish inriver abundance estimates compared to lagged daily tower counts were 29% and 6% respectively (Table 2). The published forecast provided lower or similar errors than the straight travel time model (Figure 3). The greatest errors were associated with greater than expected passage rates at the tower on 7 July and 10 July.

EGEGIK RIVER

Test fishing began 14 June and ended 11 July. A total of 3,690 sockeye salmon were caught producing a cumulative index of 18,732 (Table 3; Appendix A2). The preseason calculated mean FPI value of 64, based on median FPIs of recent (2000, 2001, and 2003) large inshore runs with a high 3-ocean age component, was used for test fish inriver fish abundance estimates for 14–17 June. Sufficient spawning escapement data were collected by 18 June to allow estimation of FPI values based on the travel time approach. Estimated travel times during this period using negotiated FPI values ranged from 1.0 to 3.5 d. On the last day of project operation, the negotiated estimate of travel time was 1.0 d and the FPI was 85 (Table 3; Appendix B2). Median seasonal travel time was 1.0 d and the median FPI was 81. A complete summary of catch by day, species and fishing time at the Egegik River test fish project is presented in Appendix C2.

Daily inriver fish abundance estimates based on daily travel time analysis (18 June–11 July) ranged from 68% less to 347% greater than tower counts (Table 3; Figure 4). The final daily travel time analysis estimate of 25,271 sockeye salmon on 11 July had an error of 36% greater than the 1.0 d lag tower count of 18,528 (12 July; Table 3). Our best performing ERF occurred on 1 July with an estimated 133,703 fish inriver compared to the 1.0 d observed escapement of 139,944, resulting in an error of -4%.

Accuracy (MAPE) and bias (MPE) for travel time analysis test fish inriver fish abundance estimates compared to tower counts were 46% and 14%, respectively (Table 3). For the Egegik River, the straight travel time forecast provided lower errors than the published model for 19

June and 24 June (Figure 5). Thereafter, both models followed a similar trend with the greatest errors associated with higher than expected passage rates at the tower on 27 June and 3 July.

UGASHIK RIVER

Test fishing began 24 June and ended 19 July. A total of 2,658 sockeye salmon were caught producing a cumulative index of 18,673 (Table 4; Appendix A3). The preseason calculated mean FPI value of 34, based on median FPIs from 2000–2004, was used for test fish inriver fish abundance estimates for 24–30 June. Sufficient spawning escapement data were collected by 1 July to allow estimation of FPI values based on travel time. Estimated travel times during this period ranged from 1.0 to 2.5 d based on negotiated FPI data. On the last day of project operation, the estimate of travel time was 1.0 d and the FPI was 39 (Table 4; Appendix B3). Median seasonal travel time was estimated at 1.5 d and the median negotiated FPI was 37. A complete summary of catch by day, species, and fishing time at the Ugashik River test fish project is presented in Appendix C3.

Daily inriver fish abundance estimates based on daily travel time analysis (1 July–19 July) ranged from 66% less to 69% greater than visual counts from towers (Table 4; Figure 6). The final daily travel time analysis estimate of 18,007 sockeye salmon on 19 July had an error of 24% greater than the 1.0 d lag tower count of 14,526 (20 July; Table 4). Our best performing ERF occurred on 13 July with an estimated 46,932 fish inriver compared to the 1.5 d observed escapement of 47,259, resulting in an error of -1%.

Accuracy (MAPE) and bias (MPE) for travel time analysis test fish inriver fish abundance estimates compared to lagged tower counts were 27% and -7% respectively (Table 4). For Ugashik River, the published method provided lower errors than the straight travel time approach, particularly between 2 July and 4 July. The greatest errors were associated with larger than expected passage rates between 7 July and 9 July (Figure 7).

DISCUSSION

Traditionally, season-ending FPIs have been used to calculate the preseason mean FPI. However, in an attempt to account for daily and peak run timing fluctuations in FPI values and travel times inseason, we used median FPI values to calculate our preseason mean FPI's in 2005. Unfortunately, this method did not significantly improve our ability to estimate ERF's prior to lag time relationships as preseason mean FPI value were high for Kvichak and low for Egegik and Ugashik rivers. The difference was most exaggerated on the Egegik River where the preseason mean FPI was 64 and the final FPI was 85 (Table 3). The preseason FPI of 41 on the Kvichak River came closest to its season ending FPI of 38 (Table 2). The greatest variability of FPIs using lag time relationships occurred on the Egegik River where it ranged from 85 to 34 (Table 3). We will continue to evaluate other methods of calculating the preseason mean FPI.

Several factors may have contributed to the large errors associated with the travel time published ERF estimates on all 3 rivers: 1) Alagnak River bound fish caught at the test sites on the Kvichak River, and 2) large surges of fish into the Egegik and Ugashik rivers. The greatest errors in our ERF estimates on the Kvichak River were associated with a large increase in catch rate. From 3 July to 6 July, catch rates and indexes increased substantially but were not reflected in passage rates at the tower. For ERF estimates beginning on 8 July through the remainder of the season, mean fishing times were doubled for the drifts between 3 July and 6 July to account for possible

Alagnak fish caught at the test sites. Model errors improved markedly for the remainder of the season.

Kvichak inriver test sites have slowly moved downstream because the main channel characteristics have changed over time. Site 1 is now located almost directly across from the mouth of the Alagnak River and the potential for catching Alagnak bound fish is high (Figure 8). For the 2006 season, we plan to move both sites above the village of Levelock to eliminate the possibility of catching Alagnak fish. The test fish crew will start the project 2 days early to evaluate new potential sites.

The 2 greatest errors in ERF estimates for the Egegik River test fishery were associated with higher than expected passage rates at the tower on 28 June and 3 July. FPI and travel time remained relatively the same until the high index on 27 June where the index essentially doubled. ERF estimates reflected the sudden increase in indexes but passage rates at the tower were approximately 60% above the ERFs for 27 and 28 June. Fish may have been holding inriver from previous tides or travel time dropped below 1 d.

For the Ugashik River, the greatest error was associated with a large surge of fish on 8 July. The published model predicted that travel time dropped and FPI remained the same but both the travel time and FPI may have actually increased or fish were holding in the river similar to Egegik River.

The traditional travel time model has generally under-forecasted the ERF when large surges of fish enter the river. Ray Hilborn from the University of Washington has developed a model that explores the standard travel time approach, a density-dependent lag time approach, and a temporal lag time approach. The density dependent model accounts for large surges of fish encountered at the test fish site pushing fish already inriver quicker to the tower site. The temporal model assumes that fish travel speed is directly related to time (stage of the run). Unlike the standard travel time model, the density-dependent and temporal models do not assume that all fish travel at the same speed. For example, a portion of the fish may take 1 day to travel to the tower where others may take anywhere from slightly more than 1 day to up to 3 or 4 days. These models were tested in 2005 and will continue to be tested in 2006.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank the following Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries personnel for collecting data included in this report: Charles Lochner (Kvichak River test fishing project), Kelsey Romig (Kvichak River test fishing project), Brad Russell (Egegik River test fishing project), Dirk Middleton (Egegik River test fishing project), Chris Clark (Ugashik River test fishing project), and Jason Macrander (Ugashik River test fishing project). Lowell Fair assisted with inseason ERF estimates. Tim Baker and Scott Raborn provided editorial review.

REFERENCES CITED

- Becker, C. D. 1962. Estimating red salmon escapements by sample counts from observation towers. Fisheries Bulletin No. 192, Vol 61. United States Department of Interior. USFWS Bureau of Commercial Fisheries. Washington, D.C.
- Bue, B. G. 1982. 1981. Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik escapement test fishing. Pages 33-53 [In] H. J. Yuen, editor. 1981 Bristol Bay salmon test fishing projects. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Technical Data Report No. 72, Juneau.
- Bue, B. G. 1984. 1982. Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik escapement test fishing. Pages 51-69 [In] D. M. Eggers and S. M. Fried, editors. 1982 Bristol Bay salmon test fishing projects. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Technical Data Report No. 117, Juneau.
- Bue B. G., and C. P. Meacham. 1981. 1980 Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik escapement test fishing. Pages 42-63 [In] H. J. Yuen, editor. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Technical Data Report No. 65, Juneau.
- Bue, B. G., S. M. Fried, and W. A. Bucher. 1988. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing in 1985. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A88-4, Anchorage.
- Crawford, D. L., F. W. West, and L. F. Fair. 2002. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon inriver test fishing, 2001. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A02-19, Anchorage.
- Fried, S. M., and B. G. Bue. 1988a. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing in 1987. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A88-14, Anchorage.
- Fried, S. M., and B. G. Bue. 1988b. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing in 1988. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2K88-11, Anchorage.
- Gray, D. C., D. L. Crawford, and J. D. Miller. 1999. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing, 1994 – 1998. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A99-02, Anchorage.
- Gray, D. C. 2000. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing, 1999. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A00-28, Anchorage.
- McBride, D. N. 1978. Igushik River inside test fishing, 1976-1978. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Bristol Bay Data Report No. 67, Anchorage.
- Meacham, C. P. 1980. 1979. Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik inside test fishing. Pages 18-36 [In] H. J. Yuen, editor. 1979 Bristol Bay sockeye salmon test fishing projects. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Technical Data Report No. 56, Juneau.
- Paulus, R. D. 1968. Bristol Bay intermediate high seas inshore test fishing program. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Annual Technical Report Anadromous Fish Project AFC-6-2, Juneau.
- Schwanke, C., F. West, L. Fair, and N. Gove. 2003. Evaluation of inriver test fishing, Bristol Bay, 2000-2001. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A03-21, Anchorage.
- Seibel, M. C. 1965. Test fishing in Bristol Bay, 1960-64. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Informational Leaflet No. 67, Juneau.
- Stratton, B. L. 1990. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing in 1990. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2K90-05, Anchorage.
- Stratton, B. L., and D. L. Crawford. 1994. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing in 1992. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Technical Fishery Report No. 92-2018, Anchorage.

REFERENCES CITED (Continued)

- Stratton, B. L., and D. L. Crawford. 1996. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing in 1993. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A96-02, Anchorage.
- Stratton, B. L., and J. D. Woolington. 1992. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing in 1991. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Technical Fishery Report No. 92-15, Anchorage.
- Stratton, B. L., S. M. Fried, and K. A. Rowell. 1990. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing in 1989. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2K89-08, Anchorage.
- West, F. W. 2003. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon inriver test fishing, 2002. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A03-06, Anchorage.
- West, F. W. 2004. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon inriver test fishing, 2003. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A04-02, Anchorage.
- West, F.W. *In prep.* Bristol Bay sockeye salmon inriver test fishing, 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Fishery Data Report, Anchorage.
- West, F. W., C. J. Anderson, and D. C. Gray. 2000. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing, 2000. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A00-36, Anchorage.
- Yuen, H. J. 1985. 1983 Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik escapement test fishing. Pages 28-54 [*In*] S. M. Fried, editor. 1983 Bristol Bay Pacific salmon test fishing projects. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Technical Data Report No. 153, Juneau.
- Yuen, H. J., S. M. Fried, and W. A. Bucher. 1985. 1984 Bristol Bay sockeye salmon escapement test fishing. Pages 39-83 [*In*] S. M. Fried, editor. 1984 Bristol Bay Pacific salmon test fishing projects. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Technical Data Report No.154, Juneau.
- Yuen, H. J., S. M. Fried, and W. A. Bucher. 1988. Bristol Bay sockeye salmon spawning escapement test fishing in 1986. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report No. 2A88-13, Juneau.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1.—Locations of Bristol Bay sockeye salmon inriver test fishing projects.

Project	Test Fishing Stations	Riverbank	GPS ^a Coordinates	
			Latitude	Longitude
Kvichak River	1	West	N 59° 01.375'	W 156° 52.565'
	2	East	N 59° 03.402'	W 156° 51.110'
Egegik River	1	South	N 58° 11.993'	W 157° 11.087'
	2	North	N 58° 12.150'	W 157° 10.465'
Ugashik River	1	East	N 57° 33.244'	W 157° 25.365'
	2	West	N 57° 33.423'	W 157° 25.554'

^a GPS = Global Positioning System. GPS coordinates are generally considered to be accurate within 17 meters.

Table 2.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2005.

Date	Test Fishing				Model Estimates									Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower		Daily % Error of Negotiated ERF	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch No.	Daily Index	Cum. Index	Historical Mean FPI			Travel Time FPI ^b			Negotiated FPI ^c				Daily Esc.	Cum. Esc.		
					FPI ^a	Forecast	River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	River Fish					
																		Estimated
6/20														144	144			
6/21	80.9	2	6	6	41	238	0							126	270			
6/22 ^d	54.4	0	0	6	41	238	0							402	672			
6/23	88.7	0	0	6	41	238	0							426	1,098			
6/24	87.5	0	0	6	41	238	0							336	1,434			
6/25	88.3	0	0	6	41	238	0							420	1,854			
6/26	85.2	4	11	17	41	687	0							204	2,058			
6/27	88.6	4	11	28	41	1,134	0							1,938	3,996			
6/28	81.3	33	104	132	41	5,070	0						50,000	22,410	26,406			
6/29 ^e	38.3	334	2,749	2,881				2.0	1,108	5,549,592	2.0	30	120,411	100,000	4,242	30,648	-27	
6/30 ^{e,f}	15.9	262	3,937	6,818				2.0	509	6,839,636	2.0	20	208,174	200,000	32,310	62,958	-36	
7/01 ^e	15.8	328	4,753	11,572				1.0	14	132,975	1.5	25	375,844	375,000	131,670	194,628	28	
7/02 ^e	14.6	317	4,990	16,562				1.0	17	160,643	1.5	23	357,542	350,000	191,190	385,818	22	
7/03 ^{e,g}	21.7	356	3,911	20,473				2.0	26	531,190	1.5	21	316,887	300,000	206,346	592,164	35	
7/04 ^{e,g}	18.0	343	4,584	25,057				2.0	24	633,150	1.0	17	239,584	200,000	172,584	764,748	94	
7/05 ^g	21.0	396	4,478	29,535				1.0	27	240,764	1.0	25	161,697	150,000	123,630	888,378	64	
7/06 ^g	19.0	364	4,647	34,182				1.0	23	218,359	1.0	22	141,654	100,000	98,496	986,874	-14	
7/07	6.0	235	5,938	40,120				1.0	22	133,349	1.0	22	133,349	130,000	165,186	1,152,060	-43	
7/08	16.2	242	3,629	43,748				1.0	35	125,454	1.5	38	275,400	250,000	234,966	1,387,026	8	
7/09	15.1	225	3,574	47,322				1.0	36	128,828	1.5	37	173,997	170,000	189,894	1,576,920	-21	
7/10	18.4	239	2,945	50,267				1.0	36	106,264	1.5	37	152,073	150,000	130,872	1,707,792	-40	
7/11	25.3	339	3,165	53,432				2.0	40	243,439	1.5	39	198,215	225,000	177,822	1,885,614	-1	
7/12	21.2	274	3,119	56,551				2.0	41	254,570	1.5	39	169,174	150,000	150,690	2,036,304	34	
7/13	26.0	134	1,449	57,999				2.0	40	182,642	1.0	38	54,727	90,000	100,140	2,136,444	6	
7/14	52.5	160	731	58,731				1.0	38	27,583	1.0	38	27,583	40,000	51,498	2,187,942	11	
7/15	26.3	61	557	59,287				1.0	38	20,972	1.0	38	20,972	20,000	24,750	2,212,692	-15	
7/16															24,558	2,237,250		
7/17															17,760	2,255,010		
									Mean	1.4	122.0		1.4	29.9			MPE ^h	6
Total	1,026.2	4,652	59,287						Median	1.0	36.0		1.5	30.0			MAPE ^h	29

-continued-

Table 2.–Page 2 of 2.

- ^a The mean FPI of 41, based on median FPIs from 2000–2004, was used through 28 June. Thereafter, FPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Missed morning drifts on 22 June because of a problem with running line. No interpolation completed for missed drifts.
- ^e Indexes for 29 June–4 July were calculated incorrectly inseason. The corrected indexes are presented here but the FPIs and ERFs are what we used inseason.
- ^f Only one set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 1 July to simulate evening drifts.
- ^g ERFs for 8 July and the remainder of the season were calculated by doubling mean fishing times for all test fish sets between 3 July and 6 July to account for possible Alagnak fish caught at the inriver test sites. Test fish indexes were reduced from 7,317 to 3,911 for 3 July, 9,168 to 4,584 for 4 July, 8,956 to 4,478 for 5 July and 9,294 to 4,647 for 6 July.
- ^h MPE = Mean Percent Error, MAPE = Mean Absolute Percent Error.

Table 3.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2005.

Date	Test Fishing				Model Estimates								Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower		Daily % Error of Negotiated ERF	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	Historical Mean FPI			Travel Time FPI ^b		Negotiated FPI ^c				Daily Esc.	Cum. Esc.		
					FPI ^a	Forecast	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a					Estimated River Fish
6/14	54.5	8	34	34	64	2,194	2,194							d	d		
6/15	54.8	33	136	170	64	10,927	10,927						10,000	d	d		
6/16	52.9	45	210	380	64	24,266	24,366						24,000	d	d		
6/17	50.5	16	75	455	64	29,168	29,168						24,000	6,348	6,348		
6/18 ^e	56.2	74	276	731				2.0	34	11,869	2.5	55	27,336	27,000	6,540	12,888	11
6/19	52.4	10	45	776				2.0	37	11,846	3.5	50	22,021	22,000	3,930	16,818	-68
6/20	56.0	109	432	1,208				1.0	25	10,771	2.5	34	21,709	22,000	2,562	19,380	-67
6/21	55.3	65	278	1,486				1.0	46	12,855	1.5	59	31,865	30,000	36,468	55,848	6
6/22	56.5	23	100	1,586				1.0	56	5,587	1.5	59	10,613	10,000	27,156	83,004	6
6/23	51.5	5	22	1,609				1.0	56	1,256	1.0	57	2,868	2,000	5,850	88,854	-32
6/24	52.8	21	91	1,700				1.0	58	5,284	1.0	57	3,889	4,000	4,188	93,042	347
6/25	52.8	39	170	1,870				1.0	55	9,386	1.0	56	10,837	10,000	870	93,912	16
6/26	54.5	125	558	2,429				1.0	55	30,824	1.0	56	32,788	35,000	9,324	103,236	-16
6/27	54.5	307	1,364	3,792				1.0	59	79,995	1.0	59	79,995	80,000	39,246	142,482	-52
6/28	51.7	323	1,617	5,409				1.0	81	131,730	1.0	76	102,142	100,000	166,536	309,018	-38
6/29	50.6	344	1,724	7,134				1.0	87	150,627	1.0	83	119,590	120,000	163,506	472,524	15
6/30	50.4	318	1,577	8,710				1.0	81	127,343	1.0	79	112,029	110,000	103,956	576,480	-18
7/01	48.3	309	1,633	10,343				1.0	82	133,703	1.0	82	133,703	130,000	137,292	713,772	-4
7/02	20.9	88	1,172	11,515				1.0	83	96,694	1.0	82	90,895	90,000	139,944	853,716	-49
7/03	46.9	226	1,248	12,763				1.0	89	111,639	1.0	90	118,427	115,000	176,892	1,030,608	-23
7/04	43.9	116	969	13,731				1.0	93	89,895	1.0	93	89,895	90,000	154,290	1,184,898	-17
7/05	48.8	304	1,692	15,423				1.0	94	159,182	1.0	93	142,143	140,000	107,676	1,292,574	37
7/06	56.1	236	1,004	16,427				1.0	91	90,872	1.0	89	65,945	70,000	103,854	1,396,428	12
7/07	53.3	117	522	16,949				1.0	89	46,258	1.0	88	36,600	40,000	58,878	1,455,306	6
7/08	56.6	231	957	17,906				1.0	88	84,132	1.0	87	68,431	60,000	34,512	1,489,818	172
7/09	55.8	88	367	18,273				1.0	85	31,000	1.0	85	31,000	30,000	25,158	1,514,976	32
7/10	54.8	39	161	18,434				1.0	84	13,538	1.5	85	28,769	20,000	23,514	1,538,490	21
7/11	55.1	71	298	18,732				1.0	85	25,271	1.0	85	25,271	25,000	23,688	1,562,178	36
7/12															18,528	1,580,706	
7/13															14,556	1,595,262	
Total	1448.4	3,690	18,732					Mean	1.1	70.5		1.3	72.5			MPE ^f	14
								Median	1.0	81.5		1.0	80.5			MAPE ^f	46

-continued-

Table 3.–Page 2 of 2.

- ^a A mean FPI of 64, based on 3 years (2000, 2001, and 2003) with median FPIs of inshore runs with a high 3 ocean age component was used through 17 June. Thereafter, FPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e Only one set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Repeated morning index values from 19 June to simulate evening drifts.
- ^f MPE = Mean Percent Error, MAPE = Mean Absolute Percent Error.

Table 4.–Page 2 of 2.

- ^a The mean FPI of 34, based on median FPIs from 2000–20004, was used through 30 June. Thereafter, FPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e Only 1 set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 3 July to estimate evening drifts.
- ^f Missed last drift from morning drift session on 3 July because of fog. Used previous station 2 drift information to estimate index.
- ^g Missed last 2 drifts from evening drift session on 8 July because of mechanical difficulties. Repeated first 2 drifts to estimate index.
- ^h Only one set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 19 July to estimate evening drifts.
- ⁱ MPE = Mean Percent Error, MAPE = Mean Absolute Percent Error.




Figure 1.—Major river systems, commercial salmon fishing districts, and escapement projects in Bristol Bay.




Figure 2.—Comparison of inseason daily sockeye salmon test fish published forecast and lagged observed escapement, Kvichak River, 2005.




Figure 3.—Comparison of the absolute errors between the SS Model ERF and the Published ERF methods, Kvichak River, 2005.




Figure 4.—Comparison of inseason daily sockeye salmon test fish published forecast and lagged observed escapement, Egegik River, 2005.




Figure 5.—Comparison of the absolute errors between the SS Model ERF and the Published ERF methods, Egegik River, 2005.




Figure 6.—Comparison of inseason daily sockeye salmon test fish published forecast and lagged observed escapement, Ugashik River, 2005.




Figure 7.—Comparison of the absolute errors between the SS Model ERF and the Published ERF methods, Ugashik River, 2005.

**APPENDIX A. DAILY SOCKEYE SALMON IN RIVER TEST
FISHING DATA**

Appendix A1.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data, Kvichak River, 2005.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)
6/21	1	1	19.7	0	0
6/21	1	1	19.7	0	0
6/21	2	1	19.9	0	0
6/21	3	2	20.5	1	12
6/21	4	2	20.8	1	12
Daily			80.9	2	6
6/22	^a 5	1	13.6	0	0
6/22	6	1	13.6	0	0
6/22	7	2	13.6	0	0
6/22	8	2	13.6	0	0
Daily			54.4	0	0
6/23	9	1	10.9	0	0
6/23	10	1	11.1	0	0
6/23	11	2	11.0	0	0
6/23	12	2	11.0	0	0
6/23	13	1	11.1	0	0
6/23	14	1	11.2	0	0
6/23	15	2	11.2	0	0
6/23	16	2	11.2	0	0
Daily			88.7	0	0
6/24	17	1	10.9	0	0
6/24	18	1	11.0	0	0
6/24	19	2	11.3	0	0
6/24	20	2	11.1	0	0
6/24	21	1	10.3	0	0
6/24	22	1	11.8	0	0
6/24	23	2	10.7	0	0
6/24	24	2	10.4	0	0
Daily			87.5	0	0
6/25	25	1	10.9	0	0
6/25	26	1	10.9	0	0
6/25	27	2	10.8	0	0
6/25	28	2	11.1	0	0
6/25	29	1	11.2	0	0
6/25	30	1	11.2	0	0
6/25	31	2	11.2	0	0
6/25	32	2	11.0	0	0
Daily			88.3	0	0
6/26	33	1	11.2	0	0
6/26	34	1	11.2	0	0
6/26	35	2	7.4	0	0
6/26	36	2	11.3	0	0
6/26	37	1	11.1	0	0
6/26	38	1	11.0	1	22
6/26	39	2	11.1	1	22
6/26	40	2	10.9	2	44
Daily			85.2	4	11

-continued-

Appendix A1.–Page 2 of 5.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)
6/27	41	1	11.0	2	44
6/27	42	1	11.1	0	0
6/27	43	2	11.1	0	0
6/27	44	2	11.1	0	0
6/27	45	1	11.0	2	44
6/27	46	1	11.1	0	0
6/27	47	2	11.1	0	0
6/27	48	2	11.1	0	0
Daily			88.6	4	11
6/28	49	1	10.9	0	0
6/28	50	1	11.1	0	0
6/28	51	2	10.6	1	23
6/28	52	2	11.1	0	0
6/28	53	1	9.4	2	51
6/28	54	1	9.3	8	206
6/28	55	2	9.2	5	130
6/28	56	2	9.7	17	421
Daily			81.3	33	104
6/29	^b 57	1	9.2	14	365
6/29	58	1	7.5	23	736
6/29	59	2	5.5	37	1,615
6/29	60	2	2.8	48	4,114
6/29	61	1	3.1	40	3,097
6/29	62	1	3.3	36	2,618
6/29	63	2	3.5	74	5,074
6/29	64	2	3.4	62	4,376
Daily			38.3	334	2,749
6/30	^b 65	1	1.8	21	2,800
6/30	66	1	2.2	17	1,855
6/30	67	2	1.7	29	4,094
6/30	68	2	2.1	35	4,000
6/30	69 ^c		1.8	27	3,600
6/30	70 ^c		1.9	38	4,800
6/30	71 ^c		2.1	44	5,029
6/30	72 ^c		2.3	51	5,322
Daily			15.9	262	3,937
7/01	^b 73	1	1.8	27	3,600
7/01	74	1	1.9	38	4,800
7/01	75	2	2.1	44	5,029
7/01	76	2	2.3	51	5,322
7/01	77	1	1.6	20	3,000
7/01	78	1	1.6	12	1,800
7/01	79	2	2.3	76	7,930
7/01	80	2	2.2	60	6,545
Daily			15.8	328	4,753

-continued-

Appendix A1.–Page 3 of 5.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)
7/02	^b 81	1	1.6	32	4,800
7/02	82	1	1.5	15	2,400
7/02	83	2	2.4	61	6,100
7/02	84	2	2.3	53	5,530
7/02	85	1	1.3	20	3,692
7/02	86	1	1.5	27	4,320
7/02	87	2	2.0	60	7,200
7/02	88	2	2.0	49	5,880
Daily			14.6	317	4,990
7/03	^{b,d} 89	1	2.4	30	3,000
7/03	90	1	2.2	21	2,291
7/03	91	2	3.4	51	3,600
7/03	92	2	3.6	58	3,867
7/03	93	1	2.8	66	5,657
7/03	94	1	2.8	50	4,286
7/03	95	2	2.2	50	5,455
7/03	96	2	2.3	30	3,130
Daily			21.7	356	3,911
7/04	^{b,d} 97	1	2.4	52	5,200
7/04	98	1	2.2	46	5,018
7/04	99	2	2.2	37	4,036
7/04	100	2	2.4	34	3,400
7/04	101	1	2.8	57	4,886
7/04	102	1	2.4	44	4,400
7/04	103	2	1.8	35	4,667
7/04	104	2	1.8	38	5,067
Daily			18.0	343	4,584
7/05	^d 105	1	3.0	51	4,080
7/05	106	1	2.6	58	5,354
7/05	107	2	2.4	36	3,600
7/05	108	2	2.2	32	3,491
7/05	109	1	2.4	64	6,400
7/05	110	1	3.6	78	5,200
7/05	111	2	2.4	31	3,100
7/05	112	2	2.4	46	4,600
Daily			21.0	396	4,478
7/06	^d 113	1	2.6	42	3,877
7/06	114	1	3.0	57	4,560
7/06	115	2	2.6	44	4,062
7/06	116	2	2.0	41	4,920
7/06	117	1	2.6	52	4,800
7/06	118	1	2.0	53	6,360
7/06	119	2	2.0	38	4,560
7/06	120	2	2.2	37	4,036
Daily			19.0	364	4,647

-continued-

Appendix A1.–Page 4 of 5.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)
7/07	121	1	1.0	36	8,640
7/07	122	1	1.0	33	7,920
7/07	123	2	1.0	28	6,720
7/07	124	2	1.0	36	8,640
7/07	125	1	1.6	37	5,550
7/07	126	1	1.6	37	5,550
7/07	127	2	1.5	14	2,240
7/07	128	2	1.5	14	2,240
Daily			6.0	235	5,938
7/08	129	1	1.9	15	1,895
7/08	130	1	2.0	28	3,360
7/08	131	2	1.8	42	5,600
7/08	132	2	1.9	38	4,800
7/08	133	1	1.7	26	3,671
7/08	134	1	2.3	22	2,296
7/08	135	2	2.3	31	3,235
7/08	136	2	2.3	40	4,174
Daily			16.2	242	3,629
7/09	137	1	1.8	37	4,933
7/09	138	1	1.9	25	3,158
7/09	139	2	1.8	18	2,400
7/09	140	2	2.0	34	4,080
7/09	141	1	1.9	34	4,295
7/09	142	1	2.0	30	3,600
7/09	143	2	1.8	27	3,600
7/09	144	2	1.9	20	2,526
Daily			15.1	225	3,574
7/10	145	1	1.9	24	3,032
7/10	146	1	1.8	12	1,600
7/10	147	2	1.8	16	2,133
7/10	148	2	1.9	13	1,642
7/10	149	1	2.8	50	4,286
7/10	150	2	2.7	37	3,289
7/10 ^e	151		2.8	50	4,286
7/10 ^e	152		2.7	37	3,289
Daily			18.4	239	2,945
7/11	153	1	2.6	41	3,785
7/11	154	2	2.5	22	2,112
7/11 ^e	155		2.6	41	3,785
7/11 ^e	156		2.5	22	2,112
7/11	157	1	3.8	64	4,042
7/11	158	1	3.8	51	3,221
7/11	159	2	3.8	55	3,474
7/11	160	2	3.7	43	2,789
Daily			25.3	339	3,165

-continued-

Appendix A1.–Page 5 of 5.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)
7/12	161	1	2.5	33	3,168
7/12	162	1	2.6	40	3,692
7/12	163	2	2.6	35	3,231
7/12	164	2	2.7	32	2,844
7/12	165	1	2.6	37	3,415
7/12	166	1	3.0	27	2,160
7/12	167	2	2.7	39	3,467
7/12	168	2	2.5	31	2,976
Daily			21.2	274	3,119
7/13	169	1	2.5	22	2,112
7/13	170	1	2.6	25	2,308
7/13	171	2	2.5	30	2,880
7/13	172	2	2.5	20	1,920
7/13	173	1	3.0	8	651
7/13	174	1	3.0	10	811
7/13	175	2	5.1	12	563
7/13	176	2	4.9	7	345
Daily			26.0	134	1,449
7/14	177	1	6.6	36	1,309
7/14	178	1	6.6	12	436
7/14	179	2	6.5	20	738
7/14	180	2	6.5	14	517
7/14	181	1	6.5	22	812
7/14	182	1	6.6	16	582
7/14	183	2	6.6	21	764
7/14	184	2	6.6	19	691
Daily			52.5	160	731
7/15	185	1	6.5	15	554
7/15	186	1	6.6	20	727
7/15	187	2	6.6	13	473
7/15	188	2	6.6	13	473
Daily			26.3	61	557
Total			1,026.2	4,652	59,287
Max			20.8	78	8,640
Mean			5.5	25	2,511
Min			1.0	0	0

^a Missed morning drifts on 22 July because of a problem with running line. No interpolation completed.

^b Indexes for 29 June–4 July were calculated incorrectly inseason. The corrected mean fishing times and indexes are presented here but the inseason FPIs and ERFs for 29 June–4 July are based on the incorrect indexes.

^c Only one set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 1 July to estimate evening drifts.

^d The original mean fishing times and indexes are presented here but the ERFs for 8 July and the remainder of the season were calculated by doubling mean fishing times for all test fish sets between 3 July and 6 July to account for possible Alagnak fish caught at the inriver test sites. Test fish indexes were reduced from 7,317 to 3,911 for 3 July, 9,168 to 4,584 for 4 July, 8,956 to 4,478 for 5 July, and 9,294 to 4,647 for 6 July.

^e Only completed one drift at each station because crew needed to collect genetic samples on the Alagnak River. Repeated previous drift information to account for the missed drifts.

Appendix A2.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data, Egegik River, 2005.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
6/14	1	1	5.1	0	0	
6/14	2	2	6.5	5	185	
6/14	3	1	6.5	0	0	
6/14	4	2	7.1	1	34	
6/14	5	1	7.0	0	0	
6/14	6	2	6.9	0	0	
6/14	7	1	8.6	2	56	
6/14	8	2	6.8	0	0	
Daily			54.5	8	34	13.0
6/15	9	1	6.5	0	0	
6/15	10	2	7.3	1	33	
6/15	11	1	7.0	0	0	
6/15	12	2	6.9	1	35	
6/15	13	1	6.5	0	0	
6/15	14	2	6.8	2	71	
6/15	15	1	6.5	0	0	
6/15	16	2	7.3	29	953	
Daily			54.8	33	136	13.0
6/16	17	1	8.5	3	85	
6/16	18	2	7.5	14	448	
6/16	19	1	7.3	3	99	
6/16	20	2	7.3	1	33	
6/16	21	1	5.6	8	343	
6/16	22	2	5.6	2	86	
6/16	23	1	5.8	12	497	
6/16	24	2	5.3	2	91	
Daily			52.9	45	210	14.5
6/17	25	1	6.0	0	0	
6/17	26	2	5.8	2	83	
6/17	27	1	6.0	2	80	
6/17	28	2	5.9	0	0	
6/17	29	1	6.8	0	0	
6/17	30	2	6.5	2	74	
6/17	31	1	6.9	0	0	
6/17	32	2	6.6	10	364	
Daily			50.5	16	75	13.0
6/18	33	1	6.5	1	37	
6/18	34	2	8.0	27	810	
6/18	35	1	6.4	4	150	
6/18	36	2	8.8	35	955	
6/18	37 ^a		6.6	0	0	
6/18	38 ^a		7.0	2	69	
6/18	39 ^a		6.4	0	0	
6/18	40 ^a		6.5	5	185	
Daily			56.2	74	276	12.0

-continued-

Appendix A2.–Page 2 of 6.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
6/19	41	1	6.6	0	0	
6/19	42	2	7.0	2	69	
6/19	43	1	6.4	0	0	
6/19	44	2	6.5	5	185	
6/19	45	1	6.4	0	0	
6/19	46	2	6.8	1	35	
6/19	47	1	6.3	0	0	
6/19	48	2	6.4	2	75	
Daily			52.4	10	45	12.0
6/20	49	1	7.0	3	103	
6/20	50	2	7.6	33	1,042	
6/20	51	1	6.5	4	148	
6/20	52	2	7.8	29	892	
6/20	53	1	6.5	3	111	
6/20	54	2	7.8	34	1,046	
6/20	55	1	6.3	1	38	
6/20	56	2	6.5	2	74	
Daily			56.0	109	432	12.0
6/21	57	1	6.8	12	424	
6/21	58	2	7.3	20	658	
6/21	59	1	6.8	1	35	
6/21	60	2	7.0	18	617	
6/21	61	1	6.8	4	141	
6/21	62	2	6.9	5	174	
6/21	63	1	7.1	2	68	
6/21	64	2	6.6	3	109	
Daily			55.3	65	278	13.0
6/22	65	1	7.6	1	32	
6/22	66	2	7.1	5	169	
6/22	67	1	6.8	1	35	
6/22	68	2	6.8	8	282	
6/22	69	1	8.5	0	0	
6/22	70	2	6.8	8	282	
6/22	71	1	6.4	0	0	
6/22	72	2	6.5	0	0	
Daily			56.5	23	100	12.0
6/23	73	1	6.1	0	0	
6/23	74	2	6.4	0	0	
6/23	75	1	6.4	0	0	
6/23	76	2	6.5	0	0	
6/23	77	1	6.3	1	38	
6/23	78	2	6.9	3	104	
6/23	79	1	6.4	0	0	
6/23	80	2	6.5	1	37	
Daily			51.5	5	22	12.0

-continued-

Appendix A2.–Page 3 of 6.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
6/24	81	1	6.3	0	0	
6/24	82	2	6.9	0	0	
6/24	83	1	6.5	0	0	
6/24	84	2	6.5	0	0	
6/24	85	1	6.4	0	0	
6/24	86	2	7.0	10	343	
6/24	87	1	6.4	0	0	
6/24	88	2	6.8	11	388	
Daily			52.8	21	91	12.5
6/25	89	1	6.4	0	0	
6/25	90	2	6.4	1	38	
6/25	91	1	6.5	1	37	
6/25	92	2	6.5	0	0	
6/25	93	1	6.6	0	0	
6/25	94	2	6.5	19	702	
6/25	95	1	6.5	0	0	
6/25	96	2	7.4	18	584	
Daily			52.8	39	170	13.5
6/26	97	1	6.6	1	36	
6/26	98	2	7.1	7	237	
6/26	99	1	6.6	2	73	
6/26	100	2	7.3	14	460	
6/26	101	1	7.0	10	343	
6/26	102	2	6.6	47	1,709	
6/26	103	1	6.8	9	318	
6/26	104	2	6.5	35	1,292	
Daily			54.5	125	558	14.3
6/27	105	1	6.9	6	209	
6/27	106	2	7.3	16	526	
6/27	107	1	7.0	8	274	
6/27	108	2	6.3	48	1,829	
6/27	109	1	6.0	31	1,240	
6/27	110	2	8.1	81	2,400	
6/27	111	1	7.1	54	1,825	
6/27	112	2	5.8	63	2,607	
Daily			54.5	307	1,364	16.0
6/28	113	1	6.5	16	591	
6/28	114	2	6.5	25	923	
6/28	115	1	7.9	19	577	
6/28	116	2	4.5	72	3,840	
6/28	117	1	6.5	12	443	
6/28	118	2	5.5	60	2,618	
6/28	119	1	7.0	21	720	
6/28	120	2	7.3	98	3,222	
Daily			51.7	323	1,617	16.0

-continued-

Appendix A2.—Page 4 of 6.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
6/29	121	1	6.6	7	255	
6/29	122	2	6.0	64	2,560	
6/29	123	1	6.8	11	388	
6/29	124	2	5.1	82	3,859	
6/29	125	1	6.4	11	413	
6/29	126	2	6.1	53	2,085	
6/29	127	1	7.6	48	1,516	
6/29	128	2	6.0	68	2,720	
Daily			50.6	344	1,724	15.0
6/30	129	1	6.3	15	571	
6/30	130	2	6.0	76	3,040	
6/30	131	1	6.5	8	295	
6/30	132	2	5.9	59	2,400	
6/30	133	1	6.6	2	73	
6/30	134	2	6.0	75	3,000	
6/30	135	1	7.0	6	206	
6/30	136	2	6.1	77	3,030	
Daily			50.4	318	1,577	15.0
7/01	137	1	7.4	27	876	
7/01	138	2	6.4	74	2,775	
7/01	139	1	7.6	33	1,042	
7/01	140	2	5.9	83	3,376	
7/01	141	1	6.8	9	318	
7/01	142	2	4.3	42	2,344	
7/01	143	1	6.0	9	360	
7/01	144	2	3.9	32	1,969	
Daily			48.3	309	1,633	13.5
7/02	145	1	6.3	9	343	
7/02	146	2	3.4	19	1,341	
7/02	147	1	6.6	8	291	
7/02	148	2	4.6	52	2,713	
Daily			20.9	88	1,172	13.0
7/03	149	1	6.5	4	148	
7/03	150	2	7.0	22	754	
7/03	151	1	6.8	4	141	
7/03	152	2	7.5	33	1,056	
7/03	153	1	5.1	90	4,235	
7/03	154	2	5.0	43	2,064	
7/03	155	1	4.0	12	720	
7/03	156	2	5.0	18	864	
Daily			46.9	226	1,248	14.0

-continued-

Appendix A2.–Page 5 of 6.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
7/04	157	1	7.0	0	0	
7/04	158	2	6.6	6	218	
7/04	159	1	7.0	2	69	
7/04	160	2	7.1	3	101	
7/04	161	1	3.3	44	3,200	
7/04	162	2	3.0	38	3,040	
7/04	163	1	4.8	13	650	
7/04	164	2	5.1	10	471	
Daily			43.9	116	969	14.5
7/05	165	1	6.3	2	76	
7/05	166	2	7.0	13	446	
7/05	167	1	6.9	3	104	
7/05	168	2	7.1	9	304	
7/05	169	1	3.9	66	4,062	
7/05	170	2	7.0	60	2,057	
7/05	171	1	6.5	109	4,025	
7/05	172	2	4.1	42	2,459	
Daily			48.8	304	1,692	14.0
7/06	173	1	5.6	1	43	
7/06	174	2	8.1	42	1,244	
7/06	175	1	6.8	5	176	
7/06	176	2	7.5	43	1,376	
7/06	177	1	6.5	45	1,662	
7/06	178	2	6.5	31	1,145	
7/06	179	1	6.5	51	1,883	
7/06	180	2	8.6	18	502	
Daily			56.1	236	1,004	14.0
7/07	181	1	6.5	2	74	
7/07	182	2	7.4	17	551	
7/07	183	1	6.9	6	209	
7/07	184	2	7.5	18	576	
7/07	185	1	6.4	28	1,050	
7/07	186	2	5.6	9	386	
7/07	187	1	6.9	27	939	
7/07	188	2	6.1	10	393	
Daily			53.3	117	522	14.0
7/08	189	1	6.0	1	40	
7/08	190	2	8.3	50	1,446	
7/08	191	1	6.3	2	76	
7/08	192	2	8.0	44	1,320	
7/08	193	1	6.1	54	2,125	
7/08	194	2	6.6	21	764	
7/08	195	1	7.4	47	1,524	
7/08	196	2	7.9	12	365	
Daily			56.6	231	957	14.5

-continued-

Appendix A2.—Page 6 of 6

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
7/09	197	1	6.9	1	35	
7/09	198	2	8.3	36	1,041	
7/09	199	1	6.5	3	111	
7/09	200	2	6.3	27	1,029	
7/09	201	1	6.5	3	111	
7/09	202	2	7.5	7	224	
7/09	203	1	6.8	5	176	
7/09	204	2	7.0	6	206	
Daily			55.8	88	367	13.5
7/10	205	1	6.5	1	37	
7/10	206	2	7.6	8	253	
7/10	207	1	6.6	2	73	
7/10	208	2	7.6	19	600	
7/10	209	1	6.5	3	111	
7/10	210	2	7.0	3	103	
7/10	211	1	6.5	2	74	
7/10	212	2	6.5	1	37	
Daily			54.8	39	161	13.0
7/11	213	1	6.6	2	73	
7/11	214	2	7.3	17	559	
7/11	215	1	6.6	5	182	
7/11	216	2	7.6	23	726	
7/11	217	1	6.6	6	218	
7/11	218	2	6.6	0	0	
7/11	219	1	6.5	9	332	
7/11	220	2	7.3	9	296	
Daily			55.1	71	298	12.5
Total			1,448.4	3,690	18,732	
Max			8.8	109	4,235	16.0
Mean			6.6	17	660	13.5
Min			3.0	0	0	12.0

^a Only 1 set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 19 June to estimate evening drifts.

Appendix A3.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data, Ugashik River, 2005.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
6/24	1	1	5.7	0	0	
6/24	2	2	4.8	0	0	
6/24	3	1	4.3	0	0	
6/24	4	2	4.8	0	0	
6/24	5	1	5.5	3	131	
6/24	6	2	5.9	4	163	
6/24	7	1	5.8	1	41	
6/24	8	2	5.5	4	175	
Daily			42.3	12	64	14.0
6/25	9	1	5.5	1	44	
6/25	10	2	5.6	2	86	
6/25	11	1	5.7	1	42	
6/25	12	2	5.4	0	0	
6/25	13	1	5.1	0	0	
6/25	14	2	5.0	0	0	
6/25	15	1	6.0	0	0	
6/25	16	2	6.6	0	0	
Daily			44.9	4	21	13.5
6/26	17	1	6.2	0	0	
6/26	18	2	7.0	0	0	
6/26	19	1	7.6	0	0	
6/26	20	2	8.2	0	0	
6/26	21	1	6.6	0	0	
6/26	22	2	7.0	1	34	
6/26	23	1	6.5	1	37	
6/26	24	2	6.9	1	35	
Daily			56.0	3	13	14.8
6/27	25	1	6.9	0	0	
6/27	26	2	6.8	0	0	
6/27	27	1	6.7	0	0	
6/27	28	2	7.3	0	0	
6/27	29	1	6.6	1	36	
6/27	30	2	7.0	2	69	
6/27	31	1	6.9	2	70	
6/27	32	2	6.9	2	70	
Daily			55.1	7	31	16.0
6/28	33	1	6.6	1	36	
6/28	34	2	5.1	0	0	
6/28	35	1	5.9	1	41	
6/28	36	2	6.9	0	0	
6/28	37	1	6.5	1	37	
6/28	38	2	6.2	0	0	
6/28	39	1	7.6	1	32	
6/28	40	2	6.2	3	116	
Daily			51.0	7	33	16.5

-continued-

Appendix A3.–Page 2 of 6.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
6/29	41	1	6.9	5	174	
6/29	42	2	6.6	0	0	
6/29	43	1	6.6	4	145	
6/29	44	2	6.7	1	36	
6/29	45	1	6.9	2	70	
6/29	46	2	6.9	3	104	
6/29	47	1	7.2	1	33	
6/29	48	2	7.1	1	34	
Daily			54.9	17	75	15.5
6/30	49	1	5.7	6	253	
6/30	50	2	5.9	2	81	
6/30	51	1	6.7	7	251	
6/30	52	2	6.5	9	332	
6/30	53	1	5.7	21	884	
6/30	54	2	5.7	16	674	
6/30	55	1	5.5	18	785	
6/30	56	2	5.8	21	869	
Daily			47.5	100	516	15.0
7/01	57	1	4.5	34	1,813	
7/01	58	2	4.9	42	2,057	
7/01	59	1	4.9	21	1,029	
7/01	60	2	4.2	31	1,771	
7/01	61	1	4.8	20	1,000	
7/01	62	2	4.5	34	1,813	
7/01	63	1	5.3	28	1,268	
7/01	64	2	6.0	33	1,320	
Daily			39.1	243	1,509	14.5
7/02	65	1	4.4	48	2,618	
7/02	66	2	3.1	21	1,626	
7/02	67	1	3.6	28	1,867	
7/02	68	2	3.3	26	1,891	
7/02 ^a	69		4.5	34	1,813	
7/02 ^a	70		4.3	25	1,395	
7/02 ^a	71		4.4	28	1,527	
7/02 ^a	72		4.3	25	1,395	
Daily			31.9	235	1,767	14.8
7/03	73	1	4.5	34	1,813	
7/03	74	2	4.3	25	1,395	
7/03	75	1	4.4	28	1,527	
7/03 ^b	76		4.3	25	1,395	
7/03	77	1	5.2	27	1,246	
7/03	78	2	5.0	29	1,392	
7/03	79	1	4.1	27	1,580	
7/03	80	2	3.6	15	1,000	
Daily			35.4	210	1,419	

Appendix A3.—Page 3 of 6.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
7/04	81	1	4.8	22	1,100	
7/04	82	2	4.0	13	780	
7/04	83	1	4.3	48	2,679	
7/04	84	2	5.1	23	1,082	
7/04	85	1	4.4	23	1,255	
7/04	86	2	4.3	10	558	
7/04	87	1	3.3	26	1,891	
7/04	88	2	3.8	13	821	
Daily			34.0	178	1,271	15.0
7/05	89	1	4.0	24	1,440	
7/05	90	2	5.4	17	756	
7/05	91	1	4.7	19	970	
7/05	92	2	4.6	9	470	
7/05	93	1	4.8	9	450	
7/05	94	2	5.1	29	1,365	
7/05	95	1	5.4	9	400	
7/05	96	2	4.9	12	588	
Daily			38.9	128	805	15.3
7/06	97	1	3.7	5	324	
7/06	98	2	5.0	3	144	
7/06	99	1	4.7	14	715	
7/06	100	2	4.3	7	391	
7/06	101	1	4.5	4	213	
7/06	102	2	4.3	18	1,005	
7/06	103	1	4.8	5	250	
7/06	104	2	5.8	11	455	
Daily			37.1	67	437	15.3
7/07	105	1	4.8	10	500	
7/07	106	2	4.5	14	747	
7/07	107	1	3.8	4	253	
7/07	108	2	4.1	18	1,054	
7/07	109	1	4.4	12	655	
7/07	110	2	4.0	16	960	
7/07	111	1	3.9	5	308	
7/07	112	2	3.3	15	1,091	
Daily			32.8	94	696	15.0
7/08	113	1	3.8	34	2,147	
7/08	114	2	3.3	42	3,055	
7/08	115	1	3.4	21	1,482	
7/08	116	2	2.7	21	1,867	
7/08	117	1	2.9	25	2,069	
7/08	118	2	3.8	47	2,968	
7/08 ^c	119		2.9	25	2,069	
7/08 ^c	120		3.8	47	2,968	
Daily			26.6	262	2,328	15.0

-continued-

Appendix A3.—Page 4 of 6.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
7/09	121	1	3.9	31	1,908	
7/09	122	2	3.3	27	1,964	
7/09	123	1	3.6	21	1,400	
7/09	124	2	3.4	31	2,188	
7/09	125	1	3.7	16	1,038	
7/09	126	2	3.3	28	2,036	
7/09	127	1	3.9	18	1,108	
7/09	128	2	3.3	16	1,164	
Daily			28.4	188	1,601	15.0
7/10	129	1	4.0	17	1,020	
7/10	130	2	3.5	7	480	
7/10	131	1	4.1	7	410	
7/10	132	2	5.4	10	444	
7/10	133	1	4.8	14	700	
7/10	134	2	4.5	11	587	
7/10	135	1	4.7	15	766	
7/10	136	2	5.8	28	1,159	
Daily			36.8	109	696	14.0
7/11	137	1	4.1	16	937	
7/11	138	2	3.3	22	1,600	
7/11	139	1	3.8	21	1,326	
7/11	140	2	4.0	30	1,800	
7/11	141	1	3.8	8	505	
7/11	142	2	3.3	32	2,327	
7/11	143	1	3.9	19	1,169	
7/11	144	2	5.4	34	1,511	
Daily			31.6	182	1,397	14.0
7/12	145	1	3.8	14	884	
7/12	146	2	3.5	31	2,126	
7/12	147	1	3.8	10	632	
7/12	148	2	4.9	25	1,224	
7/12	149	1	5.2	9	415	
7/12	150	2	4.3	20	1,116	
7/12	151	1	4.8	8	400	
7/12	152	2	4.0	21	1,260	
Daily			34.3	138	1,007	14.0
7/13	153	1	5.0	15	720	
7/13	154	2	3.7	23	1,492	
7/13	155	1	4.9	17	833	
7/13	156	2	4.5	26	1,387	
7/13	157	1	4.9	18	882	
7/13	158	2	4.6	5	261	
7/13	159	1	3.6	28	1,867	
7/13	160	2	4.5	8	427	
Daily			35.7	140	983	15.0

-continued-

Appendix A3.—Page 5 of 6.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
7/14	161	1	3.5	4	274	
7/14	162	2	3.4	11	776	
7/14	163	1	3.6	2	133	
7/14	164	2	4.8	18	900	
7/14	165	1	4.7	5	255	
7/14	166	2	4.4	7	382	
7/14	167	1	5.0	6	288	
7/14	168	2	5.3	11	498	
Daily			34.7	64	438	15.5
7/15	165	1	5.6	3	129	
7/15	166	2	6.5	7	258	
7/15	167	1	6.9	7	243	
7/15	168	2	9.0	14	373	
7/15	169	1	4.5	4	213	
7/15	170	2	6.7	10	358	
7/15	171	1	6.0	4	160	
7/15	172	2	5.9	19	773	
Daily			51.1	68	314	15.5
7/16	173	1	5.2	1	46	
7/16	174	2	5.2	5	231	
7/16	175	1	7.3	0	0	
7/16	176	2	6.1	9	354	
7/16	177	1	5.0	5	240	
7/16	178	2	5.5	11	480	
7/16	179	1	5.4	3	133	
7/16	180	2	6.6	4	145	
Daily			46.3	38	204	15.5
7/17	181	1	5.0	0	0	
7/17	182	2	5.1	4	188	
7/17	183	1	5.0	4	192	
7/17	184	2	4.6	5	261	
7/17	185	1	5.3	5	226	
7/17	186	2	4.5	4	213	
7/17	187	1	4.7	5	255	
7/17	188	2	4.6	4	209	
Daily			38.8	31	193	15.0
7/18	189	1	4.6	6	313	
7/18	190	2	8.1	7	207	
7/18	191	1	5.9	3	122	
7/18	192	2	5.8	6	248	
7/18 ^d	193		5.0	9	432	
7/18 ^d	194		4.6	13	678	
7/18 ^d	195		4.1	10	585	
7/18 ^d	196		3.7	9	584	
Daily			41.8	63	396	14.0

-continued-

Appendix A3.–Page 6 of 6.

Date	Set No.	Station	Mean Fishing Time (min)	Sockeye Catch (No. of fish)	Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE)	Mean Water Temp (°C)
7/19	197	1	5.0	9	432	
7/19	198	2	4.6	13	678	
7/19	199	1	4.1	10	585	
7/19	200	2	3.7	9	584	
7/19	201	1	4.2	6	343	
7/19	202	2	4.8	7	350	
7/19	203	1	4.7	9	460	
7/19	204	2	6.5	7	258	
Daily			37.6	70	461	14.0
Total			1,044.6	2,658	18,673	
Max			9.0	48	3,055	16.5
Mean			5.0	12	706	14.9
Min			2.7	0	0	13.5

- ^a Only 1 set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 3 July to estimate evening drifts.
- ^b Missed Set No. 76 because of fog. Repeated station 2 Set No. 74 drift information to estimate daily index.
- ^c Missed Set No. 119 and 120 because net was caught in propeller. Repeated Set No. 117 and 118 drift information to estimate daily index.
- ^d Only one set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 19 July to estimate evening drifts.

**APPENDIX B. HISTORICAL INRIVER TEST FISHING DATA
SUMMARY BY RIVER**

Appendix B1.–Kvichak River sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary, 1979–2005.

Year	Travel Time (d)	Cum. Index	Last Date Fished	FPI^a	Cumulative Tower Count	Date^b	Data Reference
1979 ^c	2.0	21,901	6/29	243	5,330,532	7/01	Meacham (1980)
1980	2.0	106,315	7/09	174	18,508,524	7/11	Bue & Meacham (1981)
1981	2.0	20,813	7/01	83	1,723,506	7/13	Bue (1982)
1982	2.0	17,718	7/21	63	1,119,996	7/23	Bue (1984)
1983	2.0	13,234	7/12	216	2,853,198	7/14	Yuen (1985)
1984	3.0	45,584	7/12	222	10,111,152	7/15	Yuen et al. (1985)
1985 ^d	5.0	41,649	7/16	171	7,120,506	7/23	Bue et al. (1988)
1986	1.0	25,923	7/15	43	1,102,242	7/16	Yuen et al. (1988)
1987	2.0	55,881	7/14	106	5,945,994	7/16	Fried & Bue (1988a)
1988	1.0	38,743	7/17	104	4,045,500	7/18	Fried & Bue (1988b)
1989	2.0	58,044	7/16	141	8,163,918	7/18	Stratton et al. (1990)
1990	3.0	44,794	7/15	149	6,673,872	7/18	Stratton (1990)
1991	2.0	56,669	7/17	71	4,114,932	7/19	Stratton & Woolington (1992)
1992	4.0	46,755	7/16	106	4,686,828	7/20	Stratton & Crawford (1994)
1993	1.0	47,449	7/20	84	4,007,712	7/21	Stratton & Crawford (1996)
1994	2.0	55,073	7/15	142	7,631,076	7/17	Gray et al. (1999)
1995	2.0	62,556	7/18	154	9,702,972	7/20	Gray et al. (1999)
1996	2.0	18,089	7/17	77	1,396,710	7/19	Gray et al. (1999)
1997	2.0	25,228	7/16	58	1,434,504	7/18	Gray et al. (1999)
1998	2.0	25,041	7/16	91	2,290,584	7/18	Gray et al. (1999)
1999	4.0	73,725	7/16	85	6,266,625	7/20	Gray (2000)
2000	2.0	40,186	7/16	51	1,791,282	7/18	West et al. (2000)
2001	2.0	29,771	7/15	34	1,060,890	7/17	Crawford et al. (2002)
2002	2.0	34,177	7/15	21	703,536	7/17	West (2003)
2003	2.0	57,220	7/12	29	1,612,560	7/14	West (2004)
2004	2.0	101,557	7/16	56	5,432,844	7/18	West (<i>In prep</i>)
2005	1.0	76,402	7/15	38	2,237,250	7/16	Current Report

^a FPI value from travel time analysis on the final day of test fishing.

^b Cumulative spawning escapement date is last date fished at test fishing site plus travel time to tower site.

^c Sites used from 1979–1984 were located on west bank above Nakeen (site 1), and on east bank about 2 km above Sea Gull Flat Island.

^d Data from 1985 to present may not be comparable with those from 1979–1984. Test fishing sites were relocated in 1985 about 20 km upriver from old sites, and changes were made in mesh size (from 13.65 cm to 12.7 cm) and in web material (from multifilament nylon to multistrand monofilament).

Appendix B2.–Egegik River sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary, 1979–2005.

Year	Travel Time (d)	Cum. Index	Last Date Fished	FPI^a	Cumulative Tower Count	Date^b	Data Reference
1979 ^c	1.0	23,980	7/10	38	905,034	7/11	Meacham (1980)
1980	4.0	13,312	7/16	80	1,060,860	7/20	Bue & Meacham (1981)
1981	3.0	18,921	7/13	37	691,764	7/16	Bue (1982)
1982	3.0	30,361	7/12	34	1,029,684	7/15	Bue (1984)
1983	1.0	16,276	7/10	44	718,368	7/11	Yuen (1985)
1984	3.0	26,947	7/12	43	1,151,028	7/15	Yuen et al. (1985)
1985 ^d	4.0	19,974	7/09	53	1,052,250	7/13	Bue et al. (1988)
1986	1.0	16,370	7/14	60	981,841	7/15	Yuen et al. (1988)
1987	2.0	21,810	7/14	53	1,162,464	7/16	Fried & Bue (1988a)
1988	1.0	21,024	7/16	76	1,591,752	7/17	Fried & Bue (1988b)
1989	3.0	30,343	7/12	52	1,590,234	7/15	Stratton et al. (1990)
1990	3.0	17,578	7/16	127	2,155,062	7/19	Stratton (1990)
1991	4.0	31,066	7/12	82	2,722,476	7/16	Stratton & Woolington (1992)
1992	3.0	24,498	7/11	89	1,795,542	7/14	Stratton & Crawford (1994)
1993	1.0	17,189	7/10	75	1,346,160	7/11	Stratton & Crawford (1996)
1994	2.0	12,777	7/12	137	1,708,998	7/14	Gray et al. (1999)
1995	2.0	11,769	7/12	100	1,139,724	7/14	Gray et al. (1999)
1996	2.0	15,043	7/12	72	1,039,428	7/14	Gray et al. (1999)
1997	2.0	20,136	7/12	52	1,051,500	7/14	Gray et al. (1999)
1998	3.0	16,476	7/13	65	1,032,480	7/16	Gray et al. (1999)
1999	5.0	20,568	7/13	82	1,686,576	7/18	Gray (2000)
2000	2.0	13,517	7/13	80	1,024,800	7/15	West et al. (2000)
2001	2.0	16,381	7/12	58	959,598	7/14	Crawford et al. (2002)
2002	2.0	12,611	7/12	79	993,318	7/14	West (2003)
2003	1.5	19,668	7/11	59	1,147,368	7/13	West (2004)
2004	1.0	15,037	7/13	86	1,285,062	7/14	West (<i>In Prep</i>)
2005	1.0	18,732	7/11	85	1,580,706	12/12	Current Report

^a FPI value from travel time analysis on the final day of test fishing.

^b Cumulative spawning escapement date is last date fished at test fishing site plus travel time to tower site.

^c Sites used from 1979–2000 were located about 3 km upriver from tip of Egg Island on the south (site 1), and on the north bank (site 2).

^d Data from 1985 to present may not be comparable with those from 1979–1984 because changes were made in gillnet mesh size (from 13.65cm to 13.02 cm) and in web material (from multifilament nylon to multistrand monofilament).

Appendix B3.—Ugashik River sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary, 1979–2005.

Year	Travel Time (d)	Cum. Index	Last Date Fished	FPI^a	Cumulative Tower Count	Date^b	Data Reference
1979	^c 9.0	42,880	7/13	39	1,662,348	7/22	Meacham (1980)
1980	3.0	85,711	7/17	30	2,550,174	7/20	Bue & Meacham (1981)
1981	^d 3.0	73,861	7/16	18	1,304,022	7/19	Bue (1982)
1982	^e 4.0	48,057	7/15	23	1,120,680	7/19	Bue (1984)
1983	1.0	15,485	7/16	54	831,744	7/17	Yuen (1985)
1984	8.0	20,138	7/17	61	1,223,286	7/25	Yuen et al. (1985)
1985	^f 7.0	30,903	7/16	32	997,026	7/26	Bue et al. (1988)
1986	9.0	36,786	7/15	27	1,001,492	7/24	Yuen et al. (1988)
1987	^g 6.0	14,393	7/17	41	587,964	7/23	Fried & Bue (1988a)
1988	2.0	16,106	7/24	39	625,752	7/26	Fried & Bue (1988b)
1989	5.0	36,562	7/21	46	1,669,350	7/26	Stratton et al. (1990)
1990	3.0	20,113	7/20	35	692,310	7/23	Stratton (1990)
1991	4.0	27,359	7/15	89	2,255,216	7/19	Stratton & Woolington (1992)
1992	2.0	21,601	7/18	99	1,997,058	7/20	Stratton & Crawford (1994)
1993	2.0	14,793	7/13	87	1,292,046	7/15	Stratton & Crawford (1996)
1994	1.0	8,180	7/17	94	766,638	7/18	Gray et al. (1999)
1995	3.0	15,833	7/19	81	1,249,398	7/22	Gray et al. (1999)
1996	2.0	18,617	7/18	36	610,926	7/20	Gray et al. (1999)
1997	3.0	21,969	7/18	22	481,356	7/21	Gray et al. (1999)
1998	2.0	8,243	7/18	71	589,920	7/20	Gray et al. (1999)
1999	5.0	17,549	7/18	84	1,474,116	7/23	Gray (2000)
2000	2.0	14,901	7/20	42	557,268	7/22	West et al. (2000)
2001	3.0	25,409	7/16	32	816,222	7/19	Crawford et al. (2002)
2002	1.5	18,260	7/15	45	848,754	7/17	West (2003)
2003	2.0	21,806	7/13	32	716,892	7/15	West (2004)
2004	1.0	21,712	7/15	30	652,650	7/16	West (<i>In prep</i>)
2005	1.0	18,673	7/19	39	725,520	7/20	Current Report

^a FPI value from travel time analysis on the final day of test fishing.

^b Cumulative spawning escapement date is last date fished at test fishing site plus travel time to tower site.

^c Three sites used from 1979–1980 located about 1 km downriver from Ugashik Village on east bank (site 1), and on the west bank about 4 km and 5 km upriver from Ugashik Village (sites 2 & 3, respectively).

^d Two sites used beginning 1981 located on east bank about 7 km upriver from Ugashik Village (site 1) and on west bank about 8 km upriver from Ugashik Village (site 2).

^e Site 1 moved to east bank about 5 km upriver from Ugashik Village and Site 2 moved to west bank about 5 km upriver from Ugashik Village.

^f Data from 1985 to present may not be comparable with those from 1979–84 because changes were made in gillnet mesh size (from 13.65cm to 13.02 cm) and in web material (from multifilament nylon to multistrand monofilament).

^g Site 1 moved to east bank about 8 km upriver from Ugashik Village and Site 2 moved to west bank about 8 km upriver from Ugashik Village.

**APPENDIX C. DRIFT GILLNET CATCHES BY DAY AND SPECIES,
2005.**

Appendix C1.—Drift gillnet catches by day and species at the Kvichak inriver test fish project, 2005.

Date	Fishing	Species Catch							
	Time (min)	Sockeye Salmon	Chinook Salmon	Chum Salmon	Coho Salmon	Pink Salmon	Arctic Char	Rainbow Trout	Starry Flounder
6/21	80.9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/22	54.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/23	88.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/24	87.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/25	88.3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/26	85.2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/27	88.6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/28	81.3	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/29	38.3	334	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/30	15.9	262	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/01	15.8	328	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/02	14.6	317	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/03	12.1	356	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/04	9.0	343	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/05	10.5	396	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/06	9.5	364	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/07	6.0	235	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/08	16.2	242	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/09	15.1	225	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/10	18.4	239	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/11	25.3	339	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/12	21.2	274	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
7/13	26.0	134	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
7/14	52.5	160	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
7/15	26.3	61	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
Total		4,652	3	24	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix C2.—Drift gillnet catches by day and species at the Egegik inriver test fish project, 2005.

Fishing		Species Catch							
Date	Time (min)	Sockeye Salmon	Chinook Salmon	Chum Salmon	Coho Salmon	Pink Salmon	Arctic Char	Rainbow Trout	Starry Flounder
6/14	54.5	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/15	54.8	33	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6/16	52.9	45	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6/17	50.5	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
6/18	56.2	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/19	52.4	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6/20	56.0	109	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/21	55.3	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
6/22	56.5	23	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6/23	51.5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
6/24	52.8	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
6/25	52.8	39	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
6/26	54.5	125	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
6/27	54.5	307	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
6/28	51.7	323	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6/29	50.6	344	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
6/30	50.4	318	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/01	48.3	309	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
7/02	20.9	88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/03	46.9	226	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/04	43.9	116	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/05	48.8	304	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
7/06	56.1	236	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/07	53.3	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/08	56.6	231	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/09	55.8	88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/10	54.8	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/11	55.1	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		3,690	3	10	0	0	0	0	19

Appendix C3.—Drift gillnet catches by day and species at the Ugashik inriver test fish project, 2005.

Date	Fishing	Species Catch							
	Time (min)	Sockeye Salmon	Chinook Salmon	Chum Salmon	Coho Salmon	Pink Salmon	Arctic Char	Rainbow Trout	Starry Flounder
6/24	42.3	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
6/25	44.9	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
6/26	56.0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
6/27	55.1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
6/28	51.0	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/29	54.9	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
6/30	47.5	100	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
7/01	39.1	243	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/02	31.9	235	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
7/03	35.4	210	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
7/04	34.0	178	3	0	0	0	1	0	2
7/05	38.9	128	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/06	37.1	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/07	32.8	94	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
7/08	26.6	262	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/09	28.4	188	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/10	36.8	109	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/11	31.6	182	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
7/12	34.3	138	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
7/13	35.7	140	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
7/14	34.7	64	3	0	0	0	0	0	4
7/15	51.1	68	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
7/16	46.3	38	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
7/17	38.8	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7/18	41.8	63	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
7/19	37.6	70	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Total		2,658	37	4	0	1	3	0	48

**APPENDIX D. KVICHAK RIVER INRIVER TEST FISHING AND
TOWER COUNT DATA, 1995–2004**

Appendix D1.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1995.

Test Fishing								% Error		
Date	Fishing		Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Observation Tower		Fishing Estimate
	Time (min)	Catch (no)						Date Plus Travel Time ^c	Cumulative Escapement	
6/21	76.8	0	0	0	111	0		6/23	0	
6/22	38.3	0	0	0	111	0		6/24	0	
6/23	73.2	1	4	4	111	444		6/25	60	640
6/24	68.8	2	7	11	111	1,221		6/26	41,406	-97
6/25	32.0	145	3,236	3,247	111	360,417		6/27	361,350	0
6/26	7.5	157	5,392	8,639	111	958,929		6/28	724,302	32
6/27	25.0	62	970	9,609	111	1,066,599		6/29	941,466	13
6/28	33.6	6	42	9,651	111	1,071,261	345,000 ^e	6/30	1,113,768	-4
6/29	13.6	173	3,826	13,477	111	1,495,947	450,000 ^e	7/01	1,610,586	-7
6/30	5.1	102	5,645	19,122	115	2,199,030	1,100,000 ^e	7/02	2,338,470	-6
7/01	30.4	101	1,260	20,382	119	2,425,458	850,000	7/03	2,797,974	-13
7/02	29.6	95	2,071	22,453	122	2,739,266	400,000	7/04	3,105,426	-12
7/03	27.8	60	1,068	23,521	136	3,198,856	275,000	7/05	3,346,434	-4
7/04	15.8	206	4,292	27,813	138	3,838,194	750,000 ^e	7/06	3,983,466	-4
7/05	11.1	229	8,061	35,874	142	5,094,108	1,750,000	7/07	4,937,724	3
7/06	18.9	232	4,662	40,536	143	5,796,648	1,800,000	7/08	5,930,604	-2
7/07	14.0	200	6,474	47,010	137	6,440,370	1,500,000	7/09	7,020,624	-8
7/08	13.6	132	3,016	50,026	146	7,303,796	1,400,000	7/10	7,683,972	-5
7/09	30.1	94	955	50,981	149	7,596,169	600,000	7/11	8,006,076	-5
7/10	35.1	117	795	51,776	152	7,869,952	275,000 ^e	7/12	8,169,732	-4
7/11	32.6	168	1,282	53,058	158	8,383,164	365,000	7/13	8,430,660	-1
7/12	32.6	183	1,372	54,430	155	8,436,650	300,000	7/14	8,658,360	-3
7/13	24.2	202	3,000	57,430	157	9,016,510	575,000	7/15	8,878,692	2
7/14	29.4	94	806	58,236	155	9,026,580	140,000 ^e	7/16	9,017,946	0
7/15	30.3	66	521	58,757	153	8,989,821	140,000	7/17	9,131,418	-2
7/16	28.8	98	821	59,578	154	9,175,012	140,000 ^e	7/18	9,247,914	-1
7/17	30.8	171	1,342	60,920	154	9,381,680	270,000 ^e	7/19	9,511,962	-1
7/18	25.3	145	1,636	62,556	154	9,633,624	350,000	7/20	9,702,972	-1
6/23–7/18								Mean Percent Error (MPE)	20	
								Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)	33	
6/30–7/18								Mean Percent Error (MPE)	-3	
								Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)	4	

^a The 1985–1994 mean escapement per index point relationship (111 EPI) was used until 30 June when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1995.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e 1995 Bristol Bay Salmon Data, 3-ring binder notebook, Kvichak R Test Fish section.

Appendix D2.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1996.

Test Fishing								Observation Tower		% Error
Date	Fishing		Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus	Cumulative	of Test Fishing Estimate
	Time (min)	Catch (no)						Travel Time ^c	Escapement	
6/21	53.9	0	0	0	93	0	6/23	0		
6/22	71.7	1	3	3	93	279	6/24	42	564	
6/23	72.3	2	6	9	93	837	6/25	4,104	-80	
6/24	67.0	35	132	141	93	13,113	6/26	25,278	-48	
6/25	73.0	6	19	160	93	14,880	6/27	26,588	-44	
6/26	33.3	2	15	175	93	16,275	6/28	39,954	-59	
6/27	64.8	0	0	175	93	16,275	6/29	40,956	-60	
6/28	62.9	0	0	175	228	39,900	6/30	41,730	-4	
6/29	56.9	1	4	179	234	41,886	7/01	47,304	-11	
6/30	64.9	30	107	286	238	68,068	7/02	90,198	-25	
7/1	47.2	61	430	716	264	189,024	7/03	224,100	-16	
7/2	51.2	218	1,058	1,774	125	221,750	7/04	317,832	-30	
7/3	46.3	119	647	2,421	230	556,830	7/05	361,242	54	
7/4	56.7	34	131	2,552	175	446,600	7/06	384,966	16	
7/5	58.4	26	101	2,653	175	464,275	7/07	419,982	11	
7/6	56.1	48	214	2,867	154	441,518	7/08	468,372	-6	
7/7	34.8	195	1,520	4,387	146	640,502	7/09	568,224	13	
7/8	33.6	135	1,009	5,396	112	604,352	7/10	668,742	-10	
7/9	15.8	112	1,581	6,977	108	753,516	7/11	769,008	-2	
7/10	21.9	139	1,849	8,826	105	926,730	7/12	859,962	8	
7/11	20.3	139	1,859	10,685	93	993,705	7/13	1,035,414	-4	
7/12	29.9	200	1,626	12,311	80	984,880	7/14	1,159,806	-15	
7/13	27.1	115	1,028	13,339	83	1,107,137	7/15	1,237,662	-11	
7/14	22.6	161	2,745	16,084	83	1,334,972	7/16	1,309,980	2	
7/15	20.3	121	1,342	17,426	82	1,428,932	7/17	1,332,114	7	
7/16	32.6	49	366	17,792	80	1,423,360	7/18	1,352,754	5	
7/17	33.3	56	297	18,089	77	1,392,853	7/19	1,396,710	0	
6/22–7/17						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			10	
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			42	
7/03–7/16						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			-1	
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			12	

^a The 1985–1995 mean escapement per index point relationship (93 EPI) was used until 28 June when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1996.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e No estimated river fish recorded in the test fish file or the daily run summary for this date.

^f 1996 Bristol Bay Salmon Data, 3-ring binder notebook, Kvichak R Test Fish section.

Appendix D3.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1997.

Test Fishing								Observation Tower		% Error
Date	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c	Cumulative Escapement	of Test Fishing Estimate
6/21	48.9	0	0	0	84	0		6/23	^d	
6/22	87.1	4	10	10	84	840		6/24	2,676	-69
6/23	86.4	4	10	20	84	1,680	1,000	6/25	6,300	-73
6/24	86.7	7	19	39	84	3,276	600	6/26	15,108	-78
6/25	88.1	12	32	71	84	5,964	2,000	6/27	41,760	-86
6/26	90.2	9	23	94	84	7,896	2,000	6/28	59,904	-87
6/27	85.6	11	33	127	84	10,668	^e	6/29	66,636	-84
6/28	77.7	4	15	142	84	11,928	45,000	6/30	72,720	-84
6/29	68.3	7	26	168	84	14,112	25,000	7/01	75,894	-81
6/30	67.2	21	73	241	84	20,244	30,000	7/02	82,920	-76
7/01	78.2	35	111	352	84	29,568	45,000	7/03	115,974	-75
7/02	33.8	105	739	1,091	84	91,644	55,000	7/04	157,986	-42
7/03	51.8	195	1,004	2,095	99	207,405	100,000	7/05	206,040	1
7/04	42.3	227	1,304	3,399	109	370,491	200,000	7/06	299,526	24
7/05	28.1	228	1,913	5,312	79	419,648	210,000	7/07	439,404	-4
7/06	21.0	327	4,213	9,525	61	581,025	375,000	7/08	637,146	-9
7/07	33.9	486	3,587	13,112	64	839,168	500,000	7/09	796,824	5
7/08	22.9	221	2,324	15,436	67	1,034,212	400,000	7/10	949,566	9
7/09	30.3	288	2,933	18,369	66	1,212,354	350,000	7/11	1,052,790	15
7/10	33.5	234	1,677	20,046	59	1,182,714	275,000	7/12	1,139,928	4
7/11	36.4	131	903	20,949	57	1,194,093	150,000	7/13	1,200,360	-1
7/12	35.8	156	1,056	22,005	56	1,232,280	100,000	7/14	1,291,050	-5
7/13	36.8	130	869	22,874	57	1,303,818	110,000	7/15	1,348,704	-3
7/14	33.5	83	600	23,474	58	1,361,492	85,000	7/16	1,381,290	-1
7/15	18.0	75	1,024	24,498	58	1,420,884	95,000	7/17	1,410,996	1
7/16	37.9	113	730	25,228	58	1,463,224	100,000	7/18	1,434,504	2
6/22–7/16						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				-32
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				37
7/03–7/16						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				3
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				6

^a The 1985–1996 mean escapement per index point relationship (84 EPI) was used until 3 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1997.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e No estimate river fish recorded in the test fish files or the daily run summary for this date.

Appendix D4.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1998.

Date	Test Fishing							Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c	Cumulative Escapement	
	6/21	75.5	1	4	4	81	324		6/23	
6/22	51.1	0	0	4	81	324		6/24	60	440
6/23	85.5	0	0	4	81	324		6/25	84	286
6/24	83.2	2	6	10	81	810	1,000	6/26	1,020	-21
6/25	79.9	20	59	69	81	5,589	5,000	6/27	3,048	83
6/26	77.0	48	142	211	81	17,091	15,000	6/28	5,742	198
6/27	79.7	5	14	225	81	18,225	25,000	6/29	16,086	13
6/28	74.6	4	13	238	81	19,278	25,000	6/30	26,130	-26
6/29	79.5	19	53	291	76	22,116	30,000	7/01	31,758	-30
6/30	79.4	16	49	340	68	23,120	30,000	7/02	32,112	-28
7/01	79.5	264	873	1,213	58	70,354	55,000	7/03	84,348	-17
7/02	40.4	325	1,983	3,196	53	169,388	150,000	7/04	232,902	-27
7/03	21.0	131	1,497	4,693	80	375,440	300,000	7/05	417,390	-10
7/04	41.1	432	2,546	7,239	69	499,491	300,000	7/06	597,258	-16
7/05	38.1	272	1,714	8,953	84	752,052	350,000	7/07	752,646	0
7/06	38.6	175	1,076	10,029	84	842,436	275,000	7/08	832,662	1
7/07	42.4	149	853	10,882	81	881,442	150,000	7/09	979,920	-10
7/08	42.2	267	1,518	12,400	80	992,000	200,000	7/10	1,365,540	-27
7/09	26.6	476	4,673	17,073	81	1,382,913	450,000	7/11	1,795,476	-23
7/10	37.6	384	2,410	19,483	85	1,656,055	335,000	7/12	2,070,624	-20
7/11	33.0	208	1,579	21,062	95	2,000,890	250,000	7/13	2,181,300	-8
7/12	29.3	249	2,108	23,170	100	2,317,000	300,000	7/14	2,238,450	4
7/13	35.9	177	1,109	24,279	99	2,403,621	250,000	7/15	2,269,344	6
7/14	35.6	23	138	24,417	95	2,319,615	125,000	7/16	2,279,946	2
7/15	35.4	22	153	24,570	92	2,260,440	25,000	7/17	2,284,782	-1
7/16	19.8	40	471	25,041	91	2,278,731	50,000	7/18	2,290,584	-1
6/22–7/16						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				31
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				52
6/29–7/16						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				-11
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				13

^a The 1985–1997 mean escapement per index point relationship (81 EPI) was used until 29 June when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1998.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

Appendix D5.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 1999.

Test Fishing								Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate
Date	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c	Cumulative Escapement	
6/21	8.1	0	0	0	106	0	0	6/25	54	
6/22	39.5	0	0	0	106	0	0	6/26	90	
6/23	80.9	0	0	0	106	0	0	6/27	180	
6/24	81.4	14	42	42	106	4,452	4,000	6/28	204	2,082
6/25	78.9	2	6	48	106	5,088	5,000	6/29	438	1,062
6/26	82.3	0	0	48	106	5,088	5,000	6/30	17,400	-71
6/27	68.7	1	4	52	106	5,512	5,000	7/01	104,850	-95
6/28	80.6	0	0	52	106	5,512	5,000	7/02	337,092	-98
6/29	70.7	298	1,322	1,374	106	145,644	150,000	7/03	748,320	-81
6/30	40.2	560	4,944	6,318	106	669,708	700,000	7/04	1,086,408	-38
7/01	18.0	653	11,383	17,701	106	1,876,306	1,800,000	7/05	1,523,532	23
7/02	23.4	378	4,095	21,796	106	2,310,376	2,000,000	7/06	1,827,804	26
7/03	19.7	282	6,631	28,427	106	3,013,262	2,100,000	7/07	2,256,162	34
7/04	14.6	649	11,439	39,866	106	4,225,796	3,000,000	7/08	2,788,716	52
7/05	9.9	251	6,091	45,957	106	4,871,442	3,000,000	7/09	3,192,648	53
7/06	25.9	237	2,348	48,305	80	3,864,400	2,000,000	7/10	3,588,606	8
7/07	32.1	85	648	48,953	79	3,867,287	1,500,000	7/11	3,804,456	2
7/08	15.0	56	945	49,898	69	3,442,962	700,000	7/12	4,032,456	-15
7/09	30.0	53	438	50,336	70	3,523,520	350,000	7/13	4,332,018	-19
7/10	31.1	38	298	50,634	76	3,848,184	300,000	7/14	4,649,838	-17
7/11	27.6	264	2,553	53,187	79	4,201,773	400,000	7/15	4,948,680	-15
7/12	26.3	446	4,751	57,938	80	4,635,040	650,000	7/16	5,111,028	-9
7/13	31.8	278	2,141	60,079	86	5,166,794	850,000	7/17	5,421,666	-5
7/14	30.6	186	1,522	61,601	86	5,297,686	650,000	7/18	5,850,216	-9
7/15	26.4	578	6,034	67,635	85	5,748,975	800,000	7/19	6,039,222	-5
7/16	23.7	475	6,090	73,725	85	6,266,625	1,100,000	7/20	6,109,500	3
6/24–7/16						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			125	
6/24–7/16						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			166	
7/6–7/16						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			-7	
7/6–7/16						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			10	

^a The 1985–1998 mean escapement per index point relationship (106 EPI) was used until 6 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1999.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 4 d.

Appendix D6.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2000.

Date	Test Fishing						Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c		Cumulative Escapement
6/21	73.5	0	0	0	105	0		6/23	108	
6/22	75.7	1	4	4	105	420		6/24	180	133
6/23	75.5	1	3	7	105	735		6/25	210	250
6/24	31.2	2	17	23	105	2,415		6/26	3,570	-32
6/25	73.0	146	668	691	105	72,555	70,000	6/27	21,474	238
6/26	58.8	155	657	1,349	105	141,645	140,000	6/28	41,886	238
6/27	31.1	2	16	1,365	105	143,325	140,000	6/29	66,486	116
6/28	48.0	206	1,196	2,561	105	268,905	250,000	6/30	143,766	87
6/29	18.0	36	284	2,845	105	298,725	400,000 ^d	7/01	214,206	39
6/30	24.5	272	3,390	6,235	105	654,675	500,000	7/02	390,126	68
7/01	25.6	406	4,407	10,641	105	1,117,305	650,000	7/03	606,708	84
7/02	34.4	69	495	11,136	49	545,664	300,000	7/04	701,700	-22
7/03	34.8	18	124	11,260	49	551,740	100,000	7/05	725,208	-24
7/04	59.6	3	12	11,272	54	608,688	10,000	7/06	731,790	-17
7/05	74.7	6	18	11,290	55	620,950	10,000	7/07	734,226	-15
7/06	73.8	0	0	11,290	56	632,240	10,000	7/08	737,634	-14
7/07	28.1	166	1,492	12,782	56	715,792	70,000	7/09	790,482	-9
7/08	29.8	136	1,107	13,889	56	777,784	100,000	7/10	869,382	-11
7/09	54.3	37	184	14,072	54	759,888	70,000	7/11	891,570	-15
7/10	32.4	195	1,561	15,633	55	859,815	100,000	7/12	1,080,930	-20
7/11	26.7	272	2,950	18,583	56	1,040,648	350,000	7/13	1,358,364	-23
7/12	^e		4,123	22,706	62	1,407,772	350,000	7/14	1,508,904	-7
7/13	17.1	311	5,295	28,001	66	1,848,066	500,000	7/15	1,650,666	12
7/14	24.6	303	3,664	31,665	53	1,678,245	500,000	7/16	1,713,084	-2
7/15	13.2	295	5,488	37,153	56	2,080,568	300,000	7/17	1,759,458	18
7/16	9.1	91	3,033	40,186	51	2,049,486	125,000	7/18	1,791,282	14
6/24–7/16						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			31	
6/24–7/16						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			49	
7/2–7/16						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			-9	
7/2–7/16						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			15	

^a The 1985–1999 mean escapement per index point relationship (105 EPI) was used until 2 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 2000.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Afternoon drifts missed due to motor problems. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using afternoon drifts from 28 June and morning drifts from 29 June.

^e No test fishing conducted due to motor problems. Daily index was interpolated using data from 11–13 July.

Appendix D7.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2001.

Date	Test Fishing						Model Estimates									Observation	% Error	
	Fishing			Forecasted			Historical Mean EPI			Travel Time EPI ^b			Negotiated EPI ^c			Published	Tower	of Test
	Time	Catch	Daily	Cum.	EPI ^a	Escapement	Estimated			Estimated			Estimated			Inriver	Cumulative	Fishing
	(min)	(no)	Index	Index			FPI	Forecast	River Fish	Lag	FPI	River Fish	Lag	FPI	River Fish	Estimate	Escapement	Estimate
6/21	40.7	3	18	18	70	1,260	70	1,231	1,189						1,000	42	2,900	
6/22	70.7	1	3	21	70	1,470	70	1,467	1,167						1,000	300	390	
6/23	69.4	35	143	164	70	11,480	70	11,489	10,907						10,000	582	1,873	
6/24	61.9	54	279	443	70	31,010	70	31,008	30,270						30,000	738	4,102	
6/25	53.6	61	523	966	50	48,300	50	48,295	46,177 ^d						46,000	2,118	2,180	
6/26 ^e	49.8	63	986	1,952	50	97,600	50	90,939	85,953						85,000	4,986	1,857	
6/27 ^f	47.4	156	1,929	3,881	50	194,050	50	187,388	173,102	2	15	41,144	3	32	106,581	150,000	14,286	1,258
6/28	49.3	82	634	4,515	23	103,845				1	11	7,183	2	23	59,856	50,000	42,480	144
6/29	39.5	187	1,224	5,739	16	91,824				2	16	28,847	2	16	28,847	30,000	58,188	58
6/30	11.2	65	1,398	7,137	19	135,603				2	19	49,789	2	19	49,789	50,000	85,716	58
7/01	17.8	107	2,349	9,486	32	303,552				4	40	221,887	3	34	169,174	150,000	153,642	98
7/02	19.4	81	1,838	11,324	32	362,368				5	66	490,776	2	36	150,112	100,000	255,924	42
7/03	20.2	89	1,820	13,144	39	512,616				5	69	591,502	3	43	260,450	200,000	309,486	66
7/04	17.5	172	4,027	17,171	35	600,985				5	69	788,497	2	35	204,400	200,000	395,844	52
7/05	25.0	116	1,222	18,393	39	717,327				5	65	732,505	2	35	185,509	200,000	464,472	54
7/06	21.9	66	871	19,264	40	770,560				4	53	416,938	2	35	72,493	140,000 ^g	594,564	30
7/07	19.2	117	2,514	21,778	42	914,676				4	53	453,419	3	38	127,019	140,000 ^g	690,210	33
7/08	17.5	124	3,676	25,454	40	1,018,160				3	41	286,910	2	39	240,140	200,000 ^g	747,390	36
7/09	17.6	77	1,574	27,028	41	1,108,148				3	43	334,190	2	42	219,581	200,000 ^g	829,242	34
7/10	20.8	79	1,184	28,212	39	1,100,268				3	40	259,384	2	37	103,042	140,000 ^g	877,932	25
7/11	22.4	41	507	28,719	36	1,033,884				2	35	59,433	3	37	121,822	80,000	949,530	9
7/12 ^h	22.4	41	507	29,226	35	1,022,910				2	35	35,774	2	35	35,774	35,000	994,800	3
7/13 ^{ij}	22.4	41	507	29,733	35	1,040,655				2	35	35,798	1	35	17,588	20,000	1,013,364	3
7/14	25.6	2	18	29,751	35	1,041,285				2	35	18,372	2	35	18,372	10,000	1,021,356	2
7/15	12.4	1	20	29,771	35	1,041,985				2	34	1,337	2	34	1,337	1,500	1,024,464	2
6/21–7/15														Mean Percent Error (MPE)			612	
6/21–7/15														Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			612	
6/29–7/15														Mean Percent Error (MPE)			35	
6/29–7/15														Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			35	

-continued-

Appendix D7.–Page 2 of 2.

- ^a The 1991–2000 mean escapement per index point relationship (70 EPI) was used until 24 June; the mean EPI was then downgraded from 70 to 50, based on an analysis of escapement versus EPI and catch rates, and the downgraded value (50 EPI) was used through 27 June. Thereafter, EPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Inriver fish estimate based on analysis of escapement vs. EPI and catch rates similar to last year. EPI downgraded from 70 to 50.
- ^e One afternoon drift missed on 26 June due to time spent giving away fish to Levelock residents for subsistence use. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using prior afternoon drift from 26 June.
- ^f One morning drift missed on 27 June. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using the previous drift from 27 June.
- ^g Deleted all test fish data collected prior to 27 June for this analysis.
- ^h All drifts missed on 12 July due to time spent helping the genetics crew collect Alagnak River samples. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using drifts from 11 July.
- ⁱ All morning drifts were missed on 13 July due to fuel problems. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using morning drifts from 11 July.
- ^j All afternoon drifts were missed on 13 July due to the outboard motor overheating and a clogged fuel filter. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using afternoon drifts from 11 July.

Appendix D8.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2002.

Date	Test Fishing						Model Estimates						Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower Cumulative Escapement	Daily % Error of Test Fishing Estimate			
	Fishing		Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Historical Mean EPI			Travel Time EPI ^b						Negotiated EPI ^c		
	Time (min)	Catch (no)					FPI	Forecast	River Fish	Lag	FPI	River Fish				Lag	FPI	River Fish
			Estimated	Estimated	Estimated													
6/21	40.7	3	18	18	70	1,260	70	1,231	1,189						1,000	42	2,900	
6/22	70.7	1	3	21	70	1,470	70	1,467	1,167						1,000	300	390	
6/23	69.4	35	143	164	70	11,480	70	11,489	10,907						10,000	582	1,873	
6/24	61.9	54	279	443	70	31,010	70	31,008	30,270						30,000	738	4,102	
6/25	53.6	61	523	966	50	48,300	50	48,295	46,177 ^d						46,000	2,118	2,180	
6/26 ^e	49.8	63	986	1,952	50	97,600	50	90,939	85,953						85,000	4,986	1,857	
6/27 ^f	47.4	156	1,929	3,881	50	194,050	50	187,388	173,102	2	15	41,144	3	32	106,581	14,286	1,258	
6/28	49.3	82	634	4,515	23	103,845				1	11	7,183	2	23	59,856	42,480	144	
6/29	39.5	187	1,224	5,739	16	91,824				2	16	28,847	2	16	28,847	58,188	58	
6/30	11.2	65	1,398	7,137	19	135,603				2	19	49,789	2	19	49,789	85,716	58	
7/01	17.8	107	2,349	9,486	32	303,552				4	40	221,887	3	34	169,174	153,642	98	
7/02	19.4	81	1,838	11,324	32	362,368				5	66	490,776	2	36	150,112	255,924	42	
7/03	20.2	89	1,820	13,144	39	512,616				5	69	591,502	3	43	260,450	309,486	66	
7/04	17.5	172	4,027	17,171	35	600,985				5	69	788,497	2	35	204,400	395,844	52	
7/05	25.0	116	1,222	18,393	39	717,327				5	65	732,505	2	35	185,509	464,472	54	
7/06	21.9	66	871	19,264	40	770,560				4	53	416,938	2	35	72,493	594,564	30	
7/07	19.2	117	2,514	21,778	42	914,676				4	53	453,419	3	38	127,019	690,210	33	
7/08	17.5	124	3,676	25,454	40	1,018,160				3	41	286,910	2	39	240,140	747,390	36	
7/09	17.6	77	1,574	27,028	41	1,108,148				3	43	334,190	2	42	219,581	829,242	34	
7/10	20.8	79	1,184	28,212	39	1,100,268				3	40	259,384	2	37	103,042	877,932	25	
7/11	22.4	41	507	28,719	36	1,033,884				2	35	59,433	3	37	121,822	949,530	9	
7/12 ^h	22.4	41	507	29,226	35	1,022,910				2	35	35,774	2	35	35,774	994,800	3	
7/13 ^{i,j}	22.4	41	507	29,733	35	1,040,655				2	35	35,798	1	35	17,588	1,013,364	3	
7/14	25.6	2	18	29,751	35	1,041,285				2	35	18,372	2	35	18,372	1,021,356	2	
7/15	12.4	1	20	29,771	35	1,041,985				2	34	1,337	2	34	1,337	1,024,464	2	
6/21–7/15													Mean Percent Error (MPE)			612		
6/21–7/15													Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			612		
6/29–7/15													Mean Percent Error (MPE)			35		
6/29–7/15													Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			35		

-continued-

Appendix D8.–Page 2 of 2.

- ^a A 3-year mean EPI of 49, based on a three year hindcasting MAPE analysis, was used through 27 June. Thereafter, EPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Missed 2 of 4 scheduled afternoon drifts. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using index values from the previous 2 drifts.
- ^e Afternoon drifts missed due to outboard motor overheating. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using morning drifts from 2 July.
- ^f Missed evening drifts on 12 July because crew was picking up aerial survey markers upriver. Crew observed high catch rates in subsistence nets in front of Levelock on the evening of 12 July. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using morning drifts from 12 July and the average index from drifts on the morning of 13 July.

- ^a A preseason calculated mean FPI of 50, based on time series relationships of FPI values used within the last 3 to 5 years, was determined to be high inseason and downgraded. A mean of the last 3 years of season ending FPIs, resulting in an FPI of 35, was used from 22 June to 23 June. The FPI was downgraded further to 20, based on catch rates similar to last year, and was used through 25 June when lag time relationships became more accurate.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e Missed morning drifts on 25 June. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using index values from the morning drifts on 24 June.
- ^f Only completed 2 of 4 afternoon scheduled drifts. Plane brought in replacement crewmember late.
- ^g Catch rate high on station 2 during morning drifts. Skipped last drift and interpolated by repeating station 2 index.
- ^h Catch rate high on both stations during the first 2 evening drifts. Skipped remaining drifts and interpolated by repeating index values of previous 2 drifts.
- ⁱ Catch rate high on station 2 during morning drifts. Skipped last drift and interpolated by repeating station 2 index.
- ^j Catch rates high at station 2 on 6–8 July. Skipped last drift of each drifting session and interpolated each missed drift by repeating each index from first drift at station 2.
- ^k Only 1 set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 10 July to simulate evening drifts.

Appendix D10.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Kvichak River, 2004.

Date	Test Fishing				Model Estimates									Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower		Daily % Error of Negotiated ERF
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	Historical Mean FPI			Travel Time FPI ^b		Negotiated FPI ^c			Daily Esc.		Cum. Esc.		
					FPI ^a	Forecast	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a				Estimated River Fish	
6/20	104.6	18	48	48	85	4080	4080								d	d	
6/21	74.8	7	46	94	85	7,997	7,997								d	d	
6/22	68.2	0	0	94	85	7,997	7,997								d	d	
6/23	68.8	86	312	406	85	34,513	34,123								390	390	
6/24	59.8	44	178	584	85	49,624	48,520						50,000	714	1,104		
6/25	61.7	10	42	626				3.0	50	26,399	3.0	50	26,399	27,000	3,570	4,674	-45
6/26	54.9	3	14	640				3.0	55	12,879	3.0	50	9,551	10,000	19,290	23,964	-83
6/27	60.1	11	45	685				3.0	75	7,468	3.0	75	7,468	8,000	23,364	47,328	-98
6/28	23.7	133	1,492	2,177				3.0	78	120,209	2.0	76	116,839	115,000	4,992	52,320	-61
6/29 ^e	35.0	322	2,951	5,128				3.0	120	820,157	1.5	76	492,689	400,000	28,038	80,358	4
6/30	21.7	365	5,136	10,264				2.0	160	1,313,669	2.0	150	1,212,897	1,200,000	270,870	351,228	94
7/01	34.0	161	2,563	12,827				2.0	148	1,172,632	2.0	142	1,097,700	1,000,000	410,034	761,262	240
7/02 ^f	26.6	250	6,818	19,645				1.0	76	517,519	1.0	76	517,519	500,000	216,042	977,304	383
7/03	20.7	225	4,654	24,299				2.0	84	966,578	1.5	66	523,110	500,000	107,070	1,084,374	35
7/04 ^g	23.4	244	4,065	28,364				1.0	54	219,337	1.0	54	219,337	200,000	230,514	1,314,888	-30
7/05 ^g	18.8	375	9,657	38,021				1.0	69	842,851	1.0	66	733,014	700,000	315,216	1,630,104	317
7/06 ^{f,g}	8.9	489	13,160	51,181				2.0	77	1,746,312	1.5	65	1,211,581	1,200,000	175,680	1,805,784	87
7/07	9.4	322	8,376	59,557				2.0	65	1,539,818	1.5	53	866,843	900,000	350,016	2,155,800	0
7/08 ^{g,h}	23.8	221	3,561	63,118				2.0	59	708,200	1.5	54	397,428	400,000	598,722	2,754,522	-46
7/09	17.9	312	6,071	69,189				2.0	60	579,727	2.0	64	825,089	800,000	543,888	3,298,410	20
7/10	20.5	197	3,762	72,951				2.0	63	622,072	2.0	61	468,002	500,000	393,456	3,691,866	-10
7/11 ⁱ	7.8	319	10,037	82,988				2.0	62	853,210	2.0	62	853,210	800,000	291,966	3,983,832	29
7/12 ^j	12.6	260	5,370	88,358				2.0	62	951,529	2.0	62	951,529	900,000	227,874	4,211,706	22
7/13	14.3	279	5,025	93,383				2.0	59	617,122	2.0	59	617,122	600,000	432,930	4,644,636	11
7/14	14.8	291	5,185	98,568				2.0	60	609,872	1.5	58	447,273	400,000	349,326	4,993,962	64
7/15	36.5	105	757	99,325				2.0	59	348,742	2.0	58	283,100	250,000	208,080	5,202,042	66
7/16	27.2	151	2,232	101,557				2.0	57	169,812	2.0	56	125,794	130,000	129,144	5,331,186	24
7/17															41,892	5,373,078	
7/18															59,766	5,432,844	
6/25–7/16						Mean		2.1	75.1			1.9	69.7			MPE ^k	49
						Median		2.0	62.5			2.0	62.0			MAPE ^k	84

-continued-

Appendix D10.—Page 2 of 2.

- ^a The preseason FPI of 85 was based on the season ending FPI in 1999. 2004 had a similar run projection of an inshore return and age composition as that which occurred in 1999. The preseason FPI of 85 was used through 24 June. Thereafter, FPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e Missed evening drifts on 29 June because of a problem with the outboard motor. Interpolated these data by repeating Index values from morning drifts.
- ^f The expected number of inriver fish calculated by the average index of 6,818 on 2 July was not observed several days later at the tower. The daily index on 6 July was adjusted to a value of 2,000 to help stabilize the model for the remainder of the inriver estimates.
- ^g Catch rate high on station 2 during evening drifts. Skipped last drift and interpolated by repeating station 2 index.
- ^h Missed Set No. 137 through 140 because of a problem with the lower unit on the outboard motor. Used average index values (9,979, 16,457, 9,240, and 10,330) from evening drifts on 6 July and morning drifts from 7 July to estimate the ERF for 8 July. Used the average index values from morning drifts on 7 July and morning drifts on 8 July to estimate the ERF for 9 July and beyond.
- ⁱ Only 1 set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 12 July to simulate evening drifts.
- ^j Catch rate high on station 2 during morning drifts. Skipped last drift and interpolated by repeating station 2 index.
- ^k MPE = Mean Percent Error, MAPE = Mean Absolute Percent Error.

**APPENDIX E. EGEGIK RIVER INRIVER TEST FISHING AND
TOWER COUNT DATA, 1995-2004**

Appendix E1.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1995.

Date	Test Fishing						Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c		Cumulative Escapement
6/16	60.1	9	35	35	79	2,765		6/18	^d	
6/17	58.6	68	339	374	79	29,546		6/19	456	6,379
6/18	54.4	53	236	610	79	48,190		6/20	5,142	837
6/19	58.5	55	210	820	79	64,780		6/21	20,658	214
6/20	63.5	61	241	1,061	79	83,819		6/22	22,710	269
6/21	56.5	86	428	1,489	79	117,631		6/23	22,788	416
6/22	60.9	40	158	1,647	79	130,113		6/24	26,388	393
6/23	29.3	47	372	2,019	79	159,501		6/25	54,864	191
6/24	66.3	89	282	2,301	79	181,779		6/26	136,548	33
6/25	45.7	100	798	3,099	79	244,821		6/27	224,874	9
6/26	40.4	165	1,087	4,186	36	150,696		6/28	413,640	-64
6/27	23.0	83	1,036	5,222	70	365,540		6/29	529,458	-31
6/28	30.4	194	1,516	6,738	89	599,682	185,000 ^e	6/30	651,534	-8
6/29	34.6	29	193	6,931	101	700,031	170,000 ^e	7/01	731,520	-4
6/30	28.1	107	937	7,868	96	755,328	110,000 ^e	7/02	738,414	2
7/01	29.3	27	199	8,067	105	847,035	120,000	7/03	756,942	12
7/02	26.2	5	54	8,121	93	755,253	25,000	7/04	835,848	-10
7/03	28.6	37	322	8,443	93	785,199	35,000	7/05	891,984	-12
7/04	21.1	82	1,006	9,449	102	963,798	140,000 ^e	7/06	933,210	3
7/05	26.7	6	57	9,506	107	1,017,142	130,000	7/07	936,186	9
7/06	24.3	7	76	9,582	98	939,036	15,000	7/08	1,001,562	-6
7/07	33.8	32	347	9,929	98	973,042	40,000	7/09	1,063,380	-8
7/08	29.2	59	602	10,531	102	1,074,162	^f	7/10	1,068,600	1
7/09	25.4	23	215	10,746	102	1,096,092	70,000	7/11	1,092,234	0
7/10	10.0	2	49	10,795	101	1,090,295	25,000 ^e	7/12	1,113,714	-2
7/11	27.0	43	562	11,357	101	1,147,057	60,000	7/13	1,128,558	2
7/12	24.8	32	412	11,769	100	1,176,900	100,000	7/14	1,139,724	3
6/17–7/12						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				332
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				343
6/26–7/12						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				-7
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				10

^a The 1985–1994 mean escapement per index point relationship (79 EPI) was used until June 26 when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1995.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e 1995 Bristol Bay Salmon Data, 3-ring binder notebook, Egegik R Test Fish section.

^f Historical data unavailable.

Appendix E2.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1996.

Date	Test Fishing						Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c		Cumulative Escapement
6/15	66.9	83	326	326	80	26,080		6/17	^d	
6/16	44.2	72	390	716	80	57,280		6/18	^d	
6/17	59.7	95	464	1,180	80	94,400		6/19	11,220	741
6/18	58.9	29	144	1,324	80	105,920		6/20	21,870	384
6/19	27.6	4	32	1,356	80	108,480	100,000	6/21	21,960	394
6/20	55.3	11	66	1,422	80	113,760	90,000	6/22	23,220	390
6/21	59.6	4	19	1,441	80	115,280	90,000	6/23	44,394	160
6/22	56.4	45	202	1,643	80	131,440	100,000	6/24	71,772	83
6/23	24.8	164	1,962	3,605	80	288,400	75,000 ^e	6/25	96,240	200
6/24	56.1	33	153	3,758	45	169,110	100,000 ^e	6/26	98,538	72
6/25	54.7	99	647	4,405	50	220,250	140,000	6/27	99,426	122
6/26	61.6	18	70	4,475	44	196,900	110,000	6/28	136,602	44
6/27	49.3	20	95	4,570	30	137,100	40,000	6/29	172,470	-21
6/28	46.4	156	1,091	5,661	30	169,830	36,000	6/30	206,904	-18
6/29	20.5	74	1,004	6,665	41	273,265	55,000 ^e	7/01	243,126	12
6/30	47.3	86	567	7,232	40	289,280	90,000	7/02	289,164	0
7/01	39.9	94	647	7,879	39	307,281	70,000	7/03	349,878	-12
7/02	49.0	117	819	8,698	42	365,316	80,000	7/04	380,994	-4
7/03	48.2	58	366	9,064	44	398,816	80,000	7/05	398,196	0
7/04	56.3	58	243	9,307	46	428,122	80,000	7/06	406,800	5
7/05	53.0	27	157	9,464	48	454,272	60,000	7/07	441,486	3
7/06	61.6	59	236	9,700	46	446,200	50,000	7/08	492,828	-9
7/07	50.8	140	726	10,426	48	500,448	70,000	7/09	555,078	-10
7/08	50.5	152	1,011	11,437	50	571,850	100,000	7/10	789,726	-28
7/09	51.5	148	853	12,290	53	651,370	100,000	7/11	1,015,800	-36
7/10	26.6	162	1,918	14,208	64	909,312	125,000	7/12	1,036,488	-12
7/11	40.8	87	714	14,922	71	1,059,462	50,000	7/13	1,038,858	2
7/12	39.5	20	121	15,043	72	1,083,096	50,000	7/14	1,042,128	4
6/17–7/12						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			95	
6/17–7/12						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			106	
6/24–7/12						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			6	
6/24–7/12						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			21	

^a The 1985–1995 mean escapement per index point relationship (80 EPI) was used until 24 June when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1996.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e 1996 Bristol Bay Salmon Data, 3-ring binder notebook, Egegik R Test Fish section.

Appendix E3.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1997.

Date	Test Fishing						Estimated River Fish ^b	Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement		Date Plus Travel Time ^c	Cumulative Escapement	
6/15	14.6	18	279	279	80	22,320		6/17	^d	
6/16	34.9	78	498	777	80	62,160		6/18	^d	
6/17	47.0	116	640	1,417	80	113,360		6/19	14,634	675
6/18	25.1	66	742	2,159	80	172,720		6/20	40,734	324
6/19	50.9	124	634	2,793	80	223,440		6/21	54,300	311
6/20	49.3	72	350	3,143	80	251,440		6/22	89,130	182
6/21	49.3	135	652	3,795	80	303,600		6/23	99,702	205
6/22	52.9	71	363	4,158	80	332,640	100,000	6/24	105,960	214
6/23	47.1	52	260	4,418	31	136,958	40,000	6/25	112,284	22
6/24	48.3	32	183	4,601	27	124,227	23,000	6/26	119,694	4
6/25	44.7	30	165	4,766	26	123,916	16,000	6/27	144,858	-14
6/26	52.0	36	171	4,937	27	133,299	15,000	6/28	187,494	-29
6/27	42.6	74	452	5,389	31	167,059	25,000	6/29	231,528	-28
6/28	48.9	79	422	5,811	40	232,440	50,000	6/30	266,094	-13
6/29	45.3	94	649	6,460	42	271,320	46,000	7/01	282,390	-4
6/30	47.0	89	514	6,974	42	292,908	50,000	7/02	289,008	1
7/01	53.9	23	103	7,077	45	318,465	40,000	7/03	302,622	5
7/02	23.4	66	775	7,852	43	337,636	50,000	7/04	310,578	9
7/03	55.1	89	454	8,306	42	348,852	50,000	7/05	319,830	9
7/04	51.9	74	378	8,684	40	347,360	50,000	7/06	338,082	3
7/05	50.2	107	875	9,559	38	363,242	50,000	7/07	508,746	-29
7/06	36.8	131	1,351	10,910	38	414,580	85,000	7/08	636,552	-35
7/07	33.2	380	3,853	14,763	49	723,387	230,000	7/09	675,780	7
7/08	44.6	163	968	15,731	49	770,819	150,000	7/10	688,032	12
7/09	52.8	178	921	16,652	43	716,036	60,000	7/11	864,228	-17
7/10	45.0	174	1,299	17,951	43	771,893	100,000	7/12	945,528	-18
7/11	47.0	223	1,486	19,437	50	971,850	125,000	7/13	1,013,658	-4
7/12	45.7	111	699	20,136	52	1,047,072	75,000	7/14	1,051,500	0
6/17–7/12						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				69
6/17–7/12						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				84
6/23–7/12						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				-6
6/23–7/12						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				13

^a The 1985–1996 mean escapement per index point relationship (80 EPI) was used until 23 June when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1997.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

Appendix E4.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1998.

Date	Test Fishing						Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c		Cumulative Escapement
6/14	28.9	17	127	127	72	9,144		6/17	^d	
6/15	51.4	66	327	454	72	32,688		6/18	24	136,100
6/16	56.0	45	227	681	72	49,032	45,000	6/19	216	22,600
6/17	56.7	18	82	763	72	54,936	50,000	6/20	2,232	2,361
6/18	54.5	20	89	852	72	61,344	50,000	6/21	3,780	1,523
6/19	59.5	24	94	946	72	68,112	58,000	6/22	4,914	1,286
6/20	59.3	27	108	1,054	72	75,888	50,000	6/23	19,980	280
6/21	59.8	64	266	1,320	72	95,040	^e	6/24	41,178	131
6/22	25.6	108	1,044	2,364	72	170,208	80,000	6/25	68,652	148
6/23	66.9	83	290	2,654	72	191,088	80,000	6/26	90,600	111
6/24	55.6	152	773	3,427	46	157,642	100,000	6/27	122,994	28
6/25	73.6	257	870	4,297	46	197,662	90,000	6/28	148,452	33
6/26	52.0	82	392	4,689	41	192,249	100,000	6/29	198,480	-3
6/27	58.5	154	835	5,524	41	226,484	100,000	6/30	244,722	-7
6/28	57.4	46	187	5,711	41	234,151	75,000	7/01	340,398	-31
6/29	50.6	192	1,184	6,895	43	296,485	100,000	7/02	425,676	-30
6/30	38.1	298	2,173	9,068	45	408,060	160,000	7/03	439,296	-7
7/01	65.7	62	238	9,306	55	511,830	170,000	7/04	489,732	5
7/02	64.5	34	122	9,428	55	518,540	90,000	7/05	504,582	3
7/03	51.6	110	721	10,149	43	436,407	90,000	7/06	575,598	-24
7/04	63.3	94	392	10,541	55	579,755	90,000	7/07	677,466	-14
7/05	47.0	231	1,332	11,873	54	641,142	130,000	7/08	713,742	-10
7/06	26.3	107	1,862	13,735	57	782,895	200,000	7/09	731,004	7
7/07	56.7	80	347	14,082	62	873,084	200,000	7/10	776,106	12
7/08	56.0	48	203	14,285	60	857,100	150,000	7/11	835,200	3
7/09	62.6	31	120	14,405	58	835,490	100,000	7/12	859,476	-3
7/10	62.4	79	318	14,723	63	927,549	70,000	7/13	889,242	4
7/11	58.9	151	682	15,405	61	939,705	60,000	7/14	953,022	-1
7/12	73.2	29	99	15,504	61	945,744	50,000	7/15	1,018,110	-7
7/13	60.4	208	972	16,476	65	1,070,940	150,000	7/16	1,032,480	4
6/15–7/13						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				5,672
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				5,682
6/24–7/13						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				-2
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				12

^a The 1985–1997 mean escapement per index point relationship (72 EPI) was used until 24 June when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1998.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e No inriver fish estimate published on this date.

Appendix E5.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 1999.

Date	Test Fishing						Estimated River Fish ^b	Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement		Date Plus Travel Time ^c	Cumulative Escapement		
6/14	28.6	5	41	41	62	2,542		6/19	0		
6/15	59.9	10	42	83	62	5,146		6/20	0		
6/16	63.1	9	31	114	62	7,068		6/21	24	29,350	
6/17	59.5	51	199	313	62	19,406		6/22	48	40,329	
6/18	62.7	151	574	887	62	54,994		6/23	114	48,140	
6/19	62.1	77	285	1,172	62	72,664	72,000	6/24	144	50,361	
6/20	62.3	115	512	1,684	62	104,408	100,000	6/25	162	64,349	
6/21	63.9	92	339	2,023	62	125,426	120,000	6/26	240	52,161	
6/22	61.9	37	146	2,169	62	134,478	135,000	6/27	690	19,390	
6/23	37.1	80	486	2,655	62	164,610	165,000	6/28	750	21,848	
6/24	49.5	134	652	3,307	62	205,034	200,000	6/29	3,720	5,412	
6/25	56.5	75	312	3,619	62	224,378	200,000	6/30	9,318	2,308	
6/26	61.1	9	29	3,648	62	226,176	200,000	7/01	65,718	244	
6/27	54.3	160	802	4,450	62	275,900	150,000	7/02	126,720	118	
6/28	32.8	212	1,636	6,086	62	377,332	250,000	7/03	338,682	11	
6/29	55.3	264	1,167	7,253	62	449,686	350,000	7/04	437,118	3	
6/30	53.1	352	1,627	8,880	62	550,560	450,000	7/05	536,760	3	
7/01	39.4	377	2,624	11,504	62	713,248	575,000	7/06	710,244	0	
7/02	50.2	313	1,790	13,294	62	824,228	650,000	7/07	973,116	-15	
7/03	27.2	270	2,891	16,185	62	1,003,470	700,000	7/08	1,136,394	-12	
7/04	24.1	209	2,230	18,415	62	1,141,730	750,000	7/09	1,330,404	-14	
7/05	34.7	72	480	18,895	62	1,171,490	650,000	7/10	1,472,382	-20	
7/06	25.6	99	916	19,811	62	1,228,282	500,000	7/11	1,562,754	-21	
7/07	64.5	30	97	19,908	75	1,493,100	500,000	7/12	1,648,578	-9	
7/08	76.8	11	33	19,941	75	1,495,575	350,000	7/13	1,671,756	-11	
7/09	52.0	9	38	19,979	75	1,498,425	150,000	7/14	1,688,616	-11	
7/10							50,000 ^d	7/15	1,708,188		
7/11	17.6	8	109	20,088	82	1,647,216	100,000	7/16	1,716,000	-4	
7/12	41.4	37	214	20,302	82	1,664,764	50,000	7/17	1,727,772	-4	
7/13	31.8	39	266	20,568	82	1,686,576	35,000	7/18	1,727,772	-2	
6/16–7/13											
										Mean Percent Error (MPE)	12,367
										Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)	12,376
7/7–7/13											
										Mean Percent Error (MPE)	-7
										Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)	7

^a The 1986–1998 mean escapement per index point relationship (62 EPI) was used until 7 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1999.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 5 d.

^d 1999 Bristol Bay Salmon Data, 3-ring binder notebook, Egegik R Test Fish section.

Appendix E6.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2000.

Date	Test Fishing						Estimated River Fish ^b	Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement		Date Plus Travel Time ^c	Cumulative Escapement	
6/14	37.4	2	13	13	77	1,001		6/16	d	
6/15	71.0	10	33	46	77	3,542		6/17	d	
6/16	78.5	12	37	83	77	6,391		6/18	d	
6/17	67.0	40	151	234	77	18,018		6/19	6,234	189
6/18	67.6	94	335	569	77	43,813	40,000	6/20	12,330	255
6/19	66.5	187	683	1,252	77	96,404	96,000	6/21	21,348	352
6/20	53.3	88	406	1,658	77	127,666	113,000	6/22	28,776	344
6/21	72.2	51	177	1,835	77	141,295	120,000	6/23	42,750	231
6/22	68.4	15	52	1,887	77	145,299	100,000	6/24	91,734	58
6/23	64.3	20	86	1,973	77	151,921	100,000	6/25	185,790	-18
6/24	31.0	340	3,294	5,267	77	405,559	300,000	6/26	345,258	17
6/25	54.3	231	1,391	6,658	77	512,666	300,000	6/27	547,164	-6
6/26	74.0	39	123	6,781	77	522,137	200,000	6/28	592,398	-12
6/27	35.5	19	128	6,909	77	531,993	20,000	6/29	610,608	-13
6/28	70.5	28	95	7,004	77	539,308	40,000	6/30	618,366	-13
6/29	71.7	73	231	7,235	90	651,150	40,000	7/01	641,946	1
6/30	71.1	66	220	7,455	89	663,495	40,000	7/02	651,606	2
7/01	69.6	17	57	7,512	91	683,592	40,000	7/03	675,546	1
7/02	67.6	60	218	7,730	87	672,510	30,000	7/04	697,440	-4
7/03	72.9	4	14	7,744	90	696,960	25,000	7/05	763,170	-9
7/04	53.0	300	1,912	9,656	90	869,040	185,000	7/06	779,808	11
7/05	66.4	86	302	9,958	98	975,884	150,000	7/07	786,168	24
7/06	66.1	15	55	10,013	80	801,040	30,000	7/08	792,000	1
7/07	61.4	9	34	10,047	78	783,666	7,000	7/09	795,906	-2
7/08	73.0	15	47	10,094	79	797,426	7,000	7/10	805,986	-1
7/09	68.1	39	136	10,230	79	808,170	10,000	7/11	855,006	-5
7/10	72.1	293	1,000	11,230	79	887,170	10,000	7/12	917,130	-3
7/11	83.1	238	744	11,974	83	993,842	100,000	7/13	962,082	3
7/12	33.3	132	947	12,921	81	1,046,601	125,000	7/14	1,011,306	3
7/13	79.5	200	593	13,514	80	1,081,120	125,000	7/15	1,024,800	5
6/17–7/13						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			52	
6/17–7/13						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			59	
6/29–7/13						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			2	
6/29–7/13						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			5	

^a The 1985–1999 mean escapement per index point relationship (77 EPI) was used until 29 June when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 2000.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

Appendix E7.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2001.

Date	Test Fishing						Model Estimates									Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower Cumulative Escapement	% Error of Test Fishing Estimate		
	Fishing			Forecasted			Historical Mean EPI			Travel Time EPI ^b			Negotiated EPI ^c							
	Time	Catch	Daily	Cum.	EPI ^a	Cumulative	FPI	Forecast	Estimated	Lag	FPI	Estimated	Lag	FPI	Estimated					
	(min)	(no)	Index	Index		Escapement			River Fish			River Fish			River Fish					
6/14	55.6	26	118	118	76	8,968	76	8,962	8,962										d	
6/15	57.7	13	46	164	76	12,464	76	12,478	12,478										d	
6/16	64.9	52	177	341	76	25,916	76	25,930	25,930										d	
6/17	38.9	62	380	721	76	54,796	76	54,812	54,812										d	
6/18	64.5	99	339	1,060	76	80,560	76	80,541	63,753						80,000		16,788		380	
6/19	62.0	157	591	1,651	76	125,476	76	125,434	98,602	1	25	14,956	3	79	102967	100,000	26,832		368	
6/20	67.4	155	510	2,161	64	138,304	64	138,274	100,780 ^e	1	23	11,587	3	52	74827	100,000	37,494		269	
6/21	71.8	188	563	2,724	64	174,336	64	174,325	125,503	1	23	12,729	3	46	76,662	75,000	48,822		257	
6/22	63.8	159	527	3,251	64	208,064	64	208,047	82,863	5	174	439,067	2	58	63,168	80,000	125,184		66	
6/23	69.5	89	273	3,524	64	225,536	64	225,499	48,511	5	167	411,454	2	65	51,956	48,000	176,988		27	
6/24	67.3	146	522	4,046	64	258,944	64	258,915	45,765	4	99	185,970	2	66	52,116	45,000	213,150		21	
6/25	53.2	306	1,401	5,447	64	348,608	64	348,554	116,498	4	85	231,928	2	66	126,663	110,000	232,056		50	
6/26	51.1	250	1,295	6,742	64	431,488	64	431,418	156,396	4	85	295,280	2	68	183,235	125,000	275,022		57	
6/27	63.6	24	97	6,839	59	403,501	64	437,600	116,702	5	99	354,069	2	59	81,981	90,000	320,898		26	
6/28	67.9	120	403	7,242	62	449,004				2	62	30,711	2	62	30,711	30,000	414,636		8	
6/29	58.8	131	612	7,854	69	541,926				2	69	76,691	2	69	76,691	75,000	465,288		16	
6/30	67.7	119	389	8,243	69	568,767				2	69	69,397	2	69	69,397	60,000 ^f	501,978		13	
7/01	38.4	103	581	8,824	67	591,208				3	72	113,434	2	66	64,150	70,000	519,126		14	
7/02	67.3	110	358	9,182	64	587,648				2	65	60,721	2	65	60,721	50,000	532,944		10	
7/03	45.0	212	1,145	10,327	61	629,947				2	62	92,701	2	62	92,701	80,000	544,278		16	
7/04	59.8	228	932	11,259	59	664,281				2	60	125,233	2	60	125,233	100,000	553,698		20	
7/05	54.5	368	1,820	13,079	60	784,740				3	68	263,360	2	60	165,354	160,000	620,544		26	
7/06	49.1	263	1,319	14,398	61	878,278				2	64	199,903	2	64	199,903	175,000	717,006		22	
7/07	51.1	171	797	15,195	62	942,090				2	63	134,123	2	63	134,123	120,000	828,834		14	
7/08	50.8	167	775	15,970	62	990,140				2	62	97,513	2	62	97,513	100,000	892,524		11	
7/09	64.4	60	165	16,135	61	984,235				2	61	59,169	2	61	59,169	60,000	932,322		6	
7/10	70.4	40	137	16,272	60	976,320				2	59	17,833	2	59	17,833	30,000	942,774		4	
7/11	64.8	9	34	16,306	59	962,054				1	58	1,956	2	59	9,996	15,000	946,224		2	
7/12	61.7	20	75	16,381	58	950,098				1	58	4,339	2	58	6,306	5,000	946,878		0	
6/18–7/12															Mean Percent Error (MPE)					68
															Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)					68
6/28–7/12															Mean Percent Error (MPE)					12
															Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)					12

-continued-

Appendix E7.—Page 2 of 2.

- ^a The 1991–2000 mean escapement per index point relationship (76 EPI) was used until 19 June; the mean EPI was then downgraded from 76 to 64, based on an analysis of escapement versus EPI and catch rates, and the downgraded value (64 EPI) was used through 28 June when lag-time relationships became more accurate.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e Historical mean EPI downgraded from 76 to 64 based on analysis of escapement vs. EPI (Lowell Fair).
- ^f Inriver fish estimate based on 8:00 am, 7/01, aerial survey and 2-day lag time estimate.

Appendix E8.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2002.

Date	Test Fishing				Model Estimates									Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Daily Esc.	Tower Cum. Esc.	Daily % Error of Test Fishing Estimate			
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	Historical Mean FPI			Travel Time FPI ^b			Negotiated FPI ^c									
					FPI ^a	Forecast	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish							
6/14	61.8	3	12	12	67	783	783									d	d			
6/15	63.1	55	205	217	67	14,510	14,510									d	d			
6/16	64.5	54	199	416	67	27,829	27,829									d	d			
6/17	57.1	118	420	836	67	55,994	55,994							55,000		d	d			
6/18	66.0	65	224	1,060	67	71,005	62,053	3.0	41	34,854				65,000	8,952	8,952				
6/19	60.2	11	40	1,100	67	73,653	60,237	3.0	32	22,091				60,000	4,464	13,416				
6/20	60.5	11	44	1,144	67	76,605	40,113	3.0	44	13,432	3.5	58	29802	30,000	23,076	36,492	-47			
6/21	33.0	6	44	1,188	67	79,524	20,568	3.0	56	7,074	3.5	64	17,012	17,000	22,464	58,956	-82			
6/22	61.5	25	97	1,285	67	85,994	11,840	4.0	70	15,654	3.0	68	13,090	13,000	15,198	74,154	-90			
6/23	67.4	151	487	1,772	67	118,652	39,464	4.0	72	48,380	2.5	68	41,240	45,000	5,034	79,188	-78			
6/24 ^e	48.7	252	1,809	3,581				4.0	93	225,721	1.5	75	162,546	165,000	26,742	105,930	-1			
6/25	45.4	342	1,881	5,462				1.0	57	107,034	1.5	83	249,521	250,000	97,776	203,706	34			
6/26	63.2	49	181	5,643				1.0	62	295,280	1.5	83	130,403	130,000	134,112	337,818	7			
6/27	67.4	199	637	6,280				2.0	81	66,229	2.0	80	60,135	60,000	104,328	442,146	-11			
6/28	73.6	110	313	6,593				2.0	85	80,478	1.5	82	62,652	60,000	35,664	477,810	23			
6/29	69.7	234	825	7,418				2.0	81	92,429	1.5	79	75,780	75,000	32,274	510,084	64			
6/30	72.5	100	308	7,726				2.0	83	94,175	2.0	83	94,175	90,000	37,704	547,788	48			
7/01	77.4	316	941	8,667				2.0	76	95,207	2.0	77	102,018	100,000	17,142	564,930	-22			
7/02	72.2	267	836	9,503				2.0	79	140,773	2.0	78	129,225	130,000	46,686	611,616	-14			
7/03	63.0	119	426	9,929				2.0	80	101,280	2.0	80	101,280	100,000	83,286	694,902	32			
7/04	64.9	66	236	10,165				2.0	80	53,026	2.0	80	53,026	50,000	66,132	761,034	42			
7/05	71.9	156	476	10,641				2.0	78	55,301	2.0	78	55,301	50,000	10,422	771,456	2			
7/06	34.6	6	42	10,683				2.0	79	40,713	2.0	79	40,713	40,000	26,892	798,348	-43			
7/07	70.4	204	624	11,307				2.0	78	51,704	2.0	78	51,704	50,000	27,528	825,876	-44			
7/08	67.8	123	370	11,677				2.0	81	80,992	2.0	81	75,992	75,000	44,352	870,228	-7			
7/09	68.4	50	169	11,846				2.0	81	59,169	2.0	82	52,988	50,000	48,060	918,288	-2			
7/10	76.0	210	593	12,439				2.0	82	62,131	2.0	82	62,131	60,000	33,642	951,930	148			
7/11	61.9	35	130	12,569				2.0	82	59,354	1.5	80	33,036	40,000	20,460	972,390	356			
7/12	61.5	11	42	12,611				2.0	79	13,541	2.0	79	19,262	20,000	4,548	976,938	18			
7/13																5,388	982,326			
7/14																10,992	993,318			
6/24–7/12																				
																		Mean Percent Error (MPE)	14	
																			Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)	53

-continued-

Appendix E8.—Page 2 of 2.

- ^a The starting EPI value of 67 was based on a mean EPI univariate time series model with a moving average parameter of 2. This value was used through 19 June. Thereafter, EPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e Only completed 2 of 4 scheduled afternoon drifts because of the extremely high catch rates from the first 2 drifts. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using the average index value from the first 2 drifts.

Appendix E9.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2003.

Date	Test Fishing				Model Estimates									Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower		Daily % Error of Test Fishing Estimate		
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	Historical Mean FPI			Travel Time FPI ^b			Negotiated FPI ^c				Daily Esc.	Cum. Esc.			
					FPI ^a	Estimated		Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated		Lag	FPI ^a					Estimated	
						Forecast	River Fish			River Fish	River Fish							River Fish	River Fish
6/15	52.8	22	110	110	70	7,711	7,711								d	d			
6/16	46.4	55	270	380	70	26,582	26,582								d	d			
6/17	47.4	67	292	671	70	47,002	47,002						45,000		d	d			
6/18	49.2	72	333	1,005	70	70,324	70,324						70,000	186		186			
6/19	46.2	106	545	1,550	70	108,500	97,898						100,000	10,416		10,602			
6/20	52.4	98	427	1,977	70	138,420	108,228	4.0	80	127,028			105,000	19,590		30,192			
6/21	51.2	57	238	2,215				4.0	58	89,064	3.0	40	49,877	50,000	8,544	38,736	32		
6/22	48.0	19	91	2,306				4.0	56	73,261	3.0	38	31,060	30,000	17,826	56,562	-12		
6/23	52.6	152	654	2,960				3.0	33	32,063	3.0	35	39,077	40,000	7,962	64,524	-47		
6/24 ^e	52.6	152	654	3,614				3.0	35	48,280	3.0	35	50,039	55,000	11,934	76,458	-57		
6/25	44.2	390	2,554	6,168				3.0	40	153,885	1.5	28	80,835	80,000	15,414	91,872	10		
6/26	48.7	210	958	7,126				1.0	22	21,493	1.5	30	75,421	70,000	46,494	138,366	-15		
6/27	53.1	341	1,565	8,691				3.0	53	270,033	1.5	30	68,511	65,000	53,862	192,228	-33		
6/28	47.4	245	1,477	10,169				1.0	30	44,709	1.5	33	72,558	70,000	70,782	263,010	-5		
6/29	53.6	49	223	10,391				1.0	32	7,118	2.0	37	59,345	60,000	62,124	325,134	-57		
6/30	53.0	131	646	11,038				1.0	34	21,971	2.0	36	55,117	50,000	28,140	353,274	-76		
7/01	52.7	317	1,444	12,482				3.0	46	105,714	3.0	46	109,487	105,000	111,414	464,688	-74		
7/02	49.1	416	2,211	14,693				4.0	57	258,096	3.0	56	242,656	240,000	115,446	580,134	-46		
7/03	48.3	371	1,868	16,561				1.0	49	92,424	1.5	54	167,269	170,000	146,868	727,002	-29		
7/04	50.7	166	720	17,280				1.0	54	38,828	1.5	58	108,966	110,000	166,296	893,298	-29		
7/05	57.6	135	522	17,803				1.0	60	31,227	2.0	62	70,430	70,000	140,034	1,033,332	33		
7/06	66.6	76	261	18,063				1.0	60	15,513	1.5	61	42,551	30,000	25,980	1,059,312	15		
7/07	64.5	144	483	18,546				1.0	60	29,055	1.5	61	44,979	35,000	27,042	1,086,354	92		
7/08	58.4	71	268	18,814				1.0	60	15,954	1.5	61	41,697	30,000	19,602	1,105,956	137		
7/09	59.0	58	206	19,020				1.0	59	12,203	1.5	60	27,530	20,000	7,722	1,113,678	18		
7/10	31.6	77	531	19,552				1.0	60	31,665	1.0	60	31,665	30,000	19,680	1,133,358	337		
7/11	45.9	23	117	19,668				1.0	58	6,821	1.5	59	10,005	10,000	7,242	1,140,600	95		
7/12															3,474	1,144,074			
7/13															3,294	1,147,368			
6/21–7/11																		Mean Percent Error (MPE)	14
																		Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)	60

-continued-

Appendix E9.–Page 2 of 2.

- ^a A starting FPI of 70, based on a model that incorporated average length, age composition, escapement, and time series analysis, was used through 20 June. Thereafter, FPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e Missed both drift sessions on 24 June because boat sank with previous evening's incoming tide. Interpolated these data by replacing missed drifts with index's from 23 June.

Appendix E10.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Egegik River, 2004.

Date	Test Fishing				Model Estimates									Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower		Daily % Error of Negotiated ERF	
	Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	Historical Mean FPI			Travel Time FPI ^b			Negotiated FPI ^c				Daily Esc.	Cum. Esc.		
					FPI ^a	Forecast	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish					
6/14	42.8	20	119	119	81	9,663	9,663											
6/15	51.0	8	34	153	81	12,400	12,400											
6/16	54.1	39	160	313	81	25,377	25,377						25,000					
6/17	50.8	79	406	719	81	58,286	43,568						45,000	14,718	14,718			
6/18	47.9	28	147	866	81	70,223	38,777						40,000	16,728	31,446			
6/19	50.4	13	60	926	81	75,055	34,447	5.0	340	263,313			35,000	9,132	40,578			
6/20	46.0	148	875	1,801				3.0	97	104,626	2.5	89	90,771	90,000	28,968	69,546		25
6/21	50.3	40	194	1,995				4.0	173	195,743	1.5	100	74,826	75,000	55,188	124,734		336
6/22	53.9	42	184	2,179				4.0	162	212,643	2.0	92	60,226	60,000	15,600	140,334		866
6/23	55.3	13	55	2,234				4.0	155	202,665	2.0	77	28,590	30,000	3,150	143,484		467
6/24	49.3	12	58	2,292				1.0	66	3,772	1.0	66	3,772	4,000	3,084	146,568		92
6/25	69.0	9	32	2,324				1.0	65	2,054	1.0	65	2,054	2,000	1,962	148,530		-93
6/26	44.6	458	3,015	5,339				1.0	77	231,855	1.0	73	211,087	210,000	30,156	178,686		44
6/27	42.3	290	2,473	7,812				1.0	61	150,525	1.0	65	182,881	180,000	146,256	324,942		-9
6/28	59.7	85	286	8,098				1.0	67	19,204	1.0	67	19,204	20,000	200,346	525,288		-84
6/29 ^e	48.6	42	207	8,305				1.0	80	58,052	1.0	80	58,052	60,000	119,562	644,850		68
6/30	54.7	108	461	8,766				1.0	82	41,907	1.0	82	41,907	40,000	34,458	679,308		136
7/01	55.8	100	367	9,133				1.0	80	37,020	1.5	82	55,319	50,000	17,784	697,092		492
7/02	55.0	28	120	9,253				1.0	77	9,276	1.5	78	16,948	15,000	7,710	704,802		107
7/03	56.8	60	249	9,502				1.0	77	19,089	1.0	76	14,126	15,000	3,270	708,072		44
7/04	62.1	29	111	9,613				1.0	76	8,375	1.5	77	18,164	15,000	9,798	717,870		-68
7/05	54.8	245	1,111	10,724				1.0	76	84,162	1.0	76	84,162	90,000	10,560	728,430		-10
7/06	53.6	339	1,554	12,278				1.0	77	119,131	1.5	80	159,832	140,000	93,960	822,390		3
7/07	57.8	253	1,032	13,310				1.0	74	76,526	1.5	78	127,440	120,000	88,296	910,686		-38
7/08	55.9	88	359	13,669				1.0	79	28,208	1.5	82	75,766	80,000	134,364	1,045,050		-51
7/09	55.4	78	325	13,994				1.0	87	28,143	1.5	88	46,792	50,000	139,614	1,184,664		23
7/10	56.9	76	310	14,304				1.0	87	26,922	1.5	88	41,908	35,000	32,130	1,216,794		20
7/11	50.6	80	376	14,680				1.0	86	32,292	1.0	86	32,292	30,000	11,730	1,228,524		-31
7/12 ^f	50.3	44	213	14,893				1.0	87	18,534	1.0	87	18,534	20,000	46,578	1,275,102		216
7/13	50.9	28	144	15,037				1.0	86	12,411	1.0	86	12,411	10,000	5,856	1,280,958		202
7/14															4,104	1,285,062		
6/20–7/13						Mean		1.5	88.9		1.3	80.0				MPE ^g		115
						Median		1.0	79.5		1.3	80.0				MAPE ^g		147

-continued-

Appendix E10.–Page 2 of 2.

- ^a A mean FPI of 81, based on 6 years (1989, 1990, 1995, 1997, 1999, and 2002) with season ending FPI's of large inshore runs with a high 2 ocean age component, was used through 19 June. Thereafter, FPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Based on the best fit as determined by the lowest sums of squares value.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e Only 1 set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Repeated morning index values from 29 June to simulate evening drifts.
- ^f Only 1 set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from 13 July to simulate evening drifts.
- ^g MPE = Mean Percent Error, MAPE = Mean Absolute Percent Error.

**APPENDIX F. UGASHIK RIVER INRIVER TEST FISHING AND
TOWER COUNT DATA, 1994–2003**

Appendix F1.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1995.

Date	Test Fishing						Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c		Cumulative Escapement
6/24	58.7	2	8	8	80	640		6/27		d
6/25	123.0	2	4	12	80	960		6/28		d
6/26	121.3	2	4	16	80	1,280		6/29		d
6/27	108.7	1	2	18	80	1,440		6/30		d
6/28	92.5	2	5	23	80	1,840	1,800 ^e	7/01		d
6/29	101.8	12	28	51	80	4,080	4,000 ^e	7/02		d
6/30	117.4	30	62	113	80	9,040	9,000 ^e	7/03	3,078	194
7/01	101.9	78	185	298	80	23,840	24,000	7/04	7,722	209
7/02	107.4	101	227	525	80	42,000	40,000	7/05	11,532	264
7/03	115.0	87	182	707	80	56,560	50,000	7/06	33,570	68
7/04	121.0	134	284	991	80	79,280	70,000 ^e	7/07	89,376	-11
7/05	81.4	138	568	1,559	80	124,720	115,000	7/08	131,052	-5
7/06	76.8	147	471	2,030	80	162,400	130,000	7/09	143,340	13
7/07	86.9	65	197	2,227	74	164,798	100,000	7/10	155,004	6
7/08	104.6	51	126	2,353	84	197,652	65,000	7/11	166,362	19
7/09	47.0	41	208	2,561	81	207,441	60,000	7/12	184,350	13
7/10	50.7	139	722	3,283	74	242,942	90,000 ^e	7/13	194,118	25
7/11	56.9	87	371	3,654	70	255,780	90,000	7/14	201,930	27
7/12	79.0	63	197	3,851	70	269,570	90,000	7/15	207,780	30
7/13	82.4	49	144	3,995	68	271,660	75,000	7/16	231,720	17
7/14	79.1	63	195	4,190	58	243,020	40,000 ^e	7/17	277,626	-12
7/15	49.7	93	633	4,823	53	255,619	50,000	7/18	556,164	-54
7/16	14.1	125	2,343	7,166	58	415,628	180,000 ^e	7/19	914,160	-55
7/17	13.5	137	2,443	9,609	66	634,194	360,000 ^e	7/20	1,136,262	-44
7/18	16.4	218	4,169	13,778	77	1,060,906	500,000	7/21	1,227,120	-14
7/19	13.6	112	2,055	15,833	81	1,282,473		7/22	1,249,398	3
6/30–7/17						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				35
6/30–7/17						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				54
7/7–7/17						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				-3
7/7–7/17						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				24

^a The 1985–1994 mean escapement per index point relationship (80 EPI) was used until 7 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1995.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 3 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e 1995 Bristol Bay Salmon Data, 3-ring binder notebook, Ugashik R Test Fish section.

Appendix F2.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1996.

Date	Test Fishing							Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c	Cumulative Escapement	
6/23	56.5	7	29	29	95	2,755		6/25		d
6/24	102.9	8	20	49	95	4,655		6/26		d
6/25	110.9	8	17	66	95	6,270	6,000	6/27		d
6/26	63.3	6	23	89	95	8,455	6,000	6/28		d
6/27	122.3	11	21	110	95	10,450	10,000	6/29		d
6/28	109.5	4	9	119	95	11,305	10,000	6/30		d
6/29	102.3	10	23	142	95	13,490	13,000	7/01		d
6/30	100.0	22	54	196	95	18,620	18,000	7/02		d
7/01	90.3	87	243	439	95	41,705	40,000 ^e	7/03	5,604	644
7/02	34.5	171	1,167	1,606	95	152,570	100,000 ^e	7/04	34,470	343
7/03	17.6	181	2,584	4,190	95	398,050	250,000	7/05	97,626	308
7/04	17.2	163	2,406	6,596	95	626,620	350,000	7/06	194,946	221
7/05	22.5	135	1,669	8,265	41	338,865	350,000	7/07	245,946	38
7/06	88.3	129	386	8,651	40	346,040	350,000	7/08	282,606	22
7/07	76.6	163	562	9,213	40	368,520	100,000 ^e	7/09	296,118	24
7/08	59.3	94	458	9,671	40	386,840	125,000	7/10	302,952	28
7/09	89.4	44	125	9,796	39	382,044	100,000	7/11	311,322	23
7/10	98.3	67	165	9,961	35	348,635	50,000	7/12	317,994	10
7/11	37.9	46	290	10,251	34	348,534	50,000	7/13	323,286	8
7/12	83.6	57	188	10,439	33	344,487	30,000	7/14	328,962	5
7/13	97.3	41	108	10,547	32	337,504	25,000	7/15	338,568	0
7/14	99.9	55	136	10,683	33	352,539	20,000	7/16	396,222	-11
7/15	29.8	159	1,992	12,675	33	418,275	100,000	7/17	484,836	-14
7/16	9.2	130	3,393	16,068	37	594,516	200,000	7/18	551,766	8
7/17	12.9	88	1,814	17,882	38	679,516	200,000	7/19	585,210	16
7/18	18.8	59	735	18,617	36	670,212	130,000	7/20	610,926	10
7/1–7/18										
						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				93
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				96
7/5–7/18										
						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				12
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				15

^a The 1985–1995 mean escapement per index point relationship (95 EPI) was used until 5 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1996.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e 1996 Bristol Bay Salmon Data, 3-ring binder notebook, Ugashik R Test Fish section.

Appendix F3.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1997.

Date	Test Fishing						Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c		Cumulative Escapement
6/24	113.4	16	34	34	30	1,020		6/27		^d
6/25	112.3	12	27	61	30	1,830		6/28		^d
6/26	117.4	16	31	92	30	2,760	3,000	6/29		^d
6/27	112.9	22	51	143	30	4,290	4,000	6/30		^d
6/28	90.6	32	96	239	30	7,170	14,000	7/01		^d
6/29	90.5	19	51	290	30	8,700	8,000	7/02	210	4,043
6/30	84.7	47	135	425	30	12,750	12,000	7/03	1,500	750
7/01	41.4	15	89	514	30	15,420	15,000	7/04	2,976	418
7/02	84.3	25	72	586	30	17,580	17,500	7/05	4,680	276
7/03	94.0	20	51	637	30	19,110	18,000	7/06	7,050	171
7/04	95.3	28	71	708	30	21,240	20,000	7/07	16,338	30
7/05	81.9	38	112	820	30	24,600	25,000	7/08	29,838	-18
7/06	66.1	63	229	1,049	30	31,470	45,000	7/09	61,218	-49
7/07	27.7	121	1,358	2,407	44	105,908	85,000	7/10	95,448	11
7/08	20.7	127	1,686	4,093	36	147,348	120,000	7/11	143,538	3
7/09	21.2	157	1,794	5,887	33	194,271	160,000	7/12	192,594	1
7/10	21.7	131	1,480	7,367	31	228,377	140,000	7/13	229,674	-1
7/11	20.7	243	3,013	10,380	30	311,400	175,000	7/14	273,246	14
7/12	19.7	125	1,620	12,000	32	384,000	200,000	7/15	334,122	15
7/13	18.8	164	2,181	14,181	33	467,973	240,000	7/16	355,146	32
7/14	18.6	195	2,662	16,843	24	404,232	140,000	7/17	391,242	3
7/15	19.2	153	2,094	18,937	25	473,425	150,000	7/18	416,160	14
7/16	20.2	49	638	19,575	23	450,225	100,000	7/19	429,414	5
7/17	37.1	112	797	20,372	22	448,184	80,000	7/20	453,222	-1
7/18	12.9	75	1,597	21,969	22	483,318	80,000	7/21	481,356	0
<hr/>										
6/29–7/18						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			286	
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			293	
<hr/>										
7/7–7/18						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			8	
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			8	

^a The 1985–1996 mean escapement per index point relationship (30 EPI) was used until 7 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1997.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 3 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

Appendix F4.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1998.

Date	Test Fishing						Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c		Cumulative Escapement
6/26	111.6	24	53	53	54	2,862		6/28	^d	
6/27	116.4	14	29	82	54	4,428	2,000	6/29	^d	
6/28	120.1	17	34	116	54	6,264	3,000	6/30	^d	
6/29	121.1	13	25	141	54	7,614	3,500	7/01	^d	
6/30	119.1	8	16	157	54	8,478	4,000	7/02	72	11,675
7/01	119.6	13	26	183	54	9,882	5,000	7/03	1,698	482
7/02	120.1	4	8	191	54	10,314	5,000	7/04	2,466	318
7/03	116.9	13	27	218	54	11,772	5,000	7/05	3,600	227
7/04	116.9	3	6	224	54	12,096	5,000	7/06	5,136	136
7/05	58.3	4	16	240	54	12,960	5,000	7/07	11,976	8
7/06	118.5	30	61	301	54	16,254	5,000	7/08	22,146	-27
7/07	89.7	76	220	521	43	22,403	10,000	7/09	37,260	-40
7/08	65.0	84	348	869	53	46,057	25,000	7/10	52,482	-12
7/09	71.3	68	279	1,148	53	60,844	25,000	7/11	86,538	-30
7/10	76.6	172	540	1,688	53	89,464	40,000	7/12	141,216	-37
7/11	19.6	121	1,427	3,115	53	165,095	150,000	7/13	239,064	-31
7/12	38.1	294	1,911	5,026	64	321,664	225,000	7/14	402,414	-20
7/13	40.0	217	1,304	6,330	76	481,080	250,000	7/15	494,700	-3
7/14	39.6	112	677	7,007	76	532,532	125,000	7/16	522,144	2
7/15	35.8	76	528	7,535	78	587,730	90,000	7/17	538,890	9
7/16	38.4	42	262	7,797	73	569,181	50,000	7/18	557,562	2
7/17	39.6	26	156	7,953	71	564,663	30,000	7/19	575,118	-2
7/18	40.2	49	290	8,243	71	585,253	30,000	7/20	589,920	-1
6/30–7/18						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				666
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				687
7/7–7/18						Mean Percent Error (MPE)				-13
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)				16

^a The 1985–1997 mean escapement per index point relationship (54 EPI) was used until 7 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1998.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

Appendix F5.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 1999.

Date	Test Fishing							Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c	Cumulative Escapement	
6/24	19.4	0	0	0	54	0		6/29		^d
6/25	82.4	5	15	15	54	810	800	6/30		^d
6/26	63.0	7	27	42	54	2,268	2,000	7/01		^d
6/27	59.2	13	53	95	54	5,130	5,000	7/02		0
6/28	61.9	13	50	145	54	7,830	7,000	7/03		0
6/29	62.4	28	108	253	54	13,662	10,000	7/04		0
6/30	56.0	82	360	613	54	33,102	30,000	7/05		0
7/01	55.6	101	459	1,072	54	57,888	50,000	7/06		0
7/02	44.3	161	1,148	2,220	54	119,880	80,000	7/07		6
7/03	11.4	27	573	2,793	54	150,822	100,000	7/08	33,186	354
7/04	12.8	81	1,792	4,585	54	247,590	200,000	7/09	237,126	4
7/05	13.8	164	2,779	7,364	54	397,656	350,000	7/10	426,780	-7
7/06	11.2	220	4,732	12,096	54	653,184	500,000	7/11	610,188	7
7/07	6.0	69	2,727	14,823	54	800,442	500,000	7/12	938,928	-15
7/08	25.2	101	1,437	16,260	54	878,040	600,000	7/13	1,293,270	-32
7/09	43.3	48	263	16,523	54	892,242	450,000	7/14	1,371,798	-35
7/10							^e	7/15	1,460,058	
7/11	25.1	37	353	16,876	54	911,304	150,000	7/16	1,505,904	-39
7/12	23.6	24	239	17,115	54	924,210	150,000	7/17	1,527,366	-39
7/13	5.5	1	44	17,159	54	926,586	^f	7/18	1,537,914	-40
7/14	26.3	9	82	17,241	54	931,014	^f	7/19	1,540,962	-40
7/15	28.1	10	86	17,327	54	935,658	^f	7/20	1,547,310	-40
7/16	29.1	8	66	17,393	54	939,222	^f	7/21	1,555,998	-40
7/17	13.7	5	87	17,480	83	1,450,840	20,000	7/22	1,580,808	-8
7/18	53.5	15	69	17,549	84	1,474,116	20,000	7/23	1,606,242	-8
<hr/>										
7/3–7/18						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			2	
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			44	
<hr/>										
7/17–7/18						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			-8	
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			8	

^a The 1986–1998 mean escapement per index point relationship (54 EPI) was used until 17 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 1999.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 5 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e No inriver fish estimate calculated for 7/10. One mid-day high tide on this date; entire drift session missed due to high winds.

^f No inriver fish estimates published for these dates according to 1999 Bristol Bay Salmon Data, 3-ring binder notebook, Ugashik R Test Fish section.

Appendix F6.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2000.

Date	Test Fishing						Observation Tower		% Error of Test Fishing Estimate	
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI. ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Estimated River Fish ^b	Date Plus Travel Time ^c		Cumulative Escapement
6/24	26.1	6	54	54	58	3,132		6/26		d
6/25	71.6	10	32	86	58	4,988	5,000	6/27		d
6/26	97.7	7	17	103	58	5,974	6,000	6/28		d
6/27	94.7	4	10	113	58	6,554	7,000	6/29		d
6/28	44.8	5	27	140	58	8,120	8,000	6/30		d
6/29	78.3	53	174	314	58	18,212	18,000	7/01		d
6/30	36.5	111	676	990	58	57,420	60,000	7/02		d
7/01	14.9	89	1,271	2,261	58	131,138	135,000	7/03	58,800	123
7/02	11.9	87	1,785	4,046	58	234,668	220,000	7/04	126,780	85
7/03	23.0	134	1,380	5,426	58	314,708	260,000	7/05	166,986	88
7/04	50.6	32	176	5,602	58	324,916	200,000	7/06	178,044	82
7/05	67.2	17	67	5,669	58	328,802	50,000	7/07	181,680	81
7/06	94.6	12	30	5,699	58	330,542	10,000	7/08	183,822	80
7/07	92.6	8	21	5,720	31	177,320	2,000	7/09	186,210	-5
7/08	94.6	37	95	5,815	31	180,265	4,000	7/10	187,956	-4
7/09	93.3	41	105	5,920	32	189,440	8,000	7/11	194,394	-3
7/10	98.5	66	161	6,081	32	194,592	15,000	7/12	207,936	-6
7/11	82.9	74	228	6,309	32	201,888	15,000	7/13	230,886	-13
7/12	42.9	39	221	6,530	34	222,020	20,000	7/14	238,134	-7
7/13	66.8	95	340	6,870	36	247,320	30,000	7/15	249,948	-1
7/14	71.0	100	356	7,226	36	260,136	30,000	7/16	267,522	-3
7/15	49.5	92	439	7,665	36	275,940	30,000	7/17	338,490	-18
7/16	20.8	200	2,347	10,012	36	360,432	100,000	7/18	422,568	-15
7/17	18.8	123	1,602	11,614	33	383,262	75,000	7/19	481,506	-20
7/18	21.2	81	1,071	12,685	35	443,975	70,000	7/20	514,998	-14
7/19	23.7	102	1,182	13,867	40	554,680	90,000	7/21	537,996	3
7/20	11.1	52	1,034	14,901	42	625,842	^e	7/22	557,268	12
<hr/>										
7/1–7/20						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			22	
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			33	
<hr/>										
7/7–7/20						Mean Percent Error (MPE)			-7	
						Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)			9	

^a The 1985–1999 mean escapement per index point relationship (58 EPI) was used until 7 July when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information. *Source:* Bristol Bay Salmon Fishery, preliminary sockeye salmon daily run summary, 2000.

^c Best travel time estimate at the end of the season was 2 d.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e No inriver estimate published for this date.

Appendix F7.–Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2001.

Date	Test Fishing					Model Estimates										Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower Cumulative Escapement	% Error of Test Fishing Estimate
	Fishing		Daily Index	Cum. Index	EPI ^a	Forecasted Cumulative Escapement	Historical Mean EPI			Travel Time EPI ^b		Negotiated EPI ^c						
	Time (min)	Catch (no)					FPI	Forecast	River Fish	Lag	FPI	River Fish	Lag	FPI	River Fish			
6/24	61.8	21	81	81	40	3,240	40	3,230	3,230							15,000		d
6/25	64.6	14	52	133	40	5,320	40	5,323	5,323							15,000		d
6/26	63.1	16	60	193	40	7,720	40	7,705	7,705							12,000		d
6/27	69.0	16	57	250	40	10,000	40	9,997	9,997							12,000		d
6/28	64.0	39	147	397	40	15,880	40	15,880	15,880							15,000		d
6/29	65.7	42	154	551	40	22,040	40	22,023	19,089	3	23	9,204	4	36	17,070	19,000	2,934	651
6/30	67.2	61	218	769	40	30,760	40	30,758	20,720	5	75	47,963	3	40	20,847	20,000	10,038	206
7/01	33.9	30	214	983	40	39,320	40	39,325	23,425	5	119	101,562	2	40	23,474	20,000	15,900	147
7/02	66.8	47	169	1,152	40	46,080	40	46,079	26,303	5	79	71,380	3	36	21,602	25,000	19,776	133
7/03	66.9	36	129	1,281	40	51,240	40	51,244	26,110	5	63	55,971	4	46	33,350	25,000	25,134	104
7/04	66.9	66	236	1,517	29	43,993	40	60,695	32,495	3	24	8,945	3	29	15,325	15,000	28,200	56
7/05	53.2	89	459	1,976	25	49,400				2	23	16,055	3	26	21,171	20,000	29,586	67
7/06	13.7	197	3,378	5,354	30	160,620				2	23	86,906	4	30	125,375	130,000	34,368	367
7/07	11.8	235	4,651	10,005	32	320,160				2	28	226,190	3	37	311,435	260,000	55,674	475
7/08	14.8	219	3,861	13,866	26	360,516				2	24	208,212	2	24	208,212	230,000	130,974	175
7/09	16.1	208	3,207	17,073	26	443,898				2	24	168,822	2	24	168,822	190,000	238,992	86
7/10	14.6	185	3,037	20,110	30	603,300				2	28	172,761	2	28	172,761	200,000	383,634	57
7/11	16.6	150	2,349	22,459	35	786,065				3	39	337,423	2	32	171,771	200,000	544,470	44
7/12	17.4	136	1,874	24,333	36	875,988				3	40	290,165	2	34	143,282	200,000	682,350	28
7/13	26.6	85	821	25,154	34	855,236				3	37	186,275	2	33	89,114	100,000	742,740	15
7/14	51.8	30	140	25,294	33	834,702				2	32	30,425	3	34	97,241	40,000	770,364	8
7/15	48.3	16	77	25,371	32	811,872				2	31	6,793	3	32	33,549	20,000	786,486	3
7/16	50.9	8	38	25,409	32	813,088				2	31	3,597	3	32	8,048	6,000	794,334	2
6/29–7/16																Mean Percent Error (MPE)		146
																Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)		146
7/7–7/16																Mean Percent Error (MPE)		90
																Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)		90

^a The 1991–2000 trimmed mean escapement per index point relationship (40 EPI) was used until 4 July when lag-time relationships became more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information.

^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

Appendix F8.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2002.

Date	Test Fishing				Model Estimates							Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower		Daily % Error of Test Fishing Estimate					
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	Historical Mean FPI		Travel Time FPI ^b			Negotiated FPI ^c			Daily Esc.	Cum. Esc.						
					FPI ^a	Forecast	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish	Lag					FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish			
6/24	24.0	2	18	18	57	1,026	1,026							d	d					
6/25	31.7	6	24	42	57	2,394	2,394							d	d					
6/26	65.8	9	35	77	57	4,389	4,389							d	d					
6/27	60.8	7	28	105	57	5,985	5,985							d	d					
6/28	29.3	5	40	145	57	8,260	8,260					8,000		d	d					
6/29	62.2	3	12	157	57	8,923	8,095	4.0	20	2,254		8,000	828	828						
6/30 ^e	31.6	9	70	227	57	12,884	12,002	3.0	8	1,016		10,000	54	882						
7/01	54.7	32	142	369	40	14,729	13,415 ^f	3.0	9	2,025		13,000	432	1,314						
7/02	47.6	49	253	622	40	24,833	22,991	5.0	18	71,380		23,000	528	1,842						
7/03	51.6	41	202	824				1.0	15	3,080	3.5	50	31,684	32,000	7,620	9,462	-17			
7/04	43.4	42	240	1,064				2.0	29	12,970	2.5	40	24,286	24,000	8,760	18,222	-17			
7/05	37.0	67	484	1,548				2.0	28	16,055	3.0	40	38,929	40,000	4,722	22,944	-25			
7/06	18.5	47	626	2,174				2.0	29	31,805	2.5	32	39,103	40,000	7,494	30,438	-16			
7/07	42.3	53	305	2,479				2.0	42	39,007	2.0	42	39,007	40,000	34,380	64,818	162			
7/08	45.0	34	183	2,662				1.0	30	5,512	2.0	34	15,894	15,000	9,756	74,574	2			
7/09	48.3	24	120	2,782				1.0	30	3,590	3.0	40	31,548	30,000	5,106	79,680	-93			
7/10	25.0	212	2,661	5,443				1.0	32	86,289	4.0	46	160,169	160,000	10,482	90,162	-76			
7/11	10.1	288	6,948	12,391				1.0	39	273,895	1.0	45	343,028	350,000	124,362	214,524	15			
7/12	15.7	189	3,584	15,975				1.0	41	148,015	1.0	43	175,208	175,000	297,156	511,680	-15			
7/13	22.2	120	1,373	17,348				1.0	45	61,763	1.0	46	79,443	80,000	206,850	718,530	51			
7/14	27.6	68	594	17,942				1.0	44	26,394	1.5	46	55,080	50,000	52,458	770,988	45			
7/15	39.3	52	318	18,260				1.0	44	14,138	1.5	45	24,370	20,000	27,084	798,072	-33			
7/16														21,552	819,624					
7/17														29,130	848,754					
7/3 - 7/15																				
																			Mean Percent Error (MPE)	-1
																			Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)	44

^a A linear regression analysis of percent 2-ocean through "lock in"(the point at which the travel time commences each year) date versus historical EPI at "lock in" was used to estimate the starting EPI. The resulting EPI of 57 was used through 30 June. The mean EPI was then downgraded from 57 to 40, based on catch rates similar to last year. This value was used through 2 July when lag time relationships became more accurate.

^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information.

^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.

^d Observation towers not in operation.

^e Missed morning sets on 30 June due to 30-40 mph southwest winds. Estimated River Fish (ERF) was estimated using index values from afternoon drifts.

^f EPI downgraded from 57 to 40 based on catch rates similar to last year.

Appendix F9.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2003.

Date	Test Fishing				Model Estimates									Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower		Daily % Error of Test Fishing Estimate			
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	Historical Mean FPI			Travel Time FPI ^b			Negotiated FPI ^c				Daily Esc.	Cum. Esc.				
					FPI ^a	Forecast	River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	River Fish							
					Estimated			Estimated			Estimated									
6/22	57.3	5	21	21	31	651	651									d	d			
6/23	50.8	9	43	64	31	1974	1974										d	d		
6/24	55.8	11	44	108	31	3,352	3,352						3,000				d	d		
6/25	21.2	7	81	189	31	5,854	5,854						6,000				d	d		
6/26	43.0	40	240	429	31	13,299	13,299						13,000				d	d		
6/27	31.0	126	1,117	1,546	31	47,920	47,920						45,000				d	d		
6/28 ^e	26.1	132	1,215	2,761	31	85,576	79,618						70,000	5,958			5,958			
6/29	29.9	129	1,063	3,824	31	118,532	78,172	2.0	26	59,472	2.0	30	74,360	70,000	30,360		36,318	18		
6/30	23.9	246	2,923	6,746				2.0	22	87,768	2.0	24	101,120	100,000	20,424		56,742	1		
7/01	21.7	221	2,479	9,225				1.0	15	37,782	2.0	27	145,389	145,000	42,570		99,312	21		
7/02 ^f	26.2	126	1,182	10,407				1.0	17	20,620	2.5	30	151,171	150,000	57,324		156,636	0		
7/03	29.8	164	1,271	11,678				2.0	26	64,445	2.0	26	64,445	65,000	62,508		219,144	-48		
7/04	22.0	221	2,530	14,208				2.0	27	100,829	2.0	28	121,730	120,000	52,914		272,058	-14		
7/05 ^g	19.6	238	2,889	17,097				2.0	30	161,096	2.5	31	182,865	190,000	71,052		343,110	-4		
7/06 ^h	25.1	158	1,527	18,624				2.0	29	129,758	2.0	29	129,758	130,000	70,356		413,466	-17		
7/07	24.4	127	1,288	19,912				2.0	29	82,303	2.5	31	117,308	110,000	82,452		495,918	-23		
7/08	24.9	85	857	20,768				2.0	31	66,050	2.5	32	90,963	90,000	73,668		569,586	-14		
7/09	26.3	45	430	21,198				2.0	32	40,918	2.5	33	66,023	60,000	63,924		633,510	11		
7/10	14.4	16	265	21,463				2.0	32	22,135	2.0	32	24,839	25,000	28,464		661,974	-31		
7/11	24.3	23	243	21,706				2.0	32	16,479	2.0	32	16,479	15,000	25,704		687,678	13		
7/12	25.9	6	55	21,761				2.0	32	9,682	2.0	32	9,682	10,000	10,512		698,190	-28		
7/13	27.3	5	43	21,803				2.0	32	3,143	2.0	32	3,143	3,000	4,086		702,276	-78		
7/14															9,294		711,570			
7/15															5,322		716,892			
6/29–7/13																			Mean Percent Error (MPE)	-13
																			Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)	21

-continued-

Appendix F9.—Page 2 of 2.

- ^a A preseason calculated mean FPI of 45, based on time series relationships of FPI values used within the last 3 to 5 years, was determined to be high inseason and downgraded. A 6-year mean of season ending FPIs from years with the lowest water velocity measurements at Ugashik Smolt resulted in an FPI of 31. This value was used through 28 June when lag time relationships became more accurate.
- ^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e The daily escapement value was doubled to 10,000 to estimate ERFs from 29 June–8 July. Counts began at noon on 28 June.
- ^f Missed morning drifts on 2 July because of high wind and waves. Interpolated these data by averaging mean fishing time and catch from the morning drifts on 1 July and evening drifts on 2 July.
- ^g Missed last drift at station 2 because of a problem with steering system on the skiff. Interpolated missed drift by repeating previous station 2 index.
- ^h Missed morning drifts on 6 July because of a problem with steering system on the skiff. Interpolated these data by averaging mean fishing time and catch from the morning drifts on 5 July and evening drifts on 6 July.

Appendix F10.—Sockeye salmon inriver test fishing data summary and comparison to tower counts, Ugashik River, 2004.

Date	Test Fishing				Model Estimates									Published Inriver Estimate	Observation Tower		Daily % Error of Negotiated ERF
	Fishing Time (min)	Catch (no)	Daily Index	Cum. Index	Historical Mean FPI			Travel Time FPI ^b			Negotiated FPI ^c				Daily Esc.	Cum. Esc.	
					FPI ^a	Forecast	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish	Lag	FPI ^a	Estimated River Fish				
6/22	34.5	30	252	252	65	16,390	16,390								d	d	
6/23	49.3	18	90	342	65	22,227	22,227						22,000		d	d	
6/24	47.4	24	126	468	65	30,341	30,341						30,000		d	d	
6/25	42.6	7	39	507	65	32,980	32,980						30,000		d	d	
6/26	43.4	9	48	555	65	34,610	34,610						25,000	1506		1,506	
6/27 ^e	44.6	6	36	591	65	38,463	36,135						20,000	822		2,328	
6/28	41.9	5	30	621	65	18,168	14,840						15,000	600		2,928	
6/29	21.8	3	33	654				4.0	6	1,069	8.0	20	10,494	10,000	198	3,126	80
6/30	40.3	6	35	689				4.0	6	862	7.0	24	10,224	10,000	450	3,576	90
7/01	41.9	3	19	708				4.0	7	782	6.0	13	5,228	5,000	480	4,056	6
7/02	43.5	3	14	722				4.0	7	696	3.0	7	947	1,000	234	4,290	-5
7/03	44.6	6	29	751				4.0	7	672	3.0	7	947	1,000	258	4,548	-35
7/04	41.4	6	29	780				4.0	7	658	3.0	8	964	1,000	474	5,022	-76
7/05	36.0	13	87	867				4.0	7	1,186	3.0	7	1,058	1,000	264	5,286	-97
7/06 ^f	38.7	32	173	1,040				4.0	8	2,455	2.0	65	11,245	10,000	720	6,006	-71
7/07 ^g	22.7	219	2,395	3,435				1.0	9	20,659	2.0	65	155,675	160,000	2,964	8,970	0
7/08	18.6	243	3,135	6,570				2.0	52	286,658	2.0	52	286,658	280,000	35,970	44,940	4
7/09	18.3	342	4,634	11,204				2.0	48	373,302	2.5	51	406,372	400,000	120,090	165,030	40
7/10	17.8	195	2,699	13,903				2.0	49	357,974	2.0	45	304,888	300,000	155,706	320,736	68
7/11	20.4	181	2,624	16,527				1.0	29	77,274	1.5	34	152,421	150,000	88,740	409,476	17
7/12	21.1	154	1,869	18,396				1.0	30	56,827	1.5	33	104,553	100,000	93,018	502,494	10
7/13 ^h	22.0	131	1,603	19,999				1.0	31	50,199	1.5	32	63,804	70,000	73,638	576,132	20
7/14	21.2	98	1,174	21,173				1.0	31	36,367	1.0	31	36,367	35,000	43,140	619,272	82
7/15 ⁱ	20.9	45	540	21,712				1.0	30	16,289	1.0	30	16,289	15,000	19,956	639,228	21
7/16															13,422	652,650	
6/29–7/15						Mean		2.6	21.4		2.9	30.8				MPE ^j	9
						Median		2.0	9.0		2.0	31.0				MAPE ^j	42

-continued-

Appendix F10.–Page 2 of 2.

- ^a The mean FPI value of 65 was based on season ending FPIs of recent year inshore runs (1999 and 2002) that contained a high 2 ocean age component. Thereafter, FPIs were based on lag-time relationships.
- ^b Estimated river fish is a subjective estimate of fish that have entered the river but have not passed the counting tower based on all available information.
- ^c Closest to published value based on input from manager, aerial surveys, and/or passage rates at tower.
- ^d Observation towers not in operation.
- ^e Fish caught on 22 June likely passed the tower before counting began on 26 June. Removed 22 June drifts for ERF estimates on 27 and 28 June.
- ^f Ignored all prior drifts and used the starting mean FPI of 65 and the daily index of 173 to estimate the ERF For 6 July. Assumed 2 day travel time.
- ^g Ignored all prior drifts and used the starting mean FPI of 65 and the daily index of 2,395 to estimate the ERF for July 7. Assumed 2 day travel time.
- ^h Only one set of drifts were scheduled because of tide change over. Used morning drifts from July 13 to estimate evening drifts.
- ⁱ Missed last set of drifts on the evening of 15 July because of a problem with the lower unit on the outboard motor. Interpolated these data by using half of the catch from each evening drift on 14 July to estimate index values for the missed drifts.
- ^j MPE = Mean Percent Error, MAPE = Mean Absolute Percent Error.