

**Fishery Data Series No. 11-22**

---

---

**Origins of Chinook Salmon in the Yukon River  
Fisheries, 2006**

by

**Larry DuBois**

May 2011

---

---

Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Divisions of Sport Fish and Commercial Fisheries





***FISHERY DATA SERIES NO. 11-22***

**ORIGINS OF CHINOOK SALMON IN THE YUKON RIVER FISHERIES,  
2006**

by  
Larry DuBois  
Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Anchorage

Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
Division of Sport Fish, Research and Technical Services  
333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage, Alaska, 99518-1599

May 2011

This investigation was financed by the Yukon River Research and Management Funds under project URSM 16-06 and USFWS through Yukon River Salmon Agreement Treaty Implementation funds under Agreement No. 701816G415.

ADF&G Fishery Data Series was established in 1987 for the publication of Division of Sport Fish technically oriented results for a single project or group of closely related projects, and in 2004 became a joint divisional series with the Division of Commercial Fisheries. Fishery Data Series reports are intended for fishery and other technical professionals and are available through the Alaska State Library and on the Internet: <http://www.sf.adfg.state.ak.us/statewide/divreports/html/intersearch.cfm> This publication has undergone editorial and peer review.

*Larry DuBois*

*Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries,  
333 Raspberry Rd., Anchorage, AK 99518-1599, USA*

*This document should be cited as:*

*DuBois, L. 2011. Origins of Chinook salmon in the Yukon River fisheries, 2006. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 11-22, Anchorage.*

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

**If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility please write:**

ADF&G ADA Coordinator, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau, AK 99811-5526

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, MS 2042, Arlington, VA 22203

Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW MS 5230, Washington DC 20240

**The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached via phone at the following numbers:**

(VOICE) 907-465-6077, (Statewide Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) 1-800-478-3648, (Juneau TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078

**For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact:**

ADF&G, Division of Sport Fish, Research and Technical Services, 333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage AK 99518 (907)267-2375.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
LIST OF TABLES.....	ii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
Objective.....	2
METHODS.....	3
Scale Collection, Processing, and Aging.....	3
Escapement Sampling.....	3
Genetic Sampling.....	3
Harvest by Age and Stock Group.....	4
Harvest stock composition by major age classes.....	4
Harvest stock composition by minor age classes.....	5
Harvest stock composition by all ages combined.....	6
Harvest stock composition from other sources.....	6
Harvests assigned by stock group.....	7
RESULTS.....	7
Age Composition.....	7
Genetic Samples.....	8
Genetic Analysis for Major Age Classes.....	8
Genetic Analysis for all ages combined.....	8
Harvest Stock Composition by District and Fishery.....	8
Total Harvest.....	10
DISCUSSION.....	10
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	11
REFERENCES CITED.....	12
TABLES AND FIGURES.....	15

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
1. Yukon River Chinook salmon escapement age composition by tributary and weighted age composition by geographic area, 2006.....	16
2. Yukon River Chinook salmon commercial, subsistence, and test fishery age composition by location, gear type, and sample size, 2006. ....	17
3. Chinook salmon genetic samples collected and analyzed from commercial and subsistence fishery harvests in the Yukon River drainage, 2006.....	18
4. Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and period, from Yukon River District 1 commercial harvest samples, 2006.....	19
5. Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and period, from Yukon River District 2 commercial harvest samples, 2006.....	20
6. Genetic stock composition estimates, by age or all ages combined, from Yukon River Districts 1, 4, and 5 subsistence harvest samples; and Districts 3 and 5 commercial harvest samples, 2006. ....	21
7.-Yukon River Chinook salmon District 1 commercial harvest by age group, stock group, and period, 2006.....	22
8. Yukon River Chinook salmon District 2 commercial harvest by age group, stock group, and period, 2006.....	23
9. Yukon River Chinook salmon total harvest by age group, stock group, and fishery, 2006.....	24
10. Yukon River Chinook salmon total harvest proportion by age group, stock group, and fishery, 2006.....	26
11. Yukon River Chinook salmon harvest by stock group for the United States and Canada, 1981–2006.....	28
12. Yukon River Chinook salmon harvest proportion by stock group for the United States and Canada, 1981–2006.....	29

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
1. Alaska portion of the Yukon River drainage with district boundaries and major spawning tributaries. ....	30
2. Canada portion of the Yukon River drainage and major spawning tributaries.....	31
3. Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and period, from Yukon River District 1 commercial harvest samples, 2006.....	32
4. Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and period, from Yukon River District 2 commercial harvest samples, 2006.....	33
5. Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and all ages combined, from Yukon River subsistence harvest samples in Districts 1, 4, and 5; and commercial harvest samples in Districts 3 and 5, 2006. ....	34
6. Yukon River Chinook salmon total harvest stock composition, by district and fishery, in proportion (upper) and in numbers of fish (lower), 2006.....	35

## ABSTRACT

The stock composition of all harvests of Chinook salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* within the Yukon River drainage was estimated in 2006. Stock composition proportions were estimated for three geographically-based stock groups termed Lower, Middle, and Upper. Age composition of the harvests were estimated from scales collected in each respective harvest or estimated from similar harvests. Genetic stock identification was used to estimate stock composition for the most abundant age classes: age-1.3 and age-1.4 fish, or from all ages combined in Districts 1 through 5 harvests. Observed age composition ratios among escapements, in combination with genetic estimates for analogous age classes, were used to estimate the stock composition of the less abundant age classes. Districts 1, 2, 3, and 5 commercial harvests and Districts 1, 4, and 5 subsistence harvests were apportioned to stock groups using estimates from genetic samples collected in each respective harvest. Districts 2 and 3 subsistence harvests were apportioned using samples from other harvests. District 6, Canadian, and portions of District 5 subsistence harvests were assigned to stock group based on geographic location. The total estimated Yukon River harvest in 2006 was 104,225 Chinook salmon; of those, 17.6% were estimated to be of Lower, 27.6% Middle and 54.9% Upper Yukon River origin.

Key words: Chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, Yukon River, stock composition, age composition, commercial harvest, subsistence harvest, genetic stock identification, age-1.3, age-1.4, Canadian harvest, stock groups.

## INTRODUCTION

The Yukon River drains an area of 330,000 square miles, originates in northern British Columbia, and flows 2,300 miles to the Bering Sea (Hayes et al. 2008). Chinook salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, spawn in major tributaries throughout the drainage, such as the Andreadfsky River, 104 river miles (rm) from the mouth of the Yukon River, and nearly 1,900 rm upriver in the Swift River, British Columbia, near the Yukon Territory border. More than 100 spawning streams have been documented in the Yukon River drainage.

Yukon River Chinook salmon are harvested annually in various fisheries in both marine and fresh waters. Within the Yukon River, returning adult salmon are harvested in subsistence and personal use fisheries in Alaska, Aboriginal and domestic fisheries in Canada, and commercial and sport fisheries in Alaska and Canada (Figures 1 and 2). Commercially sold harvests consist of fish sold in the round, fish utilized for commercial roe harvests, and fish harvested by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) in test fishing projects. Sport fisheries primarily occur in tributaries of the Tanana River and in Canada; smaller sport fishing harvests occur throughout the Alaska portion of the Yukon River drainage. The total annual harvest of Chinook salmon within the Yukon River drainage based on the 1996 to 2005 average was 111,054 fish, of these; approximately 90% were harvested in Alaska (JTC 2007).

The United States (U.S.) and Canada have been engaged in the cooperative management and conservation of stocks spawning in Canada since 1985 when a Memorandum of Understanding was incorporated into the Pacific Salmon Treaty. In 2002, the Yukon River Salmon Agreement was signed as part of the Pacific Salmon Treaty, whereby both nations agreed to harvest sharing of Chinook salmon stocks that migrate through U.S. waters and spawn in Canada.

To fulfill treaty obligations and evaluate stock production to effectively assess spawning escapement goals and management strategies requires information on the stock composition of the various Yukon River mixed stock harvests. From 1981 through 2003, stock composition of Yukon River drainage Chinook salmon harvests was estimated using scale pattern analysis and reported in an annual report series (e.g. DuBois 2005). Schneiderhan (1997) provided a summary of analysis methods historically used in the stock identification project. A program developed by

Bromaghin and Bruden (1999) improved analytical methods and Lingnau (2000) reprocessed the historical data using the new method.

Based on surveys of genetic variation among Chinook salmon populations in the Yukon River drainage a baseline of genetic information was completed and used for genetic stock identification using allozyme loci (Templin et al. 2005). Two types of genetic markers, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and microsatellites have been investigated to provide a replacement for the allozyme baseline. A survey of SNPs in Yukon River Chinook salmon (Smith et al. 2005) demonstrated that stock identification information could be obtained in an accurate and efficient manner using recently developed genetic methods. In 2004, ADF&G estimated the stock composition of Yukon River fishery harvests using mixed stock analysis based on a 23-population baseline and 17 SNPs markers to differentiate Chinook salmon stock groups (Templin et al. 2006a; DuBois et al. 2009). The stock composition of the 2005 Chinook salmon harvest was estimated using 13 microsatellite markers and a 19-population baseline (Templin et al. 2006b; DuBois and DeCovich 2008).

Aerial surveys of Chinook salmon escapements indicate that the largest concentrations of spawning salmon occur in tributary groupings in three distinct geographic regions: 1) Alaskan tributary streams draining the Andreafsky Hills and Kaltag Mountains (rkm 161-805); 2) Alaskan tributary streams in the Upper Koyukuk River and Tanana River basins (rkm 1,290-1,770); and 3) Canadian tributary streams draining the Pelly and Big Salmon Mountains (rkm 2,090-2,900). Initially, McBride and Marshall (1983) termed Chinook salmon stocks within these geographic regions “runs” but Lingnau and Bromaghin (1999) now refer to these as Lower, Middle, and Upper Yukon River stock groups. Templin et al. (2008) refers to these as broad-scale groups: Lower Yukon, Middle Yukon, and Canada.

The goal of this project was to estimate the proportional harvest of stock groups (i.e., geographic region) for all Chinook salmon harvested in the Yukon River drainage during the 2006 season. The stock-specific proportional harvest was estimated using genetic and age data collected from harvest samples, escapement age composition data collected from spawning grounds, and geographic location of harvests.

In 2006, stock composition from Chinook salmon harvest samples was estimated using a 25-population baseline and 26 SNPs markers (Templin et al. 2008). This report apportions annual harvests within the drainage to Lower, Middle, and Upper stock groups based upon the previously defined geographic stock groups combined with the three broad scale reporting groups from the 26 SNPs markers. The Lower stock group includes Alaskan tributary streams from the Andreafsky River to near the confluence with the Tanana River and the lower Koyukuk River drainage. The Middle stock group includes Alaskan tributary streams upstream from the Tanana River confluence, and the upper Koyukuk and Tanana river drainages. The Upper stock group is Canadian-origin fish.

## **OBJECTIVE**

The objective was to estimate the Chinook salmon harvest by age and stock group for all fisheries that occurred in the Yukon River drainage during 2006.

## **METHODS**

### **SCALE COLLECTION, PROCESSING, AND AGING**

Chinook salmon were sampled for age, sex, and length from commercial, subsistence, and test fisheries within the Yukon River drainage. Scales were removed from the preferred area of the fish for age determination and mounted on gum cards (INPFC 1963). Three or more scales were collected from each fish to allow for the incidence of regenerated scales. The scales were impressed in cellulose acetate using methods described by Clutter and Whitesel (1956). Scale impressions were magnified and examined in a Microfiche reader. Age was determined by counting the number of freshwater and marine annuli, the regions of the scale where the circuli are tightly spaced representing slower growth rates associated with winter conditions (Mosher 1969). Ages were recorded using European notation, number of freshwater annuli separated by a decimal from number of marine annuli (Koo 1962). Total age from the brood year is the sum of freshwater and marine annuli plus one to account for time spent in the gravel before hatching. ADF&G staff processed the Alaskan fish age data using various summary output programs, and weighted the age summaries by harvest and escapement estimates when available (Bales 2008).

### **ESCAPEMENT SAMPLING**

During peak spawning mortality, ADF&G personnel collected scale samples from carcasses at the Anvik, Chena, and Salcha rivers. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) crews sampled live salmon at weir projects on the East Fork Andreafsky and Gisasa rivers. The U.S. Bureau of Land Management crew sampled fish at the Tozitna River weir. Age composition from each of these projects was weighted by the escapement and summed to estimate the escapement age composition of the Lower and Middle stock groups (Table 1).

Samples were collected by Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) from fish captured in fish wheels at White Rock and Sheep Rock in the Yukon Territory, Canada. The escapement age composition of the fish wheel catches was not used directly. Fish wheels preferentially harvest younger fish; therefore, the age composition of fish wheel catches does not represent the true age of the Upper stock group. In 1996, a comparative analysis of historical Canadian age data from fish wheels, commercial gillnets, and spawning ground escapements was conducted (Jeff Bromaghin, Commercial Fisheries Biologist, ADF&G, unpublished memorandum). Selectivity coefficients developed from this analysis were applied to the fish wheel catch age composition, and the resulting age composition (termed “upriver adjusted”) is a more accurate estimate for the escapement age composition of the Upper stock group (Table 1).

### **GENETIC SAMPLING**

Genetic sampling was included with the age, sex, and length (ASL) sampling routine to pair the genetic sample with the correct scale sample and associated data. The ADF&G Gene Conservation Laboratory used axillary process tissue samples from individual fish to determine the genetic characteristics of a particular run or stock of fish. The axillary process was removed from each fish and put into an individually numbered vial and filled with denatured ethanol. Each vial number was recorded with the paired ASL data information. Some subsistence harvest sampling locations collected bulk samples e.g., individual fish were not paired with ASL data. The sample goal for each commercial period was 400 fish and varied from 250 to 400 fish for subsistence harvests.

Where age-structured harvest estimates were available, the individuals from each sampling event were separated into age-1.3 and age-1.4 fish. Mixed stock analysis was performed on these ages separately following the procedures described by Templin et al. (2008) Where age-structured harvest estimates were not available, due to bulk sampling, or sample sizes were judged inadequate to provide separate estimates by age, all samples combined were analyzed. These combined samples are referred to as all ages combined even though some of the combined samples were from fish not aged.

In total, genetic tissue was collected from 5,090 individual fish; of these, 4,552 were used in analyses (Table 2).

## **HARVEST BY AGE AND STOCK GROUP**

Harvest data from 2006 were compiled from a variety of sources and apportioned by age and stock group for a Yukon River total harvest estimate. Commercial harvest of Chinook salmon in Alaska, by district and period, were from Hayes and Clark 2006. Subsistence harvest estimates in Alaska, by district and village, were from Bill Busher, Commercial Fisheries Biologist, ADF&G, personal communication. Sport fish harvest estimates in Alaska were from Audra Brase, Sport Fish Biologist, ADF&G, Fairbanks (personal communication). Canadian harvests from commercial, aboriginal, domestic, and sport fisheries were from JTC 2007 and Patrick Milligan, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Whitehorse, Yukon Territory (personal communication). Age composition estimates in Alaska were from Bales 2008. Age composition estimates in Canada were from Patrick Milligan (personal communication). Stock composition estimates were from Templin et al. 2008 and Nick DeCovich (Gene Conservation Laboratory, ADF&G, Anchorage, personal communication).

The age composition of harvests may be estimated in one of two ways. If age data are available from a harvest sample, age composition estimates are applied to the harvest. If age data are not available from the harvest, the age composition may be estimated using the age composition from one or more harvests presumed to have similar age composition.

The stock composition of harvests may be estimated in one of four ways. If genetic data are available from a harvest sample by major age, genetic stock composition estimates are apportioned to each major age, and the stock composition of the minor ages are estimated using the method described by Schneiderhan (1997). If genetic data are available from a harvest sample by all ages combined, the genetic stock composition estimates are apportioned to each age. If genetic data are not available from the harvest, the stock composition may be estimated using the stock composition from one or more harvests presumed to have similar stock composition. The harvest may also be assigned to a particular stock group based on the geographic location of the harvest. Age-specific stock composition estimates are multiplied by the size of the harvest, resulting in the estimated number of fish harvested by stock group and age.

### **Harvest stock composition by major age classes**

From June through July, commercial and subsistence harvests were sampled and directly used to estimate the age and stock composition from each respective harvest. Age composition was applied to each harvest, by period, when available. Stock composition of the major age classes, age-1.3 and age-1.4 fish, was used to apportion the harvest, by period, if applicable. ADF&G crews sampled Chinook salmon for age and genetic data from all 5 commercial periods in

District 1 and 4 of 6 periods in District 2. All of the sampling occurred at the processor's facilities in Districts 1 and 2. All of these commercial periods except one (District 2, period 2) were unrestricted mesh where any mesh size within regulation could be used. Commercial harvests in Districts 3 and 6 were estimated using different methods, and no commercial harvests occurred in District 4.

ADF&G crews collected age and genetic data from 3 of 5 commercial periods in District 5 (Subdistricts 5-B and 5-C). These fish were sampled after delivery to the processor in North Pole, near Fairbanks. Age data from each period were applied to the harvest. Genetic data from the three sampled periods were pooled and applied to each respective harvest by major age class. Periods not sampled were estimated using different methods.

The age and genetic data collected from the District 1 subsistence harvest samples were used to estimate age and stock composition for that harvest. Sampling of this harvest was conducted by ADF&G staff stationed in Emmonak. Most of the District 1 subsistence harvest occurred during early to mid-June, and preceded the commercial fishery. Subsistence harvests in Districts 2 and 3 were estimated using a different method.

District 4 age and stock composition estimates were divided between mainstem and upper Koyukuk River harvests. Mainstem Yukon River mixed stock subsistence harvests in District 4 occur along 375 river miles, from the District 3/4 boundary, at the mouth of the Bonasila River (rm 306) to the District 4/5 boundary at the mouth of the Tozitna River (rm 681; Figure 1; Hayes et al. 2008).

The District 4 subsistence harvest age and stock composition, from mixed stocks harvested along the mainstem Yukon River, was estimated by pooling samples from selected harvest locations and applying those estimates to specific harvests by village. The City of Kaltag collected age and genetic data from Chinook salmon harvested in the subsistence fishery in large-mesh gillnets fished near Kaltag (rm 450). The Yukon River Drainage Fishermen's Association (YRDFA) employed technicians to collect age and genetic data from harvest sites near Nulato (rm 484), Bishop Rock (rm 514), and Galena (rm 530) from both set and drift gillnets. Age and genetic data from samples collected near Kaltag and Nulato were pooled and applied to subsistence harvests from the villages of Anvik, Grayling, Kaltag, and Nulato; all of these villages are between rm 317 and rm 484. Age composition data from samples collected near Bishop Rock and Galena were pooled and applied to subsistence harvests from the villages of Koyukuk and Galena. Stock composition estimates for these two villages were from the Bishop Rock genetic data. Other subsistence harvests in Districts 4, 5, and 6 were estimated using different methods.

### **Harvest stock composition by minor age classes**

All locations where the stock composition of age-1.3 and age-1.4 fish were directly estimated from age-specific genetic data also had harvests of the less frequent age classes apportioned to stock group based on escapement age composition and the stock composition of analogous age classes as described by Schneiderhan 1997. The escapement age compositions, by stock group, were used to estimate the proportion of the minor age classes in each harvest. McBride and Marshall (1983) developed assumptions whereby the stock composition from major age classes were used to estimate the stock composition of minor age classes, e.g., age-1.3 was used to estimate age-1.1, -1.2, -2.2, and -2.3; and age-1.4 was used to estimate age-1.5, -2.4, -1.6, and -2.5.

## **Harvest stock composition by all ages combined**

Some stock composition estimates were not available by major age classes, primarily due to inadequate sample sizes or lack of paired age information. In these instances, the genetic samples collected were combined and the resulting stock composition was used to apportion each age. Not all of the harvests apportioned by this method had age data that were collected from the harvest.

ADF&G collected age and genetic data from the District 3, period 1 commercial harvest. Stock composition of this harvest was estimated from all genetic samples combined because of a small sample size, and the age composition was applied to the harvest.

The YRDFA collected age and genetic data from subsistence harvests near Ruby (rm 581) from set gillnets and fish wheels. Stock composition of this harvest was estimated from all genetic samples combined, and the age composition was applied to the subsistence harvest in Ruby.

Subsistence stock composition estimates in District 5 were separated by location: harvests downstream of Fort Yukon (rm 681 to rm 1,002), harvests from Chandalar and Black rivers, and harvests upstream of and including Fort Yukon (rm 1,002 to rm 1,224). Genetic samples were collected from Chinook salmon harvested in fish wheels at Rampart Rapids (rm 731) in Subdistrict 5-B, however paired age data were not collected. The subsistence harvest stock composition from villages in District 5 downstream of Fort Yukon was estimated from the pooled genetic data collected at Rampart Rapids. The age composition from the District 5 commercial fishery was applied to all subsistence harvests in District 5.

## **Harvest stock composition from other sources**

Age and stock composition of harvests not sampled were estimated from other harvests that were presumed to be similar. These similar harvests may be from an adjacent harvest or from a pooling of test, commercial, or subsistence fishery data. Different data sources may be used to estimate the age or stock composition depending upon available data.

Three commercial periods were not sampled in the lower river. In District 2, Age and stock estimates from period 3 were applied to period 2, and period 5 was applied to period 6. In District 3, period 1 was applied to period 2.

Two of five commercial periods were not sampled in District 5. Age composition from period 1 was applied to period 2 and period 4 was applied to period 5. Genetic data from periods 1, 3, and 4 were pooled and used to estimate the stock composition of all commercial periods in District 5.

The subsistence harvest in District 2 was not sampled. District 2 subsistence age composition estimates were based on pooled samples collected from the District 1 subsistence harvest, the District 2 commercial harvest (periods 1 and 3); and the Pilot Station Sonar Test Fishery from  $\geq$  5-inch mesh sizes. District 2 stock composition estimates were based on pooled samples from the District 1 subsistence harvest and the District 2 commercial harvest (periods 1 and 3).

The subsistence harvest in District 3 was not sampled. District 3 subsistence age composition estimates were based on pooled samples collected from the District 3 commercial harvest, the Pilot Station Sonar Test Fishery from  $\geq$ 5-inch mesh sizes, and the Marshall Test Fishery. District 3 stock composition estimates were based on pooled samples collected from the District 3 commercial harvest and the District 2 commercial harvest (periods 1 and 3).

## **Harvests assigned by stock group**

Harvests that do not occur in the mainstem Yukon River were assigned to stock group based on geographic location. Subsistence harvests in District 4, those from upper Koyukuk River villages (Alatna, Allakaket, Bettles, Hughes, and Huslia) were assigned to the Middle stock group based upon genetic classification of the baseline samples collected from this area (South Fork Koyukuk River and Henshaw Creek; Templin et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2005). Age composition from the Chinook salmon escapement at the Gisasa River weir, a tributary of the lower Koyukuk River, was applied to the upper Koyukuk River subsistence harvest.

The Chandalar and Black rivers subsistence harvest in District 5 was assigned to the Middle stock group because these fish are bound for spawning grounds in Alaska. Subsistence harvests from villages upstream of and including Fort Yukon in District 5 were assigned to the Upper stock group assuming these fish are bound for Canada. The age composition from the District 5 commercial fishery was applied to all subsistence harvests in District 5.

The stock composition of all harvests occurring in District 6, Tanana River, was assigned to the Middle stock group based on geographic location. Six commercial periods occurred in District 6, however, the Chinook salmon harvest was small ( $n=84$ ) and none of the harvests were sampled. Age composition of the commercial, subsistence, and sport fishery harvests in District 6 were estimated from escapement sampling in the Chena and Salcha rivers. Tributaries in the Tanana River drainage, specifically the Chena and Salcha rivers, support most of the sport fishery harvest in Alaska.

All harvests occurring in Canada were assigned to the Upper stock group. The upriver adjusted harvest from the fish wheel catches was used to estimate the age composition of Canadian commercial, domestic, sport, and aboriginal harvests. In 1996, a comparative analysis of historical Canadian age information from fish wheels, commercial gillnets, and spawning ground escapements was conducted (Jeff Bromaghin, Commercial Fisheries Biologist, ADF&G, Anchorage, unpublished memorandum). Selectivity coefficients from this analysis were applied to the observed fish wheel catch age composition, and the resulting age composition (termed “upriver adjusted”) is the preferred estimate for the Canadian border passage age composition.

## **RESULTS**

### **AGE COMPOSITION**

Age data from seven locations were used to estimate the escapement age composition of the three stock groups. The weighted age composition proportion for the Lower stock group was predominantly age-1.3 (0.579) followed by age-1.4 fish (0.225; Table 1). The Middle stock group was mostly age-1.3 (0.484) and age-1.4 fish (0.423). The Upper stock group age composition, from the adjusted fish wheel ages in Canada, was age-1.4 (.496) and age-1.3 fish (0.479).

Age data collected from 14 locations were used to estimate harvest age composition (Table 2). Overall, age-1.3 Chinook salmon were the most abundant age class from commercial, subsistence, and test fishery sampling locations in Alaska and Canada. Age-1.4 fish were slightly more abundant only in the District 1 commercial sample. Bales (2008) provides age composition from all Chinook salmon sampling projects in the Yukon River Area.

## **GENETIC SAMPLES**

In 2006, genetic samples from 4,552 Chinook salmon were used to estimate the stock composition of mainstem Yukon River mixed-stock harvests (Table 3). The majority of the samples were from the District 1 and 2 commercial harvests. Subsistence harvest samples were from six locations.

## **GENETIC ANALYSIS FOR MAJOR AGE CLASSES**

A total of 1,726 samples were analyzed for stock identification by major age class from five fishing periods in the District 1 commercial harvest: 829 were age-1.3 and 897 were age-1.4 fish. The Upper stock proportion estimates were high ( $>0.502$ ), for both ages, from the first two periods and for age-1.4 fish in the last period. The Lower stock proportion estimates were largest in period 4 for both ages. The Middle stock proportion estimates were largest in period 1 and had a relatively narrow range (0.082–0.190) in the other four periods. In general, Upper stock proportion estimates were greater for age-1.4 fish and Middle stock estimates were greater for age-1.3 fish (Table 4 and Figure 3).

A total of 1,416 samples were analyzed by major age class from four fishing periods in the District 2 commercial harvest: 784 were age-1.3 and 632 were age-1.4 fish. The Upper stock proportion estimates were highest in the first two periods for both ages. The highest Lower stock proportion estimates for both ages were in the last two periods (Table 5 and Figure 4).

A total of 379 samples were analyzed by major age class from three combined fishing periods in the District 5 commercial harvest: 289 were age-1.3 and 90 were age-1.4 fish. The Middle stock proportion estimates were highest for age-1.3 fish at 0.509, and comprised 0.332 of the age-1.4 fish. The Lower stock proportion contributes little, if any, to the District 5 commercial harvest (Table 6 and Figure 5).

A total of 551 samples were analyzed by major age class from subsistence harvests in Districts 1 and 4. The Upper stock proportion estimates were highest for both ages in the District 1 samples. In the combined samples from Kaltag and Nulato, the Middle stock proportion estimates were highest for age-1.4 fish and the Upper stock proportion estimates were highest for age-1.3 fish. In the samples from Bishop Rock, the Middle stock proportion estimates were highest for age-1.3 fish and the Upper stock proportion estimates were highest for age-1.4 fish (Table 6 and Figure 5).

## **GENETIC ANALYSIS FOR ALL AGES COMBINED**

A total of 480 samples were analyzed for stock identification by all ages combined from the commercial harvest in District 3 and subsistence harvests in District 4 (Ruby) and District 5 (Rampart Rapids). The Upper stock proportion estimates predominated in Districts 3 and 5 and the Middle stock proportion estimates predominated in District 4 (Table 6 and Figure 5).

## **HARVEST STOCK COMPOSITION BY DISTRICT AND FISHERY**

All Yukon River harvests, by district and fishery, were apportioned to age by stock group. Harvest stock composition by district and fishery are shown in Tables 7 through 10 by age, and in Figure 6 for all ages combined. The larger harvests, by district and fishery, are referenced in the following results. Readers should refer to tables for additional details of the smaller harvests.

The 24,545 Chinook salmon harvested in the District 1 commercial fishery were composed of an estimated 8,389 (0.342) Lower, 4,797 (0.195) Middle, and 11,359 (0.463) Upper stock fish (Tables 7 and 10). In numbers of fish, the Upper stock group in the District 1 commercial harvest was second only to the District 5 subsistence harvest (Figure 6). Age-1.4 fish comprised one-half (12,217 fish) of the harvest followed by age-1.3 fish (11,480, Table 7).

The 5,122 Chinook salmon harvested in the District 1 subsistence fishery were composed of an estimated 1,089 (0.213) Lower, 1,787 (0.349) Middle, and 2,247 (0.439) Upper stock fish. In numbers of fish, the Upper stock group in this fishery was the smallest, by district, of any mainstem Yukon River subsistence harvest. Age-1.3 fish comprised more than one-half (2,777 fish) of this harvest (Tables 9–10; Figure 6).

The 19,834 Chinook salmon harvested in the District 2 commercial fishery were composed of an estimated 6,149 (0.310) Lower, 5,091 (0.257) Middle, and 8,594 (0.433) Upper stock fish. Age-1.3 fish comprised over one-half (10,545 fish) of the harvest followed by age-1.4 fish (8,672). In numbers of fish, the Middle stock group harvest in the District 2 commercial harvest was second only to the District 4 subsistence harvest (Tables 8 and 10; Figure 6).

The 8,039 Chinook salmon harvested in the District 2 subsistence fishery were composed of an estimated 962 (0.120) Lower, 2,987 (0.372) Middle, and 4,090 (0.509) Upper stock fish. Age-1.3 fish comprised well over one-half (0.572) of the harvest (Tables 9 and 10).

The 5,374 Chinook salmon harvested in the District 3 subsistence fishery were composed of an estimated 408 (0.076) Lower, 2,083 (0.388) Middle, and 2,883 (0.536) Upper stock fish. Age-1.3 fish comprised more than one-half (0.052 fish) of this harvest (Tables 9 and 10).

The 12,022 Chinook salmon harvested in the District 4 subsistence fishery were composed of 1,125 (0.094) Lower, 5,612 (0.467) Middle, and 5,284 (0.440) Upper stock fish. In proportion by stock, the Middle stock group in this fishery was the highest, by district, of any mainstem Yukon River subsistence harvest. Age-1.3 fish comprised one-half (6,058 fish) of this harvest (Tables 9–10; Figure 6).

In District 5, the commercial and subsistence age composition are identical because samples from the former were used to estimate both. Age-1.3 fish (0.679) predominated these harvests, followed by age-1.4 (0.211) and age-1.2 fish (0.102). The 15,924 Chinook salmon harvested in the District 5 subsistence fishery were composed of 101 (0.006) Lower, 3,219 (0.202) Middle, and 12,604 (0.792) Upper stock fish. In both numbers of fish and proportion by stock, the Upper stock group in this fishery was the highest, by district, of any mainstem Yukon River harvest. In contrast, the commercial harvest in District 5 had an estimated one-half (0.499) Middle stock group (Tables 9–10; Figure 6).

The District 6 harvest of 2,140 fish was assigned to the Middle stock group. Age-1.3 and age-1.4 fish were present in near equal proportions (Tables 9–10; Figure 6).

The Canadian harvest of 9,072 Chinook salmon was assigned to the Upper stock group. The Canadian harvest comprised 2,332 commercial, 6,071 aboriginal (includes Porcupine River harvest near Old Crow), 63 domestic, and 606 sport harvested fish. Age-1.3 fish were 0.479 and age-1.4 fish were 0.496 of the harvest (Tables 9–10; Figure 6).

## TOTAL HARVEST

In 2006, the Chinook salmon total harvest for U.S. and Canada was 104,225 fish. The U.S. harvest total of 95,153 fish (0.913) was greater than the 5-year average (2001–2006) and less than the overall average; as low harvests in 2001 and 2002 decreased the 5-year average. The U.S. harvest proportion was more than the 5-year and overall averages (Tables 11 and 12).

The Upper stock was the largest estimated component of the total harvest, contributing 57,169 fish, or 0.549 of the harvest. The Upper stock group harvest by country was 48,097 fish by the U.S. and 9,072 fish by Canada. In recent years, The U.S. Upper stock proportions had the greatest variability among stock groups, ranging from 0.365 (2001) to 0.554 (2003). The Upper stock predominated in all mixed stock fisheries except for the District 4 subsistence and District 5 commercial harvests. The 2006 Upper stock harvest, in numbers of fish, was greater than the 5-year average (2001–2005) yet the proportion was slightly less (Tables 11–12; Figure 6).

The Middle stock harvest estimate was 28,756 fish (0.276) The largest Middle stock harvest was from the District 4 subsistence catch. The 2006 Middle stock harvest, in both numbers of fish and by proportion, was greater than the 5-year average (2001–2005) (Tables 11–12; Figure 6).

The Lower stock contributed an estimated 18,301 fish (0.176) in the 2006 total harvest. The majority of the Lower stock harvest was attributed to the District 1 and 2 commercial harvests. The 2006 Lower stock harvest, in numbers of fish, was more than the 5-year average (2001–2006) and the proportion was near this average (Tables 11 and 12; Figure 6).

## DISCUSSION

Templin et al. 2008 reports stock composition for the 2006 Yukon River Chinook salmon harvests, albeit in finer-scale reporting groups, by all ages combined and by major ages. Throughout their report, references are made to the harvest. However, harvest numbers were not applied to the stock composition estimates, all estimates were based on harvest samples. This report apportions the Yukon River Chinook salmon harvests by age and stock composition.

The sample objective of 400 Chinook salmon from each commercial harvest period provided adequate numbers of age-1.3 and age-1.4 fish for genetic analysis. Recommended sample goals were at least 190 aged fish per age group. In 2006, age-1.3 fish were present in above average proportions and consequently, sample sizes were larger than expected for this age; age-1.4 fish typically are more abundant in the lower river commercial harvests (Bales 2008). If age-1.3 fish were not present in such high proportions, genetic analysis would have been limited for this age.

Typical patterns observed in previous years were again present in 2006. A temporal trend was observed in the lower commercial fisheries where the Upper stock group proportion decreases through the season while the Lower stock group proportion increases. Both age-1.3 and age-1.4 fish exhibited this trend in the District 1 and 2 harvests, however period 5 from both districts was a deviation from the trend with the Upper stock group proportion increasing (Figures 3 and 4). The Middle stock group proportion also exhibited a decreasing temporal trend in 2006, yet this is not a consistent trend observed in every year.

In 2006, the Middle stock group proportion in Alaska was above average. Some increase in this proportion is expected because of a change in the method used to estimate stock proportions. The District 5 harvest stock composition in the Chinook salmon origins reports from 1981 through 2003 were assigned to the Upper stock group under the assumption that most of these fish were

bound for Canada. Recent radio-telemetry studies have shown that substantial numbers of Chinook salmon return to Alaskan tributaries flowing into the mainstem Yukon River in District 5 (Eiler et al. 2004). This area extends from the confluence with the Tanana River (rm 695) to the Canadian border (rm 1224) and the entire Porcupine River drainage. Contributions from these stocks, considered part of the Middle stock group in this report, are identified on a finer scale as 'Upper U.S. Yukon' by Templin et al. (2008) and are represented by genetic collections from three tributaries in their baseline. Beginning in 2004, harvests downstream of Fort Yukon in District 5 were considered mixed stocks and stock composition was estimated from commercial and subsistence samples collected in these fisheries. In 2006, an estimated 4,136 fish were from the Middle stock group in these fisheries, which contributed to the above average overall proportion.

The Upper stock group does predominate from the District 5 harvests, however; the Middle stock group proportion has trended up from 2004 through 2006. In 2004, estimated proportions for the Middle stock group from the District 5 commercial harvest for age-1.3 fish, age-1.4 fish, and all ages combined were 0.137, 0.162, and 0.137; respectively (Templin et al 2006a). The remaining fish were almost all Upper stock group, not surprising given the upriver location of the harvest. In 2005, estimated proportions for the Middle stock group from the District 5 commercial harvest for age-1.3 fish, age-1.4 fish and all ages combined were 0.308, 0.154, and 0.223; respectively (Templin et al 2006b). In 2006, estimated proportions for the Middle stock group from the District 5 commercial harvest for age-1.3 fish, age-1.4 fish and all ages combined were 0.509, 0.332, and 0.454; respectively (Templin et al 2008 and Table 6). The Middle stock group proportion from all ages combined in the District 5 subsistence harvest was 0.284 (Table 6).

The relatively high proportion of the Middle stock group in 2006, from upriver locations in District 5, was unusual and unexpected. This underscores the need to gather more information from Chinook salmon that spawn in Upper Alaskan tributaries and suggests that previous assumptions about the origin of fish in District 5 harvests may be inaccurate. If Middle stock group estimates from 2004 through 2006 are a reliable proxy for years when all District 5 harvests were assigned to the Upper stock group, then Canadian-origin fish were overestimated during those years.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This project was made possible by employees of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Divisions of Commercial Fisheries and Sport Fish, Bering Sea Fishermen's Association, Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans, City of Kaltag, Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and U.S. Bureau of Land Management who collected age and genetic samples from Chinook salmon in 2006. James Bales aged the salmon scales. Genetic analysis in the Gene Conservation Laboratory was performed by Andy Barclay, Zac Grauvogel, Gina Johnston, Beth McLain, and Heather Hoyt. Nick DeCovich provided stock composition estimates. Dani Evenson provided technical review.

## REFERENCES CITED

- Bales, J. 2008. Salmon age and sex composition and mean lengths for the Yukon River Area, 2006. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 08-14, Anchorage.
- Bromaghin, J. F., and D. A. Bruden. 1999. The estimation of stock composition in mixed stock fisheries using program SPAYK. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Commercial Fisheries Division, Regional Information Report 3A99-01, Anchorage.
- Clutter, R. I., and L. E. Whitesel. 1956. Collection and interpretation of sockeye salmon scales. Bulletin of the International Pacific Salmon Fisheries Commission 9, Vancouver, British Columbia.
- DuBois, L. 2005. Origins of Chinook salmon in the Yukon River fisheries, 2003. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 05-46, Anchorage.
- DuBois, L., J. M. Berger, N. A. DeCovich, and W. D. Templin. 2009. Origins of Chinook salmon in the Yukon River fisheries, 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 09-13, Anchorage.
- DuBois, L., and N.A DeCovich. 2008. Origins of Chinook salmon in the Yukon River fisheries, 2005. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 08-02, Anchorage.
- Eiler, J. H., T. R. Spencer, J. J. Pella, M. M. Masuda, and R. R. Holder. 2004. Distribution and movement patterns of Chinook salmon returning to the Yukon River basin in 2000–2002. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-148, 99p.
- Hayes, S. J. F. J. Bue, B. M. Borba, K. R. Boeck, H. C. Carroll, L. Boeck, E. J. Newland, K. J. Clark, and W. H. Busher. 2008. Annual management report Yukon and Northern areas, 2002–2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Management Report No. 08-36, Anchorage.
- Hayes, S., and K. Clark. 2006. Yukon River Informational Letter Summer Season, 2006. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Commercial Fisheries Division, Anchorage. 12pp.
- INPFC (International North Pacific Fisheries Commission). 1963. Red salmon scale studies. International North Pacific Fisheries Commission, Annual Report 1961, Vancouver, Canada.
- JTC (Joint Technical Committee of the Yukon River US/Canada Panel). 2007. Yukon River salmon 2006 season summary and 2007 season outlook. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 3A07-01, Anchorage.
- Koo, Ted S.Y. 1962. Age designation in salmon. In Ted S.Y. Koo (editor) Studies of Alaska red salmon. Pp 37-48. University of Washington Press, Seattle, Publ. Fish., N.S., 1.
- Lingnau, T. L., and J. F. Bromaghin. 1999. Origins of Chinook salmon in the Yukon River fisheries, 1997. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Commercial Fisheries Division, Regional Information Report 3A99-09, Anchorage.
- Lingnau, T. L. 2000. Origins of Chinook salmon in the Yukon River fisheries, 1981–1996. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Commercial Fisheries Division, Regional Information Report 3A00-25, Anchorage.
- McBride, D. N., and S. L. Marshall. 1983. Feasibility of scale pattern analysis to identify the origins of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* Walbaum) in the Lower Yukon River commercial gillnet fishery, 1980–1981. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Informational Leaflet 208, Juneau.
- Mosher, K. H. 1969. Identification of Pacific salmon and steelhead trout by scale characteristics. United States Department of the Interior, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Circular 317, Washington, D.C.
- Schneiderhan, D. J. 1997. A history of scale pattern analysis as applied to stock identification of Chinook and chum salmon in the Yukon River. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division, Regional Information Report 3A97-33, Anchorage.

## REFERENCES CITED (Continued)

- Smith, C. T., W. D. Templin, J. E. Seeb, and L. W. Seeb. 2005. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) provide rapid and accurate estimates of the proportions of U.S. and Canadian Chinook salmon caught in Yukon River fisheries. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 25:944-953.
- Templin, W. D., J. Berger, N. A. Decovich, and L. W. Seeb. 2006a. Genetic stock identification of Chinook salmon harvest on the Yukon River in 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 3A06-06, Anchorage.
- Templin, W. D., N. A. Decovich, and L. W. Seeb. 2006b. Genetic stock identification of Chinook salmon harvest on the Yukon River in 2005. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 3A06-05, Anchorage.
- Templin, W. D., N. A. DeCovich, and L. W. Seeb. 2008. Genetic stock identification of Chinook salmon harvest on the Yukon River, 2006. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 08-15, Anchorage.
- Templin, W. D., R. L. Wilmot, C. M. Guthrie III, and L. W. Seeb. 2005. United States and Canadian Chinook salmon populations in the Yukon River can be segregated based on genetic characteristics. *Alaska Fishery Research Bulletin* 11(1):44-60.



## **TABLES AND FIGURES**

Table 1.—Yukon River Chinook salmon escapement age composition by tributary and weighted age composition by geographic area, 2006.

Location	Sample Size	Age Group									
		1.1	1.2	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.5
E.F. Andreafsky R.	454	0.000	0.170	0.549	0.000	0.281	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Anvik River	169	0.000	0.107	0.479	0.000	0.414	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Gisasa River	530	0.001	0.189	0.672	0.000	0.129	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000
Tozitna River	69	0.000	0.131	0.826	0.000	0.044	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Lower River Weighted		0.000	0.163	0.579	0.000	0.255	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Chena River	362	0.000	0.127	0.453	0.003	0.403	0.003	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000
Salcha River	509	0.000	0.057	0.493	0.000	0.428	0.002	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.000
Middle River Weighted		0.000	0.072	0.484	0.001	0.423	0.002	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.000
Upper River (unadjusted)	735	0.000	0.213	0.584	0.002	0.199	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000
Upper River (adjusted) <sup>a</sup>		0.000	0.013	0.479	0.001	0.496	0.000	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted age composition after gear-selectivity coefficients were applied to the combined Sheep Rock and White Rock fish wheel age composition to obtain a more accurate estimate of the border passage escapement age composition.

Table 2.–Yukon River Chinook salmon commercial, subsistence, and test fishery age composition by location, gear type, and sample size, 2006.

Location	Gear <sup>a</sup>	Sample Size	Age Group (Proportion)									
			1.1	1.2	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.5
District 1 Commercial	UGN	1,788	0.000	0.018	0.468	0.000	0.498	0.000	0.016	0.001	0.000	0.000
District 1 Subsistence	GN	142	0.000	0.049	0.542	0.000	0.387	0.000	0.021	0.000	0.000	0.000
District 2 Commercial	UGN	1,462	0.000	0.018	0.532	0.000	0.437	0.003	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000
Pilot Station Sonar Test Fishery	≥ 5" VMG	470	0.000	0.047	0.581	0.000	0.362	0.006	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000
Marshall Test Fishery	8.25" DGN	309	0.000	0.003	0.522	0.000	0.472	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
District 4 <sup>b</sup> Subsistence	GN	324	0.000	0.077	0.500	0.000	0.407	0.000	0.012	0.003	0.000	0.000
District 4 <sup>c</sup> Subsistence	SGN	226	0.000	0.040	0.518	0.000	0.372	0.013	0.031	0.027	0.000	0.000
District 4 Ruby	SGN/FW	79	0.000	0.114	0.468	0.000	0.405	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
District 5 Commercial	SGN/FW	449	0.000	0.102	0.679	0.000	0.211	0.000	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.000
Chena River Salcha River	Carcass	871	0.000	0.072	0.484	0.001	0.423	0.002	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.000
Canada Test Fishery	FW	735	0.000	0.213	0.584	0.002	0.199	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000

<sup>a</sup> UGN is unrestricted mesh gillnet, SGN is set gillnet, DGN is drift gillnet, VMG is variable mesh gillnet, GN is gillnet (includes both SGN and DGN), and FW is fish wheel.

<sup>b</sup> Samples were collected from the villages of Kaltag and Nulato.

<sup>c</sup> Samples were collected downstream of Galena from the Bishop Rock fish camp and from Galena.

Table 3.—Chinook salmon genetic samples collected and analyzed from commercial and subsistence fishery harvests in the Yukon River drainage, 2006.

Harvest	District	Period	Date	Location	Sample size	
					Collected	Analyzed <sup>a</sup>
Commercial						
Y1		1	June 19–20	Emmonak	400	371
		2	June 25–26	Emmonak	400	371
		3	June 29–30	Emmonak	400	384
		4	July 3–4	Emmonak	398	374
		5	July 6	Emmonak	241	226
Y2		1	June 15	Saint Mary's	293	287
		3	June 24	Saint Mary's	400	383
		4	June 27	Saint Mary's	400	382
		5	July 2	Saint Mary's	400	364
Y3		1	June 21	Marshall	107	106
Y5		1-4	July 8–13	Subdistricts 5-B, 5-C	500	379
Total Commercial					3,939	3,627
Subsistence						
Y1			June 7–23	Emmonak	139	130
Y4			June 27–July 11	Kaltag/ Nulato/ Galena <sup>b</sup>	420	259
			July 5–15	Bishop Rock	200	162
			July 7–15	Ruby	90	89
Y5			June 20–July 5	Rampart-Rapids	302	285
Total Subsistence					1,151	925
Grand Total					5,090	4,552

<sup>a</sup> Analyzed sample sizes were the number used to estimate stock composition to apportion Yukon River harvests.

<sup>b</sup> Samples collected from the village of Galena were not used.

Table 4.—Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and period, from Yukon River District 1 commercial harvest samples, 2006.

Strata	Stock Group	Age-1.3			Age-1.4		
		Sample Size	Est.	90% CI	Sample Size	Est.	90% CI
Period 1 June 19–20	Lower	207	0.034	(0.007–0.089)	164	0.065	(0.018–0.112)
	Middle		0.433	(0.332–0.506)		0.388	(0.305–0.486)
	Upper		0.533	(0.458–0.623)		0.547	(0.452–0.629)
Period 2 June 25–26	Lower	168	0.306	(0.231–0.375)	203	0.353	(0.285–0.427)
	Middle		0.190	(0.126–0.280)		0.145	(0.086–0.209)
	Upper		0.504	(0.409–0.581)		0.502	(0.429–0.568)
Period 3 June 29–30	Lower	189	0.413	(0.344–0.493)	195	0.460	(0.377–0.519)
	Middle		0.171	(0.100–0.241)		0.097	(0.050–0.172)
	Upper		0.417	(0.338–0.495)		0.443	(0.373–0.513)
Period 4 July 3–4	Lower	165	0.616	(0.553–0.693)	209	0.518	(0.441–0.579)
	Middle		0.082	(0.027–0.122)		0.093	(0.042–0.155)
	Upper		0.302	(0.238–0.371)		0.389	(0.323–0.463)
Period 5 July 6	Lower	100	0.442	(0.347–0.540)	126	0.308	(0.222–0.388)
	Middle		0.114	(0.036–0.193)		0.085	(0.043–0.151)
	Upper		0.443	(0.356–0.538)		0.608	(0.516–0.684)

*Note:* All commercial fishing periods in District 1 were unrestricted mesh sizes.

Table 5.—Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and period, from Yukon River District 2 commercial harvest samples, 2006.

Strata	Stock Group	Age-1.3			Age-1.4		
		Sample Size	Est.	90% CI	Sample Size	Est.	90% CI
Period 1	Lower	180	0.018	(0.000–0.053)	107	0.037	(0.000–0.090)
June 15	Middle		0.441	(0.345–0.537)		0.286	(0.201–0.400)
	Upper		0.541	(0.442–0.628)		0.677	(0.563–0.748)
Period 3	Lower	209	0.114	(0.065–0.167)	174	0.117	(0.073–0.182)
June 24	Middle		0.408	(0.310–0.476)		0.314	(0.237–0.386)
	Upper		0.479	(0.415–0.571)		0.570	(0.494–0.636)
Period 4	Lower	220	0.380	(0.312–0.451)	162	0.422	(0.338–0.491)
June 27	Middle		0.253	(0.183–0.322)		0.240	(0.168–0.327)
	Upper		0.367	(0.301–0.434)		0.339	(0.268–0.416)
Period 5	Lower	175	0.472	(0.413–0.560)	189	0.445	(0.371–0.514)
July 2	Middle		0.117	(0.059–0.171)		0.099	(0.055–0.157)
	Upper		0.411	(0.332–0.476)		0.457	(0.388–0.530)

*Note:* All commercial fishing periods in District 2 were unrestricted mesh sizes except for period 2.

Table 6.—Genetic stock composition estimates, by age or all ages combined, from Yukon River Districts 1, 4, and 5 subsistence harvest samples; and Districts 3 and 5 commercial harvest samples, 2006.

Strata	Stock Group	Age-1.3			Age-1.4		
		Sample Size	Est.	90% CI	Sample Size	Est.	90% CI
District 1	Lower	75	0.159	(0.084–0.244)	55	0.275	(0.130–0.387)
Subsistence	Middle		0.378	(0.248–0.491)		0.279	(0.176–0.455)
	Upper		0.464	(0.352–0.583)		0.446	(0.310–0.564)
District 4 <sup>a</sup>	Lower	149	0.068	(0.022–0.122)	110	0.066	(0.015–0.139)
Subsistence	Middle		0.373	(0.269–0.470)		0.491	(0.380–0.604)
	Ktg/Nul		0.560	(0.457–0.657)		0.443	(0.335–0.539)
District 4 <sup>b</sup>	Lower	90	0.151	(0.069–0.237)	72	0.012	(0.000–0.112)
Subsistence	Middle		0.463	(0.326–0.567)		0.428	(0.291–0.532)
	Br		0.386	(0.289–0.517)		0.560	(0.431–0.672)
District 5	Lower	289	0.028	(0.007–0.058)	90	0.001	(0.000–0.058)
Commercial	Middle		0.509	(0.415–0.570)		0.332	(0.168–0.407)
	Upper		0.463	(0.401–0.553)		0.667	(0.578–0.813)

Strata	Stock Group	All Ages Combined		
		Sample Size	Est.	90% CI
District 3	Lower	106	0.067	(0.018–0.129)
Commercial	Middle		0.390	(0.268–0.524)
	Upper		0.543	(0.414–0.664)
District 4 <sup>c</sup>	Lower	89	0.174	(0.095–0.279)
Subsistence	Middle		0.781	(0.663–0.868)
	Ruby		0.044	(0.001–0.113)
District 5	Lower	285	0.011	(0.000–0.032)
Subsistence	Middle		0.284	(0.213–0.352)
	Upper		0.705	(0.635–0.777)

<sup>a</sup> Samples were collected from Kaltag and Nulato, in Subdistrict 4-A.

<sup>b</sup> Samples were collected from Bishop Rock (Subdistricts 4-B and 4-C).

<sup>c</sup> Samples were collected from Ruby in Subdistricts 4-B and 4-C.

Table 7.—Yukon River Chinook salmon District 1 commercial harvest by age group, stock group, and period, 2006.

Strata	Stock Group	Age Group										Total
		1.1	1.2	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.5	
Period 1	Lower	0	8	87	0	133	0	0	0	0	0	228
June 19-20	Middle	0	53	1,099	0	792	0	43	0	0	0	1,986
	Alaska	0	61	1,186	0	924	0	43	0	0	0	2,215
	Upper	0	12	1,354	0	1,115	0	30	0	0	0	2,511
	Total	0	73	2,540	0	2,039	0	73	0	0	0	4,726
Period 2	Lower	0	137	953	0	1,325	0	4	0	0	0	2,420
June 25-26	Middle	0	45	594	0	543	0	52	0	0	0	1,234
	Alaska	0	183	1,547	0	1,868	0	56	0	0	0	3,654
	Upper	0	21	1,571	0	1,881	0	92	0	0	0	3,565
	Total	0	204	3,118	0	3,749	0	148	0	0	0	7,219
Period 3	Lower	0	74	1,511	0	1,747	0	3	19	0	0	3,354
June 29-30	Middle	0	16	625	0	367	0	23	0	0	0	1,031
	Alaska	0	90	2,136	0	2,114	0	26	19	0	0	4,385
	Upper	0	7	1,526	0	1,684	0	52	0	0	0	3,269
	Total	0	97	3,662	0	3,798	0	78	19	0	0	7,654
Period 4	Lower	0	36	818	0	871	0	3	0	0	0	1,727
July 3-4	Middle	0	3	109	0	156	0	15	0	0	0	282
	Alaska	0	38	927	0	1,027	0	17	0	0	0	2,009
	Upper	0	2	401	0	653	0	31	0	0	0	1,087
	Total	0	40	1,328	0	1,680	0	48	0	0	0	3,096
Period 5	Lower	0	11	199	0	167	3	1	0	0	0	381
July 6	Middle	0	1	51	0	46	1	5	0	0	0	104
	Alaska	0	12	250	0	214	4	5	0	0	0	485
	Upper	0	1	199	0	331	0	17	0	0	0	548
	Total	0	13	450	0	544	4	22	0	0	0	1,033
All Periods	Lower	0	275	3,692	0	4,388	3	11	20	0	0	8,389
Combined <sup>a</sup>	Middle	0	123	2,563	0	1,970	1	141	0	0	0	4,797
	Alaska	0	398	6,254	0	6,358	4	153	20	0	0	13,186
	Upper	0	44	5,226	0	5,859	0	229	0	0	0	11,359
	Total	0	442	11,480	0	12,217	4	382	20	0	0	24,545

Note: All District 1 Chinook salmon commercial fishing periods were unrestricted mesh sizes.

<sup>a</sup> Includes 817 fish sold from the lower Yukon River test fisheries.

Table 8.—Yukon River Chinook salmon District 2 commercial harvest by age group, stock group, and period, 2006.

Strata	Stock Group	Age Group										Total
		1.1	1.2	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.5	
Period 1	Lower	0	0	10	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	23
June 15	Middle	0	2	254	0	95	3	1	0	0	0	355
	Alaska	0	2	264	0	108	3	1	0	0	0	379
	Upper	0	1	311	0	226	0	2	0	0	0	538
	Total	0	3	575	0	333	3	3	0	0	0	917
Period 2	Lower	0	4	29	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	58
June 22	Middle	0	3	105	0	64	2	3	0	0	0	176
	Alaska	0	6	134	0	88	2	3	0	0	0	234
	Upper	0	1	123	0	116	0	4	0	0	0	244
	Total	0	7	257	0	204	2	7	0	0	0	478
Period 3	Lower	0	48	361	0	295	10	1	0	0	0	715
June 24	Middle	0	32	1,295	0	791	20	41	0	0	0	2,180
	Alaska	0	80	1,656	0	1,086	30	42	0	0	0	2,895
	Upper	0	11	1,520	0	1,437	0	49	0	0	0	3,016
	Total	0	91	3,177	0	2,522	30	91	0	0	0	5,911
Period 4	Lower	0	114	1,527	0	1,212	12	0	0	0	0	2,865
June 27	Middle	0	40	1,016	0	688	6	41	0	0	0	1,791
	Alaska	0	154	2,543	0	1,900	18	42	0	0	0	4,656
	Upper	0	10	1,474	0	972	0	31	0	0	0	2,488
	Total	0	164	4,017	0	2,872	18	73	0	0	0	7,144
Period 5	Lower	0	58	863	0	883	0	0	0	0	0	1,803
July 2	Middle	0	8	214	0	196	0	10	0	0	0	427
	Alaska	0	65	1,076	0	1,079	0	10	0	0	0	2,231
	Upper	0	5	751	0	907	0	10	0	0	0	1,672
	Total	0	70	1,827	0	1,986	0	20	0	0	0	3,903
Period 6	Lower	0	22	327	0	335	0	0	0	0	0	684
July 6	Middle	0	3	81	0	74	0	4	0	0	0	162
	Alaska	0	25	408	0	409	0	4	0	0	0	846
	Upper	0	2	285	0	344	0	4	0	0	0	635
	Total	0	27	693	0	754	0	8	0	0	0	1,481
All Periods	Lower	0	245	3,118	0	2,761	23	2	0	0	0	6,149
Combined	Middle	0	88	2,963	0	1,908	30	102	0	0	0	5,091
	Alaska	0	333	6,081	0	4,670	53	103	0	0	0	11,240
	Upper	0	29	4,464	0	4,002	0	99	0	0	0	8,594
	Total	0	362	10,545	0	8,672	53	202	0	0	0	19,834

Note: All District 2 Chinook salmon commercial fishing periods were unrestricted mesh sizes, except for period 2 that was restricted mesh size.

Table 9.–Yukon River Chinook salmon total harvest by age group, stock group, and fishery, 2006.

District	Fishery	Stock Group	Age Group										Total
			1.1	1.2	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.5	
1	Commercial	Lower	0	275	3,692	0	4,388	3	11	20	0	0	8,389
		Middle	0	123	2,563	0	1,970	1	141	0	0	0	4,797
		Alaska	0	398	6,254	0	6,358	4	153	20	0	0	13,186
		Upper	0	44	5,226	0	5,859	0	229	0	0	0	11,359
		Total	0	442	11,480	0	12,217	4	382	20	0	0	24,545
	Subsistence	Lower	0	100	441	0	546	0	2	0	0	0	1,089
		Middle	0	125	1,049	0	553	0	59	0	0	0	1,787
		Alaska	0	225	1,490	0	1,099	0	61	0	0	0	2,875
		Upper	0	27	1,287	0	885	0	47	0	0	0	2,247
		Total	0	252	2,777	0	1,984	0	108	0	0	0	5,122
2	Commercial	Lower	0	245	3,118	0	2,761	23	2	0	0	0	6,149
		Middle	0	88	2,963	0	1,908	30	102	0	0	0	5,091
		Alaska	0	333	6,081	0	4,670	53	103	0	0	0	11,240
		Upper	0	29	4,464	0	4,002	0	99	0	0	0	8,594
		Total	0	362	10,545	0	8,672	53	202	0	0	0	19,834
	Subsistence	Lower	0	62	446	0	442	11	1	0	0	0	962
		Middle	0	139	1,879	0	906	18	45	0	0	0	2,987
		Alaska	0	201	2,325	0	1,348	30	45	0	0	0	3,949
		Upper	0	30	2,272	0	1,745	0	43	0	0	0	4,090
		Total	0	231	4,597	0	3,094	30	89	0	0	0	8,039
3	Commercial	Lower	0	0	11	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	21
		Middle	0	2	65	0	51	1	2	1	0	0	123
		Alaska	0	3	76	0	60	1	3	1	0	0	144
		Upper	0	3	90	0	71	2	3	2	0	0	171
		Total	0	6	166	0	131	3	6	3	0	0	315
	Subsistence	Lower	0	32	185	0	167	6	0	17	0	0	408
		Middle	0	105	1,155	0	750	22	51	0	0	0	2,083
		Alaska	0	137	1,340	0	917	29	51	17	0	0	2,491
		Upper	0	24	1,457	0	1,356	0	46	0	0	0	2,883
		Total	0	161	2,797	0	2,273	29	97	17	0	0	5,374
4	Subsistence	Lower	0	190	553	0	258	13	0	111	0	0	1,125
		Middle	0	547	2,615	0	2,285	35	130	0	0	0	5,612
		Alaska	0	737	3,167	0	2,543	49	130	111	0	0	6,737
		Upper	0	116	2,942	0	2,155	0	71	0	0	0	5,284
		Total	0	853	6,110	0	4,698	49	201	111	0	0	12,022
5	Commercial	Lower	0	16	35	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	58
		Middle	0	149	636	0	129	0	4	0	0	0	917
		Alaska	0	164	671	0	129	0	4	7	0	0	975
		Upper	0	24	578	0	258	0	4	0	0	0	864
		Total	0	188	1,249	0	387	0	8	7	0	0	1,839
	Subsistence	Lower	0	10	68	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	101
		Middle	0	329	2,186	0	678	0	14	12	0	0	3,219
		Alaska	0	340	2,254	0	699	0	14	13	0	0	3,320
		Upper	0	1,289	8,558	0	2,654	0	55	48	0	0	12,604
		Total	0	1,629	10,812	0	3,353	0	69	61	0	0	15,924

-continued-

Table 9.–Page 2 of 2.

District	Fishery	Stock Group	Age Group										Total
			1.1	1.2	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.5	
6	Commercial	Middle	0	6	41	0	36	0	1	0	0	0	84
	Subsistence	Middle	0	95	639	1	557	3	23	0	0	0	1,318
	Sport Fish	Middle	0	53	358	0	313	2	13	0	0	0	739
		Total	0	154	1,037	0	905	5	38	0	0	0	2,140
Canada	Commercial	Upper	0	30	1,118	3	1,156	0	25	0	0	0	2,332
	Aboriginal	Upper	0	77	2,911	9	3,010	0	64	0	0	0	6,071
	Domestic	Upper	0	1	30	0	31	0	1	0	0	0	63
	Sport Fish	Upper	0	8	291	1	300	0	6	0	0	0	606
	Total	0	115	4,350	13	4,498	0	95	0	0	0	9,072	
Total Harvest		Lower	0	929	8,549	0	8,593	58	17	155	0	0	18,301
		Middle	0	1,762	16,148	0	10,135	113	585	14	0	0	28,756
		Alaska	0	2,691	24,696	0	18,728	170	602	169	0	0	47,057
		Upper	0	1,702	31,224	13	23,484	2	694	50	0	0	57,169
	Total	0	4,393	55,920	13	42,213	172	1,296	218	0	0	104,225	

Table 10.—Yukon River Chinook salmon total harvest proportion by age group, stock group, and fishery, 2006.

District	Fishery	Stock Group	Age Group										Total
			1.1	1.2	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.5	
1	Commercial	Lower	0.000	0.011	0.150	0.000	0.179	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.342
		Middle	0.000	0.005	0.104	0.000	0.080	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.195
		Alaska	0.000	0.016	0.255	0.000	0.259	0.000	0.006	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.537
		Upper	0.000	0.002	0.213	0.000	0.239	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.463
		Total	0.000	0.018	0.468	0.000	0.498	0.000	0.016	0.001	0.000	0.000	1.000
	Subsistence	Lower	0.000	0.019	0.086	0.000	0.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.213
		Middle	0.000	0.024	0.205	0.000	0.108	0.000	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.349
		Alaska	0.000	0.044	0.291	0.000	0.215	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.561
		Upper	0.000	0.005	0.251	0.000	0.173	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.439
		Total	0.000	0.049	0.542	0.000	0.387	0.000	0.021	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000
2	Commercial	Lower	0.000	0.012	0.157	0.000	0.139	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.310
		Middle	0.000	0.004	0.149	0.000	0.096	0.002	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.257
		Alaska	0.000	0.017	0.307	0.000	0.235	0.003	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.567
		Upper	0.000	0.001	0.225	0.000	0.202	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.433
		Total	0.000	0.018	0.532	0.000	0.437	0.003	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000
	Subsistence	Lower	0.000	0.008	0.055	0.000	0.055	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.120
		Middle	0.000	0.017	0.234	0.000	0.113	0.002	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.372
		Alaska	0.000	0.025	0.289	0.000	0.168	0.004	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.491
		Upper	0.000	0.004	0.283	0.000	0.217	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.509
		Total	0.000	0.029	0.572	0.000	0.385	0.004	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000
3	Commercial	Lower	0.000	0.001	0.035	0.000	0.028	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.067
		Middle	0.000	0.007	0.205	0.000	0.163	0.004	0.007	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.390
		Alaska	0.000	0.009	0.240	0.000	0.190	0.004	0.009	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.457
		Upper	0.000	0.010	0.286	0.000	0.227	0.005	0.010	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.543
		Total	0.000	0.019	0.525	0.000	0.417	0.010	0.019	0.010	0.000	0.000	1.000
	Subsistence	Lower	0.000	0.006	0.034	0.000	0.031	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.076
		Middle	0.000	0.020	0.215	0.000	0.140	0.004	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.388
		Alaska	0.000	0.026	0.249	0.000	0.171	0.005	0.010	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.464
		Upper	0.000	0.004	0.271	0.000	0.252	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.536
		Total	0.000	0.030	0.520	0.000	0.423	0.005	0.018	0.003	0.000	0.000	1.000
4	Subsistence	Lower	0.000	0.016	0.046	0.000	0.021	0.001	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.094
		Middle	0.000	0.046	0.217	0.000	0.190	0.003	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.467
		Alaska	0.000	0.061	0.263	0.000	0.212	0.004	0.011	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.560
		Upper	0.000	0.010	0.245	0.000	0.179	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.440
		Total	0.000	0.071	0.508	0.000	0.391	0.004	0.017	0.009	0.000	0.000	1.000
5	Commercial	Lower	0.000	0.008	0.019	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.031
		Middle	0.000	0.081	0.346	0.000	0.070	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.499
		Alaska	0.000	0.089	0.365	0.000	0.070	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.530
		Upper	0.000	0.013	0.314	0.000	0.140	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.470
		Total	0.000	0.102	0.679	0.000	0.211	0.000	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.000	1.000
	Subsistence	Lower	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006
		Middle	0.000	0.021	0.137	0.000	0.043	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.202
		Alaska	0.000	0.021	0.142	0.000	0.044	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.208
		Upper	0.000	0.081	0.537	0.000	0.167	0.000	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.792
		Total	0.000	0.102	0.679	0.000	0.211	0.000	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.000	1.000

-continued-

Table 10.–Page 2 of 2.

District	Fishery	Stock Group	Age Group										Total
			1.1	1.2	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.5	
6	Commercial	Middle	0.000	0.003	0.019	0.000	0.017	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.039
	Subsistence	Middle	0.000	0.044	0.298	0.000	0.260	0.001	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.616
	Sport Fish	Middle	0.000	0.025	0.167	0.000	0.146	0.001	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.345
	Total			0.000	0.072	0.485	0.000	0.423	0.002	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.000
Canada	Commercial	Upper	0.000	0.003	0.123	0.000	0.127	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.257
	Aboriginal	Upper	0.000	0.009	0.321	0.001	0.332	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.669
	Domestic	Upper	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007
	Sport Fish	Upper	0.000	0.001	0.032	0.000	0.033	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.067
Total			0.000	0.013	0.479	0.001	0.496	0.000	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000
Total Harvest	Lower		0.000	0.009	0.082	0.000	0.082	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.176
	Middle		0.000	0.017	0.155	0.000	0.097	0.001	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.276
	Alaska		0.000	0.026	0.237	0.000	0.180	0.002	0.006	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.451
	Upper		0.000	0.016	0.300	0.000	0.225	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.549
Total			0.000	0.042	0.537	0.000	0.405	0.002	0.012	0.002	0.000	0.000	1.000

Table 11.—Yukon River Chinook salmon harvest by stock group for the United States and Canada, 1981–2006.

Year	Lower	Middle	Upper			U.S. Total	Total
			U.S.	Canada	Total		
1981	11,164	112,669	64,644	18,109	82,753	188,477	206,586
1982	23,601	41,967	87,241	17,208	104,449	152,809	170,017
1983	28,081	73,361	96,994	18,952	115,946	198,436	217,388
1984	45,210	71,656	44,735	16,795	61,530	161,601	178,396
1985	57,770	46,753	85,773	19,301	105,074	190,296	209,597
1986	32,517	15,894	97,593	20,364	117,957	146,004	166,368
1987	32,847	40,281	115,258	17,614	132,872	188,386	206,000
1988	36,967	26,805	84,649	21,427	106,076	148,421	169,848
1989	42,872	27,936	86,798	17,944	104,742	157,606	175,550
1990	34,007	42,430	72,996	19,227	92,223	149,433	168,660
1991	49,113	44,328	61,210	20,607	81,817	154,651	175,258
1992	30,330	40,600	97,261	17,903	115,164	168,191	186,094
1993	38,592	45,671	78,815	16,611	95,426	163,078	179,689
1994	35,161	41,488	95,666	21,218	116,884	172,315	193,533
1995	35,518	44,404	97,741	20,887	118,628	177,663	198,550
1996	33,278	16,386	88,958	19,612	108,570	138,622	158,234
1997	50,420	32,043	92,162	16,528	108,690	174,625	191,153
1998	34,759	18,509	46,947	5,937	52,884	100,215	106,152
1999	54,788	8,619	60,908	12,468	73,376	124,315	136,783
2000	16,989	6,176	22,143	4,879	27,022	45,308	50,187
2001	20,115	10,190	23,325	10,139	33,421	53,630	63,726
2002	14,895	22,395	30,058	9,257	39,387	67,348	76,677
2003	7,394	31,232	59,940	9,619	69,559	98,566	108,185
2004	18,965	35,553	57,831	11,238	69,069	112,349	123,587
2005	19,893	20,607	44,650	11,074	55,724	85,149	96,223
2006	18,301	28,756	48,097	9,072	57,169	95,153	104,225
Average (1981-2005)	32,210	36,718	71,772	15,797	87,570	140,700	156,498
5-Year Average (2001-2005)	16,252	23,995	43,161	10,265	53,432	83,408	93,680

Table 12.—Yukon River Chinook salmon harvest proportion by stock group for the United States and Canada, 1981–2006.

Year	Lower	Middle	Upper			U.S. Total	Total
			U.S.	Canada	Total		
1981	0.054	0.545	0.313	0.088	0.401	0.912	1.000
1982	0.139	0.247	0.513	0.101	0.614	0.899	1.000
1983	0.129	0.337	0.446	0.087	0.533	0.913	1.000
1984	0.253	0.402	0.251	0.094	0.345	0.906	1.000
1985	0.276	0.223	0.409	0.092	0.501	0.908	1.000
1986	0.195	0.096	0.587	0.122	0.709	0.878	1.000
1987	0.159	0.196	0.560	0.086	0.645	0.914	1.000
1988	0.218	0.158	0.498	0.126	0.625	0.874	1.000
1989	0.244	0.159	0.494	0.102	0.597	0.898	1.000
1990	0.202	0.252	0.433	0.114	0.547	0.886	1.000
1991	0.280	0.253	0.349	0.118	0.467	0.882	1.000
1992	0.163	0.218	0.523	0.096	0.619	0.904	1.000
1993	0.215	0.254	0.439	0.092	0.531	0.908	1.000
1994	0.182	0.214	0.494	0.110	0.604	0.890	1.000
1995	0.179	0.224	0.492	0.105	0.597	0.895	1.000
1996	0.210	0.104	0.562	0.124	0.686	0.876	1.000
1997	0.264	0.168	0.482	0.086	0.569	0.914	1.000
1998	0.327	0.174	0.442	0.056	0.498	0.944	1.000
1999	0.401	0.063	0.445	0.091	0.536	0.909	1.000
2000	0.339	0.123	0.441	0.097	0.538	0.903	1.000
2001	0.316	0.160	0.365	0.159	0.524	0.841	1.000
2002	0.194	0.292	0.393	0.121	0.514	0.879	1.000
2003	0.068	0.289	0.554	0.089	0.643	0.911	1.000
2004	0.153	0.288	0.468	0.091	0.559	0.909	1.000
2005	0.207	0.214	0.464	0.115	0.579	0.885	1.000
2006	0.176	0.276	0.461	0.087	0.549	0.913	1.000
Average (1981-2005)	0.206	0.235	0.459	0.101	0.560	0.899	1.000
5-Year Average (2001-2005)	0.173	0.256	0.461	0.110	0.570	0.890	1.000




Figure 1.—Alaska portion of the Yukon River drainage with district boundaries and major spawning tributaries.






Figure 3.—Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and period, from Yukon River District 1 commercial harvest samples, 2006.





Figure 4.—Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and period, from Yukon River District 2 commercial harvest samples, 2006.



Note: In the District 4 subsistence samples, Ktg/Nul were from the villages of Kaltag and Nulato, Br were from Bishop Rock fishing location.

Figure 5.—Genetic stock composition estimates, by age and all ages combined, from Yukon River subsistence harvest samples in Districts 1, 4, and 5; and commercial harvest samples in Districts 3 and 5, 2006.




Figure 6.–Yukon River Chinook salmon total harvest stock composition, by district and fishery, in proportion (upper figure) and in numbers of fish (lower figure), 2006.